4.3. How derivatives affect the shape of a graph. (Sec. 4.3 in the textbook.)

Recall that a function $f$ is increasing on $(a, b)$ if $f(x)<f(y)$ for $a<x<y<b$ and decreasing on $(a, b)$ if $f(x)>f(y)$ for $a<x<y<b$.

Theorem 4.7 (Increasing/Decreasing test). Let $f$ be a function defined on $(a, b)$.
(1) If $f^{\prime}(x)>0$ for $x \in(a, b)$, then $f$ is increasing on $(a, b)$.
(2) If $f^{\prime}(x)<0$ for $x \in(a, b)$, then $f$ is decreasing on $(a, b)$.

Proof. It loses no generality to assume that $f^{\prime}>0$ on $(a, b)$. Let $a<x<y<b$. By the mean value theorem, there is $z \in(x, y)$ such that $f(y)-f(x)=f^{\prime}(z)(y-x)>0$, which implies $f(y)>f(x)$.
Example 4.7. Let $f(x)=3 x^{4}-4 x^{3}-12 x^{2}+1$. Clearly, one may compute $f^{\prime}(x)=12 x\left(x^{2}-\right.$ $x-2)=12 x(x+1)(x-2)$. This implies that $f^{\prime}>0$ for $x \in(-1,0) \cup(2, \infty)$ and $f^{\prime}<0$ for $x \in(-\infty,-1) \cup(0,2)$. By the increasing/decreasing test, $f$ is increasing on $(-1,0) \cup(2, \infty)$ and decreasing on $(-\infty,-1) \cup(0,2)$.

Theorem 4.8 (The first derivative test). Let $f$ be continuous on $I$ and $c \in I$.
(1) If $f^{\prime}$ changes from positive to negative at $c$, then $f$ has a local maximum at $c$.
(2) If $f^{\prime}$ changes from negative to positive at $c$, then $f$ has a local minimum at $c$.
(3) If $f^{\prime}$ does not change sign at $c$, then $f$ has no local extremum at $c$.

Proof. For Case (1), assume that there is $\delta>0$ such that $f^{\prime}(x)>0$ for $x \in(c-\delta, c)$ and $f^{\prime}(x)<0$ for $x \in(c, c+\delta)$. By the increasing/decreasing test, we have $f(x)<f(y)$ for $c-\delta<x<y<c$ and $f(x)<f(y)$ for $c<y<x<c+\delta$. By the continuity of $f$ at $c$, this implies that, for $c-\delta<x_{1}<c<x_{2}<c+\delta$,

$$
f(c)=\lim _{y \rightarrow c^{-}} f(y)>f\left(x_{1}\right), \quad f(c)=\lim _{y \rightarrow c^{+}} f(y)>f\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

As a result, $f$ has a local maximum at $c$. The proof of (2) is given by applying (1) at $-f$. For (3), we treat the case $f^{\prime}(x)>0$ for $0<|x-c|<\delta$, while the other is an immediate corollary. As before, the increasing/decreasing test yields $f(x)<f(y)$ for $c-\delta<x<y<c$ and $c<x<y<c+\delta$. By the continuity of $f$ at $c$, we obtain, for $c-\delta<x_{1}<c<x_{2}<c+\delta$,

$$
f\left(x_{1}\right)<\lim _{y \rightarrow c^{-}} f(y)=f(c)=\lim _{y \rightarrow c^{+}} f(y)<f\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

Remark 4.6. Note that the differentiability of $f$ at $c$ is not required in the first derivative test.
Example 4.8. For $f(x)=3 x^{4}-4 x^{3}-12 x^{2}+1$, it has been proved before that $f^{\prime}>0$ for $x \in(-1,0) \cup(2, \infty)$ and $f^{\prime}<0$ for $x \in(-\infty,-1) \cup(0,2)$. By the first derivative test, $f$ has a local maximum at 0 and local minima at -1 and 2 .

