

## Two new error-correcting pooling designs from $d$ -bounded distance-regular graphs

Xinlu Zhang · Jun Guo · Suogang Gao

Published online: 14 November 2007  
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2007

**Abstract** Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $d$ -bounded distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 2$ . In this paper, we construct two new classes of error-correcting pooling designs from the posets consisting of the subspaces of  $\Gamma$ .

**Keywords** Pooling designs ·  $s^e$ -disjunct matrix · Distance-regular graph · Strongly closed subgraphs

### 1 Introduction

The basic problem of group testing is to identify the set of defective items in a large population of items. Suppose we have  $n$  items to be tested and that there are at most  $r$  defective items among them. Each *test* (or *pool*) is (or contains) a subset of items. We assume some testing mechanism exists which if applied to an arbitrary subset of the population gives a *negative outcome* if the subset contains no positive and *positive outcome* otherwise. Objectives of group testing vary from minimizing the number of tests, limiting number of pools, limiting pool sizes to tolerating a few errors. It is conceivable that these objectives are often contradicting, thus testing strategies are application dependent. A group testing algorithm is *non-adaptive* if all tests must be specified without knowing the outcomes of other tests. A non-adaptive testing algorithm is useful in many areas such as DNA library screening.

---

X. Zhang · S. Gao (✉)  
Math. and Inf. College, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050016, China  
e-mail: [sggao@heinfo.net](mailto:sggao@heinfo.net)

S. Gao (✉)  
e-mail: [sggao@mail.hebtu.edu.cn](mailto:sggao@mail.hebtu.edu.cn)

J. Guo  
Math. and Inf. College, Langfang Teachers' College, Langfang 065000, China

A group testing algorithm is *error tolerant* if it can detect some errors in test outcomes. A mathematical model of error-tolerance designs is an  $s^e$ -*disjunct* matrix.

A binary matrix  $M$  is said to be  $s^e$ -*disjunct* if given any  $s + 1$  columns of  $M$  with one designated, there are  $e + 1$  rows with a 1 in the designated column and 0 in each of the other  $s$  columns. An  $s^0$ -disjunct matrix is said to be  $s$ -*disjunct*. D'yachkov et al. (2007) proposed the concept of fully  $s^e$ -disjunct matrices. An  $s^e$ -disjunct matrix is *fully  $s^e$ -disjunct* if it is not  $c^b$ -disjunct whenever  $c > s$  or  $b > e$ .

The constructions of  $s^e$ -disjunct matrices were given by many authors (see D'yachkov et al. 2005, 2007; Huang and Weng 2004; Macula 1996, 1997; Ngo and Du 2002). Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $d$ -bounded distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 2$ . In this paper, we construct two new classes of error-correcting pooling designs from the posets consisting of the subspaces of  $\Gamma$ .

## 2 Distance-regular graphs

In this section we shall first introduce the concepts of distance-regular graphs, and then introduce our main results.

Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a finite undirected graph without loops or multiple edges, with vertex set  $X$  and edge set  $R$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a connected regular graph. For vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $X$ , let  $\partial(u, v)$  denote the *distance* between  $u$  and  $v$ . The maximum value of the distance function in  $\Gamma$  is called the *diameter* of  $\Gamma$ , denoted by  $d = d(\Gamma)$ . For all  $u \in X$  and for all integers  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ), set

$$\Gamma_i(u) := \{v \mid v \in X, \partial(u, v) = i\}.$$

$\Gamma$  is said to be *distance-regular* whenever for all integers  $h, i, j$  ( $0 \leq h, i, j \leq d$ ) and for all  $u, v \in X$  with  $\partial(u, v) = h$ , the number

$$p_{ij}^h := |\Gamma_i(u) \cap \Gamma_j(v)| \quad (1)$$

is independent of  $u, v$ . The constants  $p_{ij}^h$  ( $0 \leq h, i, j \leq d$ ) are known as the *intersection numbers* of  $\Gamma$ . For convenience, set  $c_i := p_{i-1,1}^i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ),  $a_i := p_{i1}^i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ),  $b_i := p_{i+1,1}^i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ ),  $k_i := p_{ii}^0$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ), and put  $c_0 := 0$ ,  $b_d := 0$ ,  $k := k_1$ . Note that  $c_1 = 1$ ,  $a_0 = 0$ , and

$$k = c_i + a_i + b_1 \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \quad (2)$$

$$k_i = \frac{b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \quad (3)$$

$$|X| = 1 + k_1 + \cdots + k_d. \quad (4)$$

From now on, we assume that  $\Gamma$  is distance-regular with diameter  $d$ . The reader is referred to (Brouwer et al. 1989) for general theory of distance-regular graphs.

