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## Observation and motivation

- There are rings in which $a b=0$ implies $a=0$ or $b=0$. For examples, $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$, and $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ are all such rings.
- There are also ring in which there exist some $a, b$ such that $a, b \neq 0$, but $a b=0$. For example, in $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ we have $2 \cdot 3=0$. Also, in $M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ we have $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$.
- In the first cases, an equation of the form $(x-a)(x-b)=0$ has exactly two solutions $a$ and $b$ since $(x-a)(x-b)=0$ implies $x-a=0$ or $x-b=0$.
- In the second cases, an equation $(x-a)(x-b)=0$ may have more than two solutions. For example, 2, 3, 6, 11 are all solutions of $(x-2)(x-3)=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$.
- This shows that the these two classes of rings are fundamentally different.


## Divisors of zero

Definition
If $a$ and $b$ are two nonzero elements of a ring $R$ such that $a b=0$, then $a$ and $b$ are divisors of zero (or zero divisors).

## Example

1. $2,3,4$, are all zero divisors in $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$.
2. The matrices $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ are zero divisors in $M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

## Zero divisors of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$

Theorem (19.3)
The zero divisors of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ are precisely the nonzero elements that are not relatively prime to $n$.

Corollary (19.4)
If $p$ is a prime, then $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ has no zero divisors.

## Proof of Theorem 19.3

- Case $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=d>1$. We have

$$
m\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)=n\left(\frac{m}{d}\right)=0
$$

and $m$ is a zero divisor.

- Case $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$. Assume that $m k=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, i.e., $n \mid m k$. Since $m$ is relatively prime to $n$, we have $n \mid k$, i.e., $k=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. We see that $m$ is not a zero divisor.


## Cancellation law

Theorem (19.5)
The cancellation law (i.e., $a b=a c, a \neq 0 \Rightarrow b=c$ ) holds for $a$ ring $R$ if and only if $R$ has no zero divisors.

Proof.

- $\Rightarrow$. Assume that the cancellation law holds, but $a b=0$ for some $a, b \neq 0$. Then we have $a b=0=a 0$, but $b \neq 0$, which is a contradiction.
- $\Leftarrow$. Assume that $R$ has no zero divisors. If $a b=a c$ and $a \neq 0$, then $a b-a c=0$, which, by the distributive law, gives $a(b-c)=0$. Since $R$ has no zero divisors and $a$ is assumed to be nonzero, we have $b-c=0$ and thus $b=c$.


## Remarks

- Let $R$ be a ring with zero divisors. Even if $a b=a c$ and $a \neq 0$ do not imply $b=c$ for general $a, b, c \in R$, the cancellation law still holds for the cases when $a$ has a multiplicative inverse.

For example, in $\mathbb{Z}_{15}, 2 a=2 b$ still implies $a=b$ since 2 is relatively prime to 15.

Also, if $A$ is an invertible matrix in $M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$, then $A B=A C$ still implies $B=C$.

- If $R$ is a ring with no zero divisors, then the equation $a x=b$ has at most one solution in $R$.


## Notation $b / a$

Suppose that $R$ is a commutative ring with no zero divisors, and that $a$ is a unit in $R$. Then the equation $a x=b$ has exactly one solution $a^{-1} b$. For convenience, we let $b / a$ denote this element $a^{-1} b$. The notation $1 / a$ will denote $a^{-1}$ in this case.

However, when $R$ is not commutative, we do not use this notation because we do not know whether $b / a$ means $a^{-1} b$ or $b a^{-1}$.

## Integral domains

## Definition

A commutative ring $R$ with unity $1 \neq 0$ that has no zero divisors is an integral domain.

## Example

1. The ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ is an integral domain. In fact, this is why we call such rings "integral" domains.
2. If $p$ is a prime, then $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is an integral domain. On the other hand, if $n$ is composite, then $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is not an integral domain.
3. The direct product $R \times S$ of two nonzero rings $R$ and $S$ is never an integral domain since $(r, 0)(0, s)=(0,0)$ for all $r \in R$ and $s \in S$.

## Fields are integral domains

Theorem (19.9)
Every field $F$ is an integral domain.

## Proof.

Suppose that $a, b \in F$ is such that $a b=0$. We need to show that if $a \neq 0$, then $b=0$. By the associativity of multiplication, we have

$$
0=a^{-1}(a b)=\left(a^{-1} a\right) b=1 b=b .
$$

This proves the theorem.

## Finite integral domains are fields

Theorem (19.11)
Every finite integral domain $D$ is a field.
Corollary (19.12)
If $p$ is a prime, then $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is a field.

## Proof of Theorem 19.11

- We need to show that every nonzero element $a$ of $D$ has a multiplicative inverse.
- Let $0,1, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ be all the elements of the finite integral domain $D$.
- Consider the products $0=a 0, a=a 1, a a_{1}, \ldots, a a_{n}$.
- These products are all distinct since $a b=a c$ implies $b=c$ by Theorem 19.5.
- Thus, $0, a, a a_{1}, \ldots, a a_{n}$ must be all the elements of $D$.
- One of these must be 1 , i.e., $a a_{i}=1$ for some $a_{i}$. This proves the theorem.


## Relations between various rings



## In-class exercises

1. Find all solutions of $x^{3}-2 x^{2}-3 x=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$.
2. Characterize all the zero divisors of $M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
3. Let $F$ be the set of all continuous functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with addition and multiplication given by

$$
f+g: x \mapsto f(x)+g(x), \quad f \cdot g: x \mapsto f(x) g(x) .
$$

Find the proper place for $F$ in the diagram on the last page.

## The characteristic of a ring

Definition
Let $R$ be a ring. Suppose that there is a positive integer $n$ such that $n \cdot a=0$ for all $a \in R$. The least such positive integer is the characteristic of the ring $R$. If no such positive integer exists, then $R$ is of characteristic 0 .

Example

1. The rings $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ are of characteristic $n$.
2. The rings $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{C}$ are all of characteristic 0 .

## The characteristic of a ring

Theorem (19.15)
Let $R$ be a ring with unity. If $n \cdot 1 \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, then $R$ has characteristic 0 . If $n \cdot 1=0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, then the smallest such integer $n$ is the characteristic of $R$.

Proof.
If $n \cdot 1 \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, then $R$ is clearly of characteristic 0 , by definition.
If $n \cdot 1=0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, then for all $a \in R$, we have

$$
n \cdot a=(a+\cdots+a)=a(1+\cdots+1)=a(n \cdot 1)=a 0=0 .
$$

Then the theorem follows.

## Homework

Problems 2, 10, 12, 23, 27, 28, 29, 30 of Section 19.

