Boson-Fermion Correspondence and Jacobi Triple Product Identity

Shun-Jen Cheng

Department of Mathematics National Taiwan University

- 1. Representation Theory
- 2. Example of Lie (super)algebras

- 1. Representation Theory
- 2. Example of Lie (super)algebras
- 3. Clifford Algebra and the fermionic Fock Space

- 1. Representation Theory
- 2. Example of Lie (super)algebras
- 3. Clifford Algebra and the fermionic Fock Space
- 4. Heisenberg Algebra and the bosonic Fock Space

- 1. Representation Theory
- 2. Example of Lie (super)algebras
- 3. Clifford Algebra and the fermionic Fock Space
- 4. Heisenberg Algebra and the bosonic Fock Space
- 5. Decomposition of the fermionic Fock Space

- 1. Representation Theory
- 2. Example of Lie (super)algebras
- 3. Clifford Algebra and the fermionic Fock Space
- 4. Heisenberg Algebra and the bosonic Fock Space
- 5. Decomposition of the fermionic Fock Space
- 6. Computation of the Character and Jacobi Triple Product Identity

• What is representation theory all about?

- What is representation theory all about?
- One may say that representation theory is the realization of abstract structures as matrices.

- What is representation theory all about?
- One may say that representation theory is the realization of abstract structures as matrices.
- Example: Take an abstract finite group G. A representation of G consists of two pieces of data:

- What is representation theory all about?
- One may say that representation theory is the realization of abstract structures as matrices.
- Example: Take an abstract finite group G. A representation of G consists of two pieces of data:
 - 1. A vector space V over some field k.

- What is representation theory all about?
- One may say that representation theory is the realization of abstract structures as matrices.
- Example: Take an abstract finite group G. A representation of G consists of two pieces of data:

1. A vector space V over some field k.

2. A group homomorphism $\rho : G \to \operatorname{GL}_k(V)$. Here $\operatorname{GL}_k(V)$ is just the group of all invertible *k*-linear maps from *V* to *V* itself. So we can think of elements in $\operatorname{GL}_k(V)$ as matrices.

- What is representation theory all about?
- One may say that representation theory is the realization of abstract structures as matrices.
- Example: Take an abstract finite group G. A representation of G consists of two pieces of data:

1. A vector space V over some field k.

2. A group homomorphism $\rho : G \to \operatorname{GL}_k(V)$. Here $\operatorname{GL}_k(V)$ is just the group of all invertible *k*-linear maps from *V* to *V* itself. So we can think of elements in $\operatorname{GL}_k(V)$ as matrices.

• The fact that ρ is a group homomorphism means that

$$\rho(xy) = \rho(x)\rho(y),$$

and hence the group structure of G, namely the group multiplication, is preserved.

- What is representation theory all about?
- One may say that representation theory is the realization of abstract structures as matrices.
- Example: Take an abstract finite group G. A representation of G consists of two pieces of data:

1. A vector space V over some field k.

2. A group homomorphism $\rho : G \to \operatorname{GL}_k(V)$. Here $\operatorname{GL}_k(V)$ is just the group of all invertible *k*-linear maps from *V* to *V* itself. So we can think of elements in $\operatorname{GL}_k(V)$ as matrices.

• The fact that ρ is a group homomorphism means that

$$\rho(xy) = \rho(x)\rho(y),$$

and hence the group structure of G, namely the group multiplication, is preserved.

 So representation is just a way of realizing something that may be very abstract as matrices.

• Let us take $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, the group of order 2. Let's say that $G = \{e, x\}$, where $x^2 = e$, and e is the identity element.

- Let us take $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, the group of order 2. Let's say that $G = \{e, x\}$, where $x^2 = e$, and e is the identity element.
- What kind of representations do we know?

- Let us take $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, the group of order 2. Let's say that $G = \{e, x\}$, where $x^2 = e$, and e is the identity element.
- What kind of representations do we know?
- Take V = C the field of complex numbers, which we regard as a one-dimensional vector space over C itself.

- Let us take $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, the group of order 2. Let's say that $G = \{e, x\}$, where $x^2 = e$, and e is the identity element.
- What kind of representations do we know?
- Take V = C the field of complex numbers, which we regard as a one-dimensional vector space over C itself.

So we are now looking at homomorphisms

$$\rho: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*,$$

where $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - 0$.

- Let us take $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, the group of order 2. Let's say that $G = \{e, x\}$, where $x^2 = e$, and e is the identity element.
- What kind of representations do we know?
- Take V = C the field of complex numbers, which we regard as a one-dimensional vector space over C itself.

So we are now looking at homomorphisms

$$\rho: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*,$$

where $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - 0$.

Since we must have $\rho(x^2) = \rho(x)^2 = \rho(e) = 1$ we must have $\rho(x) = \pm 1$. So we have only two representations here, namely

- Let us take $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, the group of order 2. Let's say that $G = \{e, x\}$, where $x^2 = e$, and e is the identity element.
- What kind of representations do we know?
- Take $V = \mathbb{C}$ the field of complex numbers, which we regard as a one-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} itself.