Let  $\Delta$  be a subset of  $X$ . Recall that a subgraph induced on  $\Delta$  of  $\Gamma$  is said to be *strongly closed* if  $C(u, v) \cup A(u, v) \subseteq \Delta$  for every pair of vertices  $u, v \in \Delta$ . Suzuki

(1995) determined all the types of strongly closed subgraphs of a distance-regular graph.

A distance-regular graph  $\Gamma$  with diameter  $d$  is said to be *d-bounded*, if every strongly closed subgraph of  $\Gamma$  is regular, and any two vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are contained in a common strongly closed subgraph with diameter  $\delta(x, y)$ . For instance, the ordinary 5-gon is a 2-bounded distance-regular graph. But the ordinary 6-gon is not a 3-bounded distance-regular graph. Indeed, let  $1 \sim 2 \sim 3 \sim 4 \sim 5 \sim 6 \sim 1$  be the ordinary 6-gon. Then it is clear that  $1 \sim 2 \sim 3$  is strongly closed, but it is not regular. By (Weng 1997, Theorem 4.3) and (Weng 1999, Theorem 5.7), the following graphs are all *d-bounded* distance-regular graphs: (1) Hamming graph  $H(d, q)$  ( $d \geq 3, q \geq 3$ ) with classical parameters  $(d, b, \alpha, \beta) = (d, 1, 0, q - 1)$  and  $H(d, 2)$  ( $d \geq 3$ ), i.e.,  $d$ -cube; (2) Hermitian forms graphs  $Her_{-b}(d)$  of diameter  $d \geq 3$ , where  $b = -r$ ,  $r$  is a prime power and intersection numbers  $c_2 > 1, a_1 \neq 0$ ; (3) Dual polar graph  ${}^2A_{2d-1}(-b)$  with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , where  $b = -r$ ,  $r$  is a prime power and intersection numbers  $c_2 > 1, a_1 \neq 0$ .

Weng (1997, 1998, 1999) used the term *weak-geodetically closed subgraphs* for strongly closed subgraphs, obtained many important properties when a distance-regular graph is *d*-bounded. A regular strongly closed subgraph of  $\Gamma$  is said to be a *subspace* of  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a *d*-bounded distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $P(i)$  be a set of all subspaces with diameter  $i$  in  $\Gamma$ , where  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . Pick  $x \in X$ , let  $P(x)$  be the set of all subspaces containing  $x$  in  $\Gamma$  and let

$$P(x, i) = \{\Delta \in P(x) \mid d(\Delta) = i\}.$$

**Definition 2.1** Let  $\Gamma$  be a *d*-bounded distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 2$ . Given integers  $0 \leq m < k \leq d - 1$ . Let  $M(m, k; d)$  be the binary matrix whose rows (resp. columns) are indexed by  $P(m)$  (resp.  $P(k)$ ). We also order elements of these sets lexicographically.  $M(m, k; d)$  has a 1 in row  $i$  and column  $j$  if and only if the  $i^{th}$  subspace of  $P(m)$  is a subspace of the  $j^{th}$  subspace of  $P(k)$ .

**Definition 2.2** Let  $\Gamma$  be a *d*-bounded distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ . Given integers  $1 \leq m < k \leq d - 1$ . Let  $M_x(m, k; d)$  be the binary matrix whose rows (resp. columns) are indexed by  $P(x, m)$  (resp.  $P(x, k)$ ). We also order elements of these sets lexicographically.  $M_x(m, k; d)$  has a 1 in row  $i$  and column  $j$  if and only if the  $i^{th}$  subspace of  $P(x, m)$  is a subspace of the  $j^{th}$  subspace of  $P(x, k)$ .