Definition 4.4. Let $f$ be a function defined on an open interval $I . f$ is called
(1) concave upward or convex if, for any $x \in I$, there is $M_{x} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
f(y)>f(x)+M_{x}(y-x), \quad \forall y \in I, y \neq x
$$

(2) concave downward or concave if, for any $x \in I$, there is $M_{x} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
f(y)<f(x)+M_{x}(y-x), \quad \forall y \in I, y \neq x
$$

Remark 4.7. Let $f$ be a function defined on an open interval $I$.
(1) $f$ is concave upward if and only if $-f$ is concave downward.
(2) If $f$ is concave upward, then $f(x)<f\left(x_{1}\right)+\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left[f\left(x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)\right] /\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)$ for any $x_{1}, x_{2} \in I$ and any $x$ between $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$.
(3) If $f$ is concave upward or downward on $I$, then $f$ is continuous on $I$.
(4) Suppose $f$ is concave upward and differentiable at $c$. Let $M_{c} \in \mathbb{R}$ be the slope of the supporting line at $\left(c, f(c)\right.$ ), i.e. $f(x)>f(c)+(x-c) M_{c}$ for $x \in I$ and $x \neq c$. Then, one has

$$
\frac{f(x)-f(c)}{x-c}>M_{c}, \quad \forall x>c, \quad \frac{f(x)-f(c)}{x-c}<M_{c}, \quad \forall x<c .
$$

Letting $x$ tend to $c$ implies $M_{c}=f^{\prime}(c)$. As a result, if $f$ is differentiable on $I$, then $f$ is concave upward (resp. downward) if and only if the graph of $f$ lies above (resp. below) or on its tangent lines.

Theorem 4.9 (The concavity test). Let $f$ be a function defined on an open interval $I$ and assume that $f^{\prime \prime}$ exists.
(1) If $f^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$ for all $x \in I$, then $f$ is concave upward on $I$.
(2) If $f^{\prime \prime}(x)<0$ for all $x \in I$, then $f$ is concave downward on $I$.

Proof. We prove (1), while (2) is its simple corollary. For $c \in I$, the tangent line to the curve $y=f(x)$ at $(c, f(c))$ is $y=f(c)+(x-c) f^{\prime}(c)$. To finish the proof, it remains to show that $f(x)>f(c)+(x-c) f^{\prime}(c)$ for all $x \in I$ and $x \neq c$. Fix $x \in I$ and $x \neq c$. By the mean value theorem, one may select $c_{x}$ between $x$ and $c$ such that $f(x)-f(c)=f^{\prime}\left(c_{x}\right)(x-c)$. As $f^{\prime}$ is differentiable on $I$, we may choose $C_{x}$ between $c_{x}$ and $c$ such that $f^{\prime}\left(c_{x}\right)-f^{\prime}(c)=f^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{x}\right)\left(c_{x}-c\right)$. Immediately, these two identities lead to $f(x)-\left[f(c)+(x-c) f^{\prime}(c)\right]=(x-c)\left(c_{x}-c\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{x}\right)$. Note that $c_{x}<C_{x}<c$ when $x<c$ and $c_{x}>C_{x}>c$ when $x>c$. In addition with the assumption of $f^{\prime \prime}>0$, one has $(x-c)\left(c_{x}-c\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{x}\right)>0$.
Definition 4.5. A point $P$ on a curve $y=f(x)$ is called an inflection point if $f$ is continuous at $P$ and the curve changes from concave upward to concave downward or from concave downward to concave upward at $P$.
Example 4.9. Let $f(x)=x^{3}-x$. Note that $f^{\prime \prime}(x)=6 x$. By the concavity test, $f$ is concave upward on $(0, \infty)$ and downward on $(-\infty, 0)$. This implies that $(0,0)$ is the inflection point of $y=f(x)$.

Theorem 4.10 (The second derivative test). Suppose $f^{\prime}(c)=0$. If $f^{\prime \prime}>0\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.f^{\prime \prime}<0\right)$ in a neighborhood of $c$, then $f$ has a local minimum (resp. local maximum) at $c$.

Remark 4.8. Note that $f^{\prime}(c)=0$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(c) \neq 0$ is sufficient to conclude that the local extremum of $f$ at $c$. Consider the case that $f^{\prime \prime}(c)>0$. Set $\epsilon=f^{\prime \prime}(c) / 2$. Since $f^{\prime \prime}(c)$ exists, there is $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(c)}{x-c}-f^{\prime \prime}(c)\right|<\epsilon, \quad \forall 0<|x-c|<\delta .
$$

By the triangle inequality, this implies

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{x-c}>f^{\prime \prime}(c)-\epsilon=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(c)}{2}>0, \quad \forall 0<|x-c|<\delta,
$$

which leads to

$$
f^{\prime}(x)\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
>0 & \text { for } c<x<c+\delta \\
<0 & \text { for } c-\delta<x<c
\end{array} .\right.
$$

By the increasing/decreasing test, $f$ is decreasing on $(c-\delta, c)$ and increasing on $(c, c+\delta)$. Further, since $f$ is differentiable at $c, f$ is continuous at $c$. As a consequence, this implies $f(x)>f(c)$ for $0<|x-c|<\delta$.