So we are now looking at homomorphisms

$$\rho: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*,$$

where $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - 0$.

Since we must have $\rho(x^2) = \rho(x)^2 = \rho(e) = 1$ we must have $\rho(x) = \pm 1$. So we have only two representations here, namely

The trivial representation

$$\rho(e) = 1, \quad \rho(x) = 1.$$

- Let us take $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, the group of order 2. Let's say that $G = \{e, x\}$, where $x^2 = e$, and e is the identity element.
- What kind of representations do we know?
- Take $V = \mathbb{C}$ the field of complex numbers, which we regard as a one-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} itself.

So we are now looking at homomorphisms

$$\rho: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*,$$

where $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - 0$.

Since we must have $\rho(x^2) = \rho(x)^2 = \rho(e) = 1$ we must have $\rho(x) = \pm 1$. So we have only two representations here, namely

The trivial representation

$$\rho(e) = 1, \quad \rho(x) = 1.$$

The sign representation

$$\rho(e) = 1, \quad \rho(x) = -1.$$

• Given a representation ρ of G on a vector space V over a field k.

- Given a representation ρ of G on a vector space V over a field k.
- We can make V into a G-module by defining:

- Given a representation ρ of G on a vector space V over a field k.
- We can make V into a G-module by defining:

 $g \cdot v := \rho(g)v, \quad g \in G; v \in V.$

- Given a representation ρ of G on a vector space V over a field k.
- We can make V into a G-module by defining:

 $g \cdot v := \rho(g)v, \quad g \in G; v \in V.$

• Conversely given a *G*-module *V* we can define a representation ρ of *G* on *V* by defining:

- Given a representation ρ of G on a vector space V over a field k.
- We can make V into a G-module by defining:

 $g \cdot v := \rho(g)v, \quad g \in G; v \in V.$

• Conversely given a *G*-module *V* we can define a representation ρ of *G* on *V* by defining:

 $\rho(g)(v) := g \cdot v, \quad g \in G; v \in V.$

- Given a representation ρ of G on a vector space V over a field k.
- We can make V into a G-module by defining:

 $g \cdot v := \rho(g)v, \quad g \in G; v \in V.$

• Conversely given a *G*-module *V* we can define a representation ρ of *G* on *V* by defining:

 $\rho(g)(v) := g \cdot v, \quad g \in G; v \in V.$

• So the notion of representation of G is the SAME as the notion of a G-module.

• Finite groups are not the only thing we can *represent*.

- Finite groups are not the only thing we can *represent*.
- We can *represent* many other abstract structures, for example

- Finite groups are not the only thing we can *represent*.
- We can *represent* many other abstract structures, for example
 - associative algebras

- Finite groups are not the only thing we can *represent*.
- We can *represent* many other abstract structures, for example associative algebras

or
- Finite groups are not the only thing we can *represent*.
- We can *represent* many other abstract structures, for example

associative algebras

or

non-associative algebras.

- Finite groups are not the only thing we can *represent*.
- We can *represent* many other abstract structures, for example associative algebras

or

non-associative algebras.

• Of particular interest in this talk are:

- Finite groups are not the only thing we can *represent*.
- We can *represent* many other abstract structures, for example associative algebras

or

non-associative algebras.

• Of particular interest in this talk are:

representations of Lie algebras.

- Finite groups are not the only thing we can *represent*.
- We can *represent* many other abstract structures, for example

associative algebras

or

non-associative algebras.

• Of particular interest in this talk are:

representations of Lie algebras.

• A Lie algebra is a vector space L over a field k equipped with a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : L \times L \to L$ satisfying:

- Finite groups are not the only thing we can *represent*.
- We can *represent* many other abstract structures, for example associative algebras

or

non-associative algebras.

Of particular interest in this talk are:

representations of Lie algebras.

• A Lie algebra is a vector space L over a field k equipped with a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : L \times L \to L$ satisfying:

(1) [X, Y] = -[Y, X], skew-symmetry and

- Finite groups are not the only thing we can represent.
- We can *represent* many other abstract structures, for example associative algebras

or

non-associative algebras.

Of particular interest in this talk are:

representations of Lie algebras.

• A Lie algebra is a vector space L over a field k equipped with a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : L \times L \to L$ satisfying:

(1) [X, Y] = -[Y, X], skew-symmetry and

(2) [X, [Y, Z]] = [[X, Y], Z] + [Y, [X, Z]], for all $X, Y, Z \in L$. Jacobi identity.

We can make $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$ into a Lie algebra by defining $[\cdot, \cdot]$ by:

We can make $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$ into a Lie algebra by defining $[\cdot, \cdot]$ by:

```
[X, Y] := XY - YX, \quad \forall X, Y \in \operatorname{End}_k(V).
```

We can make $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$ into a Lie algebra by defining $[\cdot, \cdot]$ by:

```
[X, Y] := XY - YX, \quad \forall X, Y \in \operatorname{End}_k(V).
```

Then skew-symmetry and Jacobi identity are satisfied.