*Example 2.3* The 3-cube, with vertex set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$  and edge set  $\{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 4\}, \{1, 5\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 6\}, \{3, 4\}, \{3, 7\}, \{4, 8\}, \{5, 6\}, \{5, 8\}, \{6, 7\}, \{7, 8\}\}$ , is a 3-bounded distance-regular graph. Let  $M(1, 2; 3)$  be the binary matrix whose rows (resp. columns) are indexed by  $P(1)$  (resp.  $P(2)$ ), where  $P(1)$  (resp.  $P(2)$ ) is a set of all subspaces with diameter 1 (resp. 2), i.e.,  $P(1)$  (resp.  $P(2)$ ) is a set of all edges

(resp. all 4-gons). Then

$$M(1, 2; 3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we state our main results.

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $M(m, k; d)$  be as in Definition 2.1. If  $1 \leq s \leq \lfloor \frac{N'(m, k)}{N'(m, k-1)} \rfloor - 1$ , then  $M(m, k; d)$  is  $s^e$ -disjunct, where  $e = N'(m, k) - sN'(m, k-1) - 1$  and  $N'(m, k)$ ,  $N'(m, k-1)$  are given by Lemma 3.4.

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $M(k-1, k; d)$  be as in Definition 2.1. If  $1 \leq s \leq N'(k-1, k) - 1$ , then  $M(k-1, k; d)$  is fully  $s^e$ -disjunct, where  $e = N'(k-1, k) - s - 1$  and  $N'(k-1, k)$  is given by Lemma 3.4.

**Theorem 2.6** Let  $M_x(m, k; d)$  be as in Definition 2.2. If  $1 \leq s \leq \lfloor \frac{N(0, m; k)}{N(0, m; k-1)} \rfloor - 1$ , then  $M_x(m, k; d)$  is  $s^e$ -disjunct, where  $e = N(0, m; k) - sN(0, m; k-1) - 1$  and  $N(0, m; k)$ ,  $N(0, m; k-1)$  are given by Proposition 3.3. In particular,  $M_x(k-1, k; d)$  is fully  $s_1 e_1$ -disjunct, where  $1 \leq s_1 \leq N(0, k-1; k) - 1$ ,  $e_1 = N(0, k-1; k) - s_1 - 1$  and  $N(0, k-1; k)$  is given by Proposition 3.3.

**Remark.** By Theorem 2.5,  $M(1, 2; 3)$  of Example 2.3 is a fully  $s^{3-s}$ -disjunct matrix, where  $1 \leq s \leq 3$ .

### 3 Proof of main results

**Proposition 3.1** (Weng 1999, Lemmas 4.2, 4.5) Let  $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$  be a  $d$ -bounded distance-regular graph with diameter  $d$ . Then the following (i)–(ii) hold.

- (i) Let  $\Delta$  be a subspace of  $\Gamma$  and  $0 \leq i \leq d(\Delta)$ . Then  $\Delta$  is distance-regular with intersection numbers  $c_i(\Delta) = c_i$ ,  $a_i(\Delta) = a_i$ ,  $b_i(\Delta) = b_i - b_{d(\Delta)}$ .
- (ii) For any  $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$ , the subspace of diameter  $\partial(x, y)$  containing  $x, y$  is unique.

**Proposition 3.2** (Weng 1997, Lemma 2.6) Let  $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$  be a  $d$ -bounded distance-regular graph with diameter  $d$ . Then we have  $b_i > b_{i+1}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq d - 1$ .

**Proposition 3.3** (Gao et al. 2007, Lemma 2.1) Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $d$ -bounded distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 2$ . Suppose  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  are strongly closed subgraphs with diameter  $i$  and  $i + s + t$ , respectively, and with  $\Delta \subseteq \Delta'$ . Then the number of the strongly closed subgraphs  $\tilde{\Delta}$  with diameter  $i + s$  satisfying  $\Delta \subseteq \tilde{\Delta} \subseteq \Delta'$ , denoted by  $N(i, i + s; i + s + t)$ , is determined by  $i, s$  and  $t$ , independently of the choice of  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$ , it is

$$\frac{(b_i - b_{i+s+t})(b_{i+1} - b_{i+s+t}) \cdots (b_{i+s-1} - b_{i+s+t})}{(b_i - b_{i+s})(b_{i+1} - b_{i+s}) \cdots (b_{i+s-1} - b_{i+s})},$$

where  $0 \leq i, s, t \leq d$  and  $i + 1 \leq i + s \leq i + s + t \leq d$ .

