

# Vertex-Transitive and Cayley Graphs

Mingyao Xu  
Peking University

January 18, 2011



- Graphs  $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$ .

- Graphs  $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$ .
- Automorphisms

- Graphs  $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$ .
- Automorphisms
- Automorphism group  $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ .

- Graphs  $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$ .
- Automorphisms
- Automorphism group  $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ .
- Transitivity of graphs: vertex-, edge-, arc-transitive graphs.

- Graphs  $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$ .
- Automorphisms
- Automorphism group  $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ .
- Transitivity of graphs: vertex-, edge-, arc-transitive graphs.
- Cayley graphs: Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $S$  a subset of  $G$  not containing the identity element 1. Assume  $S^{-1} = S$ . We define the Cayley graph  $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$  on  $G$  with respect to  $S$  by

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Gamma) &= G, \\ E(\Gamma) &= \{(g, sg) \mid g \in G, s \in S\}. \end{aligned}$$

- Graphs  $\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$ .
- Automorphisms
- Automorphism group  $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ .
- Transitivity of graphs: vertex-, edge-, arc-transitive graphs.
- Cayley graphs: Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $S$  a subset of  $G$  not containing the identity element 1. Assume  $S^{-1} = S$ . We define the Cayley graph  $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$  on  $G$  with respect to  $S$  by

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Gamma) &= G, \\ E(\Gamma) &= \{(g, sg) \mid g \in G, s \in S\}. \end{aligned}$$







## Properties of Cayley graphs

- Let  $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$  be a Cayley graph on  $G$  with respect to  $S$ .
  - (1)  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  contains the right regular representation  $R(G)$  of  $G$ , so  $\Gamma$  is vertex-transitive.
  - (2)  $\Gamma$  is connected if and only if  $G = \langle S \rangle$ .

## Properties of Cayley graphs

- Let  $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$  be a Cayley graph on  $G$  with respect to  $S$ .
  - (1)  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  contains the right regular representation  $R(G)$  of  $G$ , so  $\Gamma$  is vertex-transitive.
  - (2)  $\Gamma$  is connected if and only if  $G = \langle S \rangle$ .
- A graph  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  is a Cayley graph of a group  $G$  if and only if  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  contains a regular subgroup isomorphic to  $G$ .  
Above Proposition  $\implies$  Cayley graphs are just those vertex-transitive graphs whose full automorphism groups have a regular subgroup.

## Properties of Cayley graphs

- Let  $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$  be a Cayley graph on  $G$  with respect to  $S$ .
  - (1)  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  contains the right regular representation  $R(G)$  of  $G$ , so  $\Gamma$  is vertex-transitive.
  - (2)  $\Gamma$  is connected if and only if  $G = \langle S \rangle$ .
- A graph  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  is a Cayley graph of a group  $G$  if and only if  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  contains a regular subgroup isomorphic to  $G$ .  
Above Proposition  $\implies$  Cayley graphs are just those vertex-transitive graphs whose full automorphism groups have a regular subgroup.



## The Petersen graph is not Cayley

- Outline of a proof:

If it is Cayley, then the group has order 10.

There are two non-isomorphic groups: cyclic and dihedral.

The girth of Cayley graphs on abelian groups are 3 or 4. So  $G = D_{10}$ .

$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^5 = b^2 = 1, bab = a^{-1} \rangle.$$

$S = \{a, a^{-1}, b\}$  or three involutions.

For the former, since  $abab$  is a cyclic of size 4, this is not the case.

For the latter, the product of any two involutions is 1 or of order 5, a contradiction.

The Petersen graph is not Cayley

- Outline of a proof:

If it is Cayley, then the group has order 10.

There are two non-isomorphic groups: cyclic and dihedral.

The girth of Cayley graphs on abelian groups are 3 or 4. So  $G = D_{10}$ .

$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^5 = b^2 = 1, bab = a^{-1} \rangle.$$

$S = \{a, a^{-1}, b\}$  or three involutions.

For the former, since  $abab$  is a cyclic of size 4, this is not the case.

For the latter, the product of any two involutions is 1 or of order 5, a contradiction.

- Note: For a positive integer  $n$ , if every transitive group has a regular subgroup then every vertex-transitive graph is Cayley. (Example:  $n = p$ , a prime.)



The Petersen graph is not Cayley

- Outline of a proof:

If it is Cayley, then the group has order 10.

There are two non-isomorphic groups: cyclic and dihedral.

The girth of Cayley graphs on abelian groups are 3 or 4. So  $G = D_{10}$ .

$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^5 = b^2 = 1, bab = a^{-1} \rangle.$$

$S = \{a, a^{-1}, b\}$  or three involutions.

For the former, since  $abab$  is a cyclic of size 4, this is not the case.

For the latter, the product of any two involutions is 1 or of order 5, a contradiction.

- Note: For a positive integer  $n$ , if every transitive group has a regular subgroup then every vertex-transitive graph is Cayley. (Example:  $n = p$ , a prime.)





Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{NR} &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a transitive group} \\ &\quad \text{of degree } n \text{ without a regular subgroup}\} \\ \mathcal{NC} &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a vertex-transitive graph} \\ &\quad \text{of order } n \text{ which is non-Cayley}\}\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathcal{NR} \supseteq \mathcal{NC}$ .

- Question:  $\mathcal{NR} = \mathcal{NC}$ ?

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{NR} &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a transitive group} \\ &\quad \text{of degree } n \text{ without a regular subgroup}\} \\ \mathcal{NC} &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a vertex-transitive graph} \\ &\quad \text{of order } n \text{ which is non-Cayley}\} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathcal{NR} \supseteq \mathcal{NC}$ .

- Question:  $\mathcal{NR} = \mathcal{NC}$ ?
- Answer:  $\mathcal{NR} \not\subseteq \mathcal{NC}$ . For example,  $12 \notin \mathcal{NC}$ , but  $12 \in \mathcal{NR}$  since  $M_{11}$ , acting on 12 points, has no regular subgroup.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{NR} &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a transitive group} \\ &\quad \text{of degree } n \text{ without a regular subgroup}\} \\ \mathcal{NC} &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a vertex-transitive graph} \\ &\quad \text{of order } n \text{ which is non-Cayley}\} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathcal{NR} \supseteq \mathcal{NC}$ .

- Question:  $\mathcal{NR} = \mathcal{NC}$ ?
- Answer:  $\mathcal{NR} \not\equiv \mathcal{NC}$ . For example,  $12 \notin \mathcal{NC}$ , but  $12 \in \mathcal{NR}$  since  $M_{11}$ , acting on 12 points, has no regular subgroup.
- Exercise: 6 is the smallest number in  $\mathcal{NR} \setminus \mathcal{NC}$  since  $A_6$  has no regular subgroups.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{NR} &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a transitive group} \\ &\quad \text{of degree } n \text{ without a regular subgroup}\} \\ \mathcal{NC} &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a vertex-transitive graph} \\ &\quad \text{of order } n \text{ which is non-Cayley}\} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathcal{NR} \supseteq \mathcal{NC}$ .

- Question:  $\mathcal{NR} = \mathcal{NC}$ ?
- Answer:  $\mathcal{NR} \not\subseteq \mathcal{NC}$ . For example,  $12 \notin \mathcal{NC}$ , but  $12 \in \mathcal{NR}$  since  $M_{11}$ , acting on 12 points, has no regular subgroup.
- Exercise: 6 is the smallest number in  $\mathcal{NR} \setminus \mathcal{NC}$  since  $A_6$  has no regular subgroups.





$p^2 \notin \mathcal{NC}$ 

- (Marušič ič) Any transitive group  $G$  of degree  $p^2$  on  $\Omega$  has a regular subgroup, i.e.,  $p^2 \notin \mathcal{NR}$ .

$$p^2 \notin \mathcal{NC}$$

- (Marušič ič) Any transitive group  $G$  of degree  $p^2$  on  $\Omega$  has a regular subgroup, i.e.,  $p^2 \notin \mathcal{NR}$ .
- Outline of a proof: Take a minimal transitive subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ . Then  $P$  is a  $p$ -group and every maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $P$  is intransitive. For any  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , we have  $|P_\alpha| = |P|/p^2$  and  $|M_\alpha| > |M|/p^2$ , so  $M_\alpha = P_\alpha$ . It follows that  $P_\alpha \leq M$  and hence  $P_\alpha \leq \Phi(P)$ . If  $|P : \Phi(P)| = p$ , then  $P$  is cyclic and is regular. If  $|P : \Phi(P)| = p^2$ , then  $P_\alpha = \Phi(P)$ . Since  $\Phi(P)$  is normal in  $P$  and  $P_\alpha$  is core-free, we have  $P_\alpha = 1$  and hence  $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$  is regular.

$$p^2 \notin \mathcal{NR}$$

- (Marušič ić) Any transitive group  $G$  of degree  $p^2$  on  $\Omega$  has a regular subgroup, i.e.,  $p^2 \notin \mathcal{NR}$ .
- Outline of a proof: Take a minimal transitive subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ . Then  $P$  is a  $p$ -group and every maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $P$  is intransitive. For any  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , we have  $|P_\alpha| = |P|/p^2$  and  $|M_\alpha| > |M|/p^2$ , so  $M_\alpha = P_\alpha$ . It follows that  $P_\alpha \leq M$  and hence  $P_\alpha \leq \Phi(P)$ . If  $|P : \Phi(P)| = p$ , then  $P$  is cyclic and is regular. If  $|P : \Phi(P)| = p^2$ , then  $P_\alpha = \Phi(P)$ . Since  $\Phi(P)$  is normal in  $P$  and  $P_\alpha$  is core-free, we have  $P_\alpha = 1$  and hence  $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$  is regular.



$$p^3 \in \mathcal{NR} \setminus \mathcal{NC}$$

- (Marušič ič)  $p^3 \notin \mathcal{NC}$ .

$$p^3 \in \mathcal{NR} \setminus \mathcal{NC}$$

- (Marušič ič)  $p^3 \notin \mathcal{NC}$ .
- $p^3 \in \mathcal{NR}$  (For  $p > 2$ ).

