Section 19 – Integral domains

Instructor: Yifan Yang

Spring 2007

Observation and motivation

- There are rings in which *ab* = 0 implies *a* = 0 or *b* = 0. For examples, ℤ, ℚ, ℝ, ℂ, and ℤ[*x*] are all such rings.
- There are also ring in which there exist some a, b such that $a, b \neq 0$, but ab = 0. For example, in \mathbb{Z}_6 we have $2 \cdot 3 = 0$. Also, in $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ we have $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.
- In the first cases, an equation of the form

 (x a)(x b) = 0 has exactly two solutions a and b since
 (x a)(x b) = 0 implies x a = 0 or x b = 0.
- In the second cases, an equation (x a)(x b) = 0 may have more than two solutions. For example, 2, 3, 6, 11 are all solutions of (x - 2)(x - 3) = 0 in \mathbb{Z}_{12} .
- This shows that the these two classes of rings are fundamentally different.

Divisors of zero

Definition

If a and b are two nonzero elements of a ring R such that ab = 0, then a and b are divisors of zero (or zero divisors).

Example

- 1. 2, 3, 4, are all zero divisors in \mathbb{Z}_6 .
- 2. The matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ are zero divisors in $M_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Zero divisors of \mathbb{Z}_n

Theorem (19.3)

The zero divisors of \mathbb{Z}_n are precisely the nonzero elements that are not relatively prime to *n*.

Corollary (19.4)

If *p* is a prime, then \mathbb{Z}_p has no zero divisors.

Proof of Theorem 19.3

• Case gcd(m, n) = d > 1. We have

$$m\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)=n\left(\frac{m}{d}\right)=0,$$

and *m* is a zero divisor.

• Case gcd(m, n) = 1. Assume that mk = 0 in \mathbb{Z}_n , i.e., n|mk. Since *m* is relatively prime to *n*, we have n|k, i.e., k = 0 in \mathbb{Z}_n . We see that *m* is not a zero divisor.

Cancellation law

Theorem (19.5)

The cancellation law (i.e., $ab = ac, a \neq 0 \Rightarrow b = c$) holds for a ring R if and only if R has no zero divisors.

Proof.

- ⇒. Assume that the cancellation law holds, but *ab* = 0 for some *a*, *b* ≠ 0. Then we have *ab* = 0 = *a*0, but *b* ≠ 0, which is a contradiction.
- ⇐. Assume that *R* has no zero divisors. If *ab* = *ac* and *a* ≠ 0, then *ab ac* = 0, which, by the distributive law, gives *a*(*b c*) = 0. Since *R* has no zero divisors and *a* is assumed to be nonzero, we have *b c* = 0 and thus *b* = *c*.

Remarks

• Let *R* be a ring with zero divisors. Even if ab = ac and $a \neq 0$ do not imply b = c for general $a, b, c \in R$, the cancellation law still holds for the cases when *a* has a multiplicative inverse.

For example, in \mathbb{Z}_{15} , 2a = 2b still implies a = b since 2 is relatively prime to 15.

Also, if *A* is an invertible matrix in $M_2(\mathbb{R})$, then AB = AC still implies B = C.

 If *R* is a ring with no zero divisors, then the equation ax = b has at most one solution in *R*. Suppose that *R* is a commutative ring with no zero divisors, and that *a* is a unit in *R*. Then the equation ax = b has exactly one solution $a^{-1}b$. For convenience, we let b/a denote this element $a^{-1}b$. The notation 1/a will denote a^{-1} in this case.

However, when *R* is not commutative, we do not use this notation because we do not know whether b/a means $a^{-1}b$ or ba^{-1} .

Integral domains

Definition

A commutative ring *R* with unity $1 \neq 0$ that has no zero divisors is an integral domain.

Example

- 1. The ring of integers \mathbb{Z} is an integral domain. In fact, this is why we call such rings "integral" domains.
- 2. If *p* is a prime, then \mathbb{Z}_p is an integral domain. On the other hand, if *n* is composite, then \mathbb{Z}_n is not an integral domain.
- The direct product *R* × *S* of two nonzero rings *R* and *S* is never an integral domain since (*r*, 0)(0, *s*) = (0, 0) for all *r* ∈ *R* and *s* ∈ *S*.

Fields are integral domains

Theorem (19.9)

Every field F is an integral domain.

Proof.

Suppose that $a, b \in F$ is such that ab = 0. We need to show that if $a \neq 0$, then b = 0. By the associativity of multiplication, we have

$$0 = a^{-1}(ab) = (a^{-1}a)b = 1b = b.$$

This proves the theorem.

Finite integral domains are fields

Theorem (19.11) Every finite integral domain D is a field.

Corollary (19.12)

If *p* is a prime, then \mathbb{Z}_p is a field.

Proof of Theorem 19.11

- We need to show that every nonzero element *a* of *D* has a multiplicative inverse.
- Let 0, 1, *a*₁, ..., *a_n* be all the elements of the finite integral domain *D*.
- Consider the products $0 = a0, a = a1, aa_1, \ldots, aa_n$.
- These products are all distinct since ab = ac implies b = c by Theorem 19.5.
- Thus, $0, a, aa_1, \ldots, aa_n$ must be all the elements of *D*.
- One of these must be 1, i.e., $aa_i = 1$ for some a_i . This proves the theorem.

Relations between various rings



In-class exercises

- 1. Find all solutions of $x^3 2x^2 3x = 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_{12} .
- 2. Characterize all the zero divisors of $M_2(\mathbb{R})$.
- 3. Let *F* be the set of all continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with addition and multiplication given by

$$f + g : \mathbf{x} \mapsto f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x}), \qquad f \cdot g : \mathbf{x} \mapsto f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x}).$$

Find the proper place for *F* in the diagram on the last page.

The characteristic of a ring

Definition

Let *R* be a ring. Suppose that there is a positive integer *n* such that $n \cdot a = 0$ for all $a \in R$. The least such positive integer is the characteristic of the ring *R*. If no such positive integer exists, then *R* is of characteristic 0.

Example

- 1. The rings \mathbb{Z}_n are of characteristic *n*.
- 2. The rings $\mathbb{Z},\,\mathbb{Q},\,\mathbb{R},\,\text{and}\,\mathbb{C}$ are all of characteristic 0.

The characteristic of a ring

Theorem (19.15)

Let *R* be a ring with unity. If $n \cdot 1 \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then *R* has characteristic 0. If $n \cdot 1 = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then the smallest such integer *n* is the characteristic of *R*.

Proof.

If $n \cdot 1 \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then *R* is clearly of characteristic 0, by definition.

If $n \cdot 1 = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then for all $a \in R$, we have

$$n \cdot a = (a + \dots + a) = a(1 + \dots + 1) = a(n \cdot 1) = a0 = 0.$$

Then the theorem follows.

Homework

Problems 2, 10, 12, 23, 27, 28, 29, 30 of Section 19.