**Lemma 3.4** Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $d$ -bounded distance-regular graph with diameter  $d$ , and  $\Delta$  is a fixed subspace with diameter  $i + s$  in the  $\Gamma$ . Then the number of the subspaces with diameter  $i$  in the  $\Delta$ , denoted by  $N'(i, i + s)$ , is determined by  $i$  and  $s$ , independent of the choice of  $\Delta$  and is given by

$$\frac{(b_0 - b_{i+s})(b_1 - b_{i+s}) \cdots (b_{i-1} - b_{i+s})(1 + \sum_{l=1}^{i+s} \frac{(b_0 - b_{i+s})(b_1 - b_{i+s}) \cdots (b_{l-1} - b_{i+s})}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_l})}{(b_0 - b_i)(b_1 - b_i) \cdots (b_{i-1} - b_i)(1 + \sum_{l=1}^i \frac{(b_0 - b_i)(b_1 - b_i) \cdots (b_{l-1} - b_i)}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_l})},$$

where  $0 \leq i \leq i + s \leq d$ .

*Proof* For each  $x \in V(\Delta)$ , by Proposition 3.3, there are  $N(0, i; i + s)$  subspaces with diameter  $i$  in  $\Delta$ . Thus there are total  $|V(\Delta)|N(0, i; i + s)$  such subspaces. But each of these subspaces repeats  $\alpha$  times, where  $\alpha$  equals the number of vertices in a subspace with diameter  $i$ . So the number of the subspaces with diameter  $i$  in  $\Delta$  is  $|V(\Delta)|N(0, i; i + s)/\alpha$ . By Proposition 3.1 and (4),

$$|V(\Delta)| = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{i+s} \frac{(b_0 - b_{i+s})(b_1 - b_{i+s}) \cdots (b_{l-1} - b_{i+s})}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_l},$$

$$\alpha = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^i \frac{(b_0 - b_i)(b_1 - b_i) \cdots (b_{l-1} - b_i)}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_l}.$$

So we have the desired result.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.4.* Let  $\Delta, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_s$  be  $s + 1$  distinct columns of  $M(m, k; d)$ . To obtain the maximum number of subspaces of  $P(m)$  in

$$\Delta \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^s \Delta_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^s (\Delta \cap \Delta_i),$$

we may assume that each  $\Delta \cap \Delta_i$  is a subspace with diameter  $k - 1$ . By Lemma 3.4, the number of the subspaces of  $\Delta$  not covered by  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_s$  is at least

$$N'(m, k) - sN'(m, k - 1)$$

Hence  $e = N'(m, k - 1) - sN'(m, k - 1) - 1$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.5.* Fix  $\Delta \in P(k)$ . By Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.2, we have the number of all subspaces with diameter  $k - 1$  in  $\Delta$  is  $N'(k - 1, k)$ . Suppose that there are  $s$  distinct subspaces with diameter  $k - 1$  in  $\Delta$ , say  $\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2, \dots, \Delta'_s$ . By Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3, for any subspace  $\Delta'_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq s$ , we know that there exist two distinct subspaces  $\Delta, \Delta_j \in P(k)$  such that  $\Delta'_j \subseteq \Delta, \Delta_j$ . By Proposition 3.1 (ii),  $\Delta \cap \Delta_j = \Delta'_j$ . Hence, we can pick  $s + 1$  distinct subspaces  $\Delta, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_s$  of  $P(k)$  such that  $\Delta'_i = \Delta \cap \Delta_i$  and  $\Delta'_j = \Delta \cap \Delta_j$  are two distinct subspaces of  $P(k - 1)$ , where  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq s$ . Thus, the number of subspaces of  $P(k - 1)$  contained in  $\Delta$  but not contained in each  $\Delta_i$  is  $N'(k - 1, k) - s$ . It follows that  $e \leq N'(k - 1, k) - s - 1$ .

