

4. Suppose $0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M_2 \rightarrow 0$.

Then there exists $h: M_2 \rightarrow M$ s.t $gh = I_{M_2}$

$\Leftrightarrow 0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M_2 \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$ are isomorphic.

Pf: (\Rightarrow)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & M_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \xleftarrow{\pi} & M_2 \rightarrow 0 \\ & & I_{M_1} \downarrow & & \phi \downarrow & \rho & \downarrow I_{M_2} \\ 0 & \rightarrow & M_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \xrightarrow{g} & M_2 \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Let $m_1 \in M_1, m_2 \in M_2$.

Define $\phi: M_1 \oplus M_2 \rightarrow M$ by $\phi((m_1, m_2)) = f(m_1) + h(m_2)$

Claim: commutative

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\tau(m_1) &= \phi((m_1, 0)) = f(m_1) + h(0) = f(m_1) = fI_{M_1}(m_1) \\ g\phi((m_1, m_2)) &= g(f(m_1) + h(m_2)) \\ &= gf(m_1) + gh(m_2) \\ &= 0 + I_{M_2}(m_2) \\ &= I_{M_2}\pi((m_1, m_2)) \end{aligned}$$

By Five Short Lemma, ϕ is an isomorphism.

Thus these two exact sequences are isomorphic.

(\Leftarrow)

Define $\rho: M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2$ by $\rho(m_2) = (0, m_2)$

Let $h = \phi\rho \Rightarrow gh = I_{M_2}$.

□

5. (a) Show that if $\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i$ is projective then P_i is projective for each $i \in I$ (b) Show that if P_i for each $i \in I$ is projective then $\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i$ is projective.

Pf: (a)

$$\exists L \text{ s.t } L \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i) \text{ is free module.}$$

$$\Rightarrow (L \oplus (\bigoplus_{\substack{i \in I \\ i \neq j}} P_i)) \oplus P_j \text{ is free module. } \forall j \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow P_j \text{ is projective, } \forall j \in I$$

(b)

$$\because P_i \text{ is projective, } \forall i \in I$$

$$\therefore \exists L_i \text{ s.t } L_i \oplus P_i \text{ free, } \forall i \in I$$

$$\text{Consider } \bigoplus_{i \in I} (L_i \oplus P_i) \text{ is also free } \equiv (\bigoplus_{i \in I} L_i) \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i)$$

$$\therefore \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i \text{ is projective.}$$

□

6. Show that \mathbb{Q} is not a projective \mathbb{Z} -module.

Pf:

(P is projective R -module $\Leftrightarrow \exists L$ is a R -module s.t $L \oplus P$ is free R -module)

Assume there exists L is a \mathbb{Z} -module s.t $L \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ is free \mathbb{Z} -module.

We try to get contradiction from this.

\circ Finite Dimension

$\exists (l_1, \frac{n_1}{m_1}), (l_2, \frac{n_2}{m_2}), \dots, (l_k, \frac{n_k}{m_k}) \in L \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ is a basis of $L \oplus \mathbb{Q}$

where $l_i \in L$, $\frac{n_i}{m_i} \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $(n_i, m_i) = 1$.

take $a = \frac{1}{2m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k}$, then $(0, a) \in \text{span}((l_i, \frac{n_i}{m_i}), i=1, \dots, k)$

$\exists c_1, c_2 \cdots c_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t $(0, \frac{1}{2m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k}) = c_1(l_1, \frac{n_1}{m_1}) + c_2(l_2, \frac{n_2}{m_2}) + \cdots + c_k(l_k, \frac{n_k}{m_k})$

$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k} = c_1 \frac{n_1}{m_1} + c_2 \frac{n_2}{m_2} + \cdots + c_k \frac{n_k}{m_k} = \frac{M}{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k}$

for some $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ $\rightarrow \leftarrow$

\circ Infinite Dimension

$\exists S \subseteq L \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ is a basis and $|S| = \infty$, and $(0, 1) \in \text{span}(S)$

$\exists (l_1, \frac{n_1}{m_1}), (l_2, \frac{n_2}{m_2}), \dots, (l_k, \frac{n_k}{m_k}) \in L \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ and $\exists c_1, c_2 \cdots c_k \in \mathbb{Z}$

s.t $(0, 1) = c_1(l_1, \frac{n_1}{m_1}) + c_2(l_2, \frac{n_2}{m_2}) + \cdots + c_k(l_k, \frac{n_k}{m_k})$,

take $a = \frac{1}{2m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k}$, $(0, a) \notin \text{span}((l_i, \frac{n_i}{m_i}), i=1, \dots, k)$,

but $a \in \text{span}(S)$, take $(l_{k+1}, \frac{n_{k+1}}{m_{k+1}}), (l_{k+2}, \frac{n_{k+2}}{m_{k+2}}), \dots, (l_{k+b}, \frac{n_{k+b}}{m_{k+b}}) \in S$

s.t $(0, a) \in \text{span}((l_i, \frac{n_i}{m_i}), i=1, \dots, k+b)$

$\exists c'_1, c'_2 \cdots c'_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t $(0, a) = c'_1(l_1, \frac{n_1}{m_1}) + c'_2(l_2, \frac{n_2}{m_2}) + \cdots + c'_{k+b}(l_{k+b}, \frac{n_{k+b}}{m_{k+b}})$

$\exists c'_{k+b} \neq 0$ for some i

$2m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k (0, a) = (0, 1) = 2m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k (c'_1(l_1, \frac{n_1}{m_1}) + c'_2(l_2, \frac{n_2}{m_2}) + \cdots + c'_{k+b}(l_{k+b}, \frac{n_{k+b}}{m_{k+b}}))$

$\therefore \exists c'_{k+b} \neq 0 \Rightarrow S$ isn't linearly independent.

□