Let  $G$  be the following group of order  $p^4$

$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^2} = b^p = c^p = 1, [a, b] = c, [c, a] = a^p, [c, b] = 1 \rangle.$$

Let  $H = \langle c \rangle$ . Consider the transitive permutation representation  $\varphi$  of  $G$  acting on the coset space  $[G : H]$ .

Then  $\varphi(G)$  is a transitive group of degree  $p^3$ , and  $\varphi(G)$  has no regular subgroups.

$$p^3 \in \mathcal{NR} \setminus \mathcal{NC}$$

- (Marušič ič)  $p^3 \notin \mathcal{NC}$ .
- $p^3 \in \mathcal{NR}$  (For  $p > 2$ ).

Let  $G$  be the following group of order  $p^4$

$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^2} = b^p = c^p = 1, [a, b] = c, [c, a] = a^p, [c, b] = 1 \rangle.$$

Let  $H = \langle c \rangle$ . Consider the transitive permutation representation  $\varphi$  of  $G$  acting on the coset space  $[G : H]$ .

Then  $\varphi(G)$  is a transitive group of degree  $p^3$ , and  $\varphi(G)$  has no regular subgroups.

- $p^3 \in \mathcal{NR}$  (For  $p = 2$ ).

Let

$$G = \langle a, b, c, d \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = d^4 = 1, \\ [a, b] = [b, c] = [c, a] = 1, a^d = ab, b^d = bc, c^d = c \rangle.$$

Then  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  has order  $2^5$ . Let  $H = \langle b, d^2 \rangle$  and  $\varphi$  be the transitive permutation representation of  $G$  acting on the coset space  $[G : H]$ .

Then  $\varphi(G)$  is a transitive group of degree  $2^3$  and has no regular subgroup.



- $p^3 \in \mathcal{NR}$  (For  $p = 2$ ).

Let

$$G = \langle a, b, c, d \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = d^4 = 1, \\ [a, b] = [b, c] = [c, a] = 1, a^d = ab, b^d = bc, c^d = c \rangle.$$

Then  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  has order  $2^5$ . Let  $H = \langle b, d^2 \rangle$  and  $\varphi$  be the transitive permutation representation of  $G$  acting on the coset space  $[G : H]$ .

Then  $\varphi(G)$  is a transitive group of degree  $2^3$  and has no regular subgroup.

Determine the set  $\mathcal{NR}$

Determine the set  $\mathcal{NR}$

- Let  $p < q$  be two primes. Then  $pq \in \mathcal{NR}$ .

- Let  $p < q$  be two primes. Then  $pq \in \mathcal{NR}$ .

- Let  $p < q$  be two primes. Then  $pq \in \mathcal{NR}$ .

### Theorem

*Let  $n$  be a positive integer greater than 1. Then  $n \in \mathcal{NR}$  unless  $n = p$  or  $p^2$  for a prime  $p$ .*

- Let  $p < q$  be two primes. Then  $pq \in \mathcal{NR}$ .

### Theorem

*Let  $n$  be a positive integer greater than 1. Then  $n \in \mathcal{NR}$  unless  $n = p$  or  $p^2$  for a prime  $p$ .*







Let

$$\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{R} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a 2-closed transitive group of degree } n \text{ without a regular subgroup}\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{D} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a vertex-transitive digraph of order } n \text{ which is non-Cayley}\}$$

- Question 1: Is  $\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}$ ?

Let

$$\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{R} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a 2-closed transitive group of degree } n \text{ without a regular subgroup}\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{D} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a vertex-transitive digraph of order } n \text{ which is non-Cayley}\}$$

- Question 1: Is  $\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}$ ?
- Question 2: Is  $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}$ ?

Let

$$\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{R} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a 2-closed transitive group} \\ \text{of degree } n \text{ without a regular subgroup}\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{D} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a vertex-transitive digraph} \\ \text{of order } n \text{ which is non-Cayley}\}$$

- Question 1: Is  $\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}$ ?
- Question 2: Is  $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}$ ?



Let

$$\mathcal{PNR} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a primitive group of degree } n \text{ without a regular subgroup}\}$$

- Determine the set  $\mathcal{PNR}$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{PNR} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a primitive group of degree } n \text{ without a regular subgroup}\}$$

- Determine the set  $\mathcal{PNR}$ .
- Note: Different from the set  $\mathcal{NR}$ , we know that  $p^n \notin \mathcal{PNR}$  for any prime  $p$  and any positive integer  $n$ . Thus, determining the set  $\mathcal{PNR}$  should be much harder than  $\mathcal{NR}$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{PNR} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there is a primitive group of degree } n \text{ without a regular subgroup}\}$$

- Determine the set  $\mathcal{PNR}$ .
- Note: Different from the set  $\mathcal{NR}$ , we know that  $p^n \notin \mathcal{PNR}$  for any prime  $p$  and any positive integer  $n$ . Thus, determining the set  $\mathcal{PNR}$  should be much harder than  $\mathcal{NR}$ .