On the other hand, similar to the proof Theorem 2.4 we obtain  $e \geq N'(k - 1, k) - s - 1$ . Hence  $e = N'(k - 1, k) - s - 1$ . It is easy to show that  $M(k - 1, k; d)$  is fully  $s^e$ -disjunct, where  $e = N'(k - 1, k) - s - 1$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.6.* Similar to the proofs of Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5.  $\square$

## 4 Examples

A distance-regular graph  $\Gamma$  is said to have *classical parameters*  $(d, b, \alpha, \beta)$  whenever the diameter of  $\Gamma$  is  $d$ , and the intersection numbers of  $\Gamma$  satisfy

$$c_i = \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \left( 1 + \alpha \begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq d,$$

$$b_i = \left( \begin{bmatrix} d \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \left( \beta - \alpha \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq d,$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} := 1 + b + b^2 + \cdots + b^{i-1}.$$

Tsai (2003) gave many examples of  $d$ -bounded distance-regular graphs. We only consider Hamming graph  $H(d, q)$ . Change Hamming graph  $H(d, q)$  ( $d \geq 3, q \geq 3$ ) for  $\Gamma$  in Theorems 2.4–2.6. Then the following Corollaries 4.1–4.3 hold.

**Corollary 4.1** If  $1 \leq s \leq \lfloor \frac{q^k}{k-m} \rfloor - 1$ , then  $M(m, k; d)$  is  $s^e$ -disjunct, where  $e = \binom{k}{m} q^{k-m} - s \binom{k-1}{m} q^{k-m-1} - 1$ .

**Corollary 4.2** If  $1 \leq s \leq qk - 1$ , then  $M(k - 1, k; d)$  is fully  $s^e$ -disjunct, where  $e = qk - s - 1$ .

**Corollary 4.3** If  $1 \leq s \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{k-m} \rfloor - 1$ , then  $M_x(m, k; d)$  is  $s^e$ -disjunct, where  $e = \binom{k}{m} - s \binom{k-1}{m} - 1$ . In particular,  $M_x(k - 1, k; d)$  is fully  $s_1 e_1$ -disjunct, where  $1 \leq s_1 \leq k - 1$ ,  $e_1 = k - s_1 - 1$ .

**Remark** If we take  $s = qk - 1$  in Corollary 4.2, then  $M(k - 1, k; d)$  is fully  $(sq - 1)$ -disjunct and its error correcting capability is better than that of Theorems 6 and 7 in (Ngo and Du 2002). If we take  $s = k - 1$  in Corollary 4.3, then  $M_x(k - 1, k; d)$  is fully  $(k - 1)$ -disjunct; this is the  $M(k - 1, k, d)$  of Proposition 1 in (Macula 1996).

**Acknowledgements** This paper is supported by Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province, China (No. A2005000141), and the “LSAZ200702 Program” of Langfang Teachers’ College.

## References

- Brouwer AE, Cohen AM, Neumaier A (1989) Distance-regular graphs. Springer, New York
- D'yachkov AG, Hwang FK, Macula AJ, Vilenkin PA, Weng C (2005) A construction of pooling designs with some happy surprises. *J Comput Biol* 12:1129–1136
- D'yachkov AG, Macula AJ, Vilenkin PA (2007) Nonadaptive group and trivial two-stage group testing with error-correction  $d^e$ -disjunct inclusion matrices. Csiszár I, Katona GOH, Tardos G (eds) Entropy, search, complexity, 1st edn. Springer, Berlin, pp 71–84. ISBN-10: 3540325735; ISBN-13: 978-3540325734
- Gao S, Guo J, Liu W (2007) Lattices generated by strongly closed subgraphs in  $d$ -bounded distance-regular graphs. *Eur J Comb* 28:1800–1813
- Huang T, Weng C (2004) Pooling spaces and non-adaptive pooling designs. *Discrete Math* 282:163–169
- Macula AJ (1996) A simple construction of  $d$ -disjunct matrices with certain constant weights. *Discrete Math* 162:311–312
- Macula AJ (1997) Error-correcting non-adaptive group testing with  $d^e$ -disjunct matrices. *Discrete Appl Math* 80:217–222
- Ngo H, Du D (2002) New constructions of non-adaptive and error-tolerance pooling designs. *Discrete Math* 243:167–170
- Suzuki H (1995) On strongly closed subgraphs of highly regular graphs. *Eur J Comb* 16:197–220
- Tsai M-H (2003) Construct pooling spaces from distance-regular graphs. NCTU master Thesis, June 2003
- Weng C (1997) D-bounded distance-regular graphs. *Eur J Comb* 18:211–229
- Weng C (1999) Classical distance-regular graphs of negative type. *J Comb Theory (B)* 76:93–116
- Weng C (1998) Weak-geodetically closed subgroups in distance-regular graphs. *Graphs Comb* 14:275–304