We can make $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$ into a Lie algebra by defining $[\cdot, \cdot]$ by:

```
[X, Y] := XY - YX, \quad \forall X, Y \in \operatorname{End}_k(V).
```

Then skew-symmetry and Jacobi identity are satisfied.

So $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$ is naturally a Lie algebra.

We can make $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$ into a Lie algebra by defining $[\cdot, \cdot]$ by:

 $[X, Y] := XY - YX, \quad \forall X, Y \in \operatorname{End}_k(V).$

Then skew-symmetry and Jacobi identity are satisfied.

So $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$ is naturally a Lie algebra.

We need also notion of a Lie superalgebra.

We can make $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$ into a Lie algebra by defining $[\cdot, \cdot]$ by:

 $[X, Y] := XY - YX, \quad \forall X, Y \in \operatorname{End}_k(V).$

Then skew-symmetry and Jacobi identity are satisfied.

So $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$ is naturally a Lie algebra.

We need also notion of a Lie superalgebra.

A Lie superalgebra L is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded space, i.e. L is a direct sum of two vector spaces

 $L = L_{\bar{0}} \oplus L_{\bar{1}},$

equipped with a degree-preserving (i.e. $[L_{\epsilon}, L_{\delta}] \subseteq L_{\epsilon+\delta}$, $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}_2$) bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : L \times L \to L$ satisfying

We can make $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$ into a Lie algebra by defining $[\cdot, \cdot]$ by:

 $[X, Y] := XY - YX, \quad \forall X, Y \in \operatorname{End}_k(V).$

Then skew-symmetry and Jacobi identity are satisfied.

So $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$ is naturally a Lie algebra.

We need also notion of a Lie superalgebra.

A Lie superalgebra L is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded space, i.e. L is a direct sum of two vector spaces

$$L = L_{\bar{0}} \oplus L_{\bar{1}},$$

equipped with a degree-preserving (i.e. $[L_{\epsilon}, L_{\delta}] \subseteq L_{\epsilon+\delta}$, $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}_2$) bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : L \times L \to L$ satisfying

(1) $[X, Y] = -(-1)^{xy}[Y, X]$, super-skew-symmetry and

We can make $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$ into a Lie algebra by defining $[\cdot, \cdot]$ by:

 $[X, Y] := XY - YX, \quad \forall X, Y \in \operatorname{End}_k(V).$

Then skew-symmetry and Jacobi identity are satisfied.

So $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$ is naturally a Lie algebra.

We need also notion of a Lie superalgebra.

A Lie superalgebra L is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded space, i.e. L is a direct sum of two vector spaces

$$L = L_{\bar{0}} \oplus L_{\bar{1}},$$

equipped with a degree-preserving (i.e. $[L_{\epsilon}, L_{\delta}] \subseteq L_{\epsilon+\delta}$, $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}_2$) bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : L \times L \to L$ satisfying

(1) $[X, Y] = -(-1)^{xy}[Y, X]$, super-skew-symmetry and

(2) $[X, [Y, Z]] = [[X, Y], Z] + (-1)^{xy} [Y, [X, Z]]$. super Jacobi identity.

Above X, Y, Z are all homogeneous elements of L and $x = \epsilon$, if $X \in L_{\epsilon}$.

• Here is an easy way to define a Lie superalgebra, called the Clifford superalgebra.

- Here is an easy way to define a Lie superalgebra, called the Clifford superalgebra.
- Let C be the vector space spanned by the following basis elements:

- Here is an easy way to define a Lie superalgebra, called the Clifford superalgebra.
- Let C be the vector space spanned by the following basis elements:

1,
$$\psi_r^+$$
, ψ_r^- , $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$

- Here is an easy way to define a Lie superalgebra, called the Clifford superalgebra.
- Let C be the vector space spanned by the following basis elements:

$$\mathbf{1}, \quad \psi_r^+, \quad \psi_r^-, \qquad r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$$

Set $L_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and $L_{\bar{1}} = \sum_{r} \mathbb{C}\psi_{r}^{+} + \sum_{r} \mathbb{C}\psi_{r}^{-}$.

- Here is an easy way to define a Lie superalgebra, called the Clifford superalgebra.
- Let C be the vector space spanned by the following basis elements:

$$1, \quad \psi_r^+, \quad \psi_r^-, \qquad r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$$

Set $L_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and $L_{\bar{1}} = \sum_{r} \mathbb{C}\psi_{r}^{+} + \sum_{r} \mathbb{C}\psi_{r}^{-}$.

Define the only non-zero Lie super-bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]$ on ${\mathbb C}$ by

$$[\psi_r^+, \psi_s^-] = [\psi_s^-, \psi_r^+] = \delta_{r+s,0} \mathbf{1}.$$

- Here is an easy way to define a Lie superalgebra, called the Clifford superalgebra.
- Let \mathcal{C} be the vector space spanned by the following basis elements:

$$\mathbf{1}, \quad \psi_r^+, \quad \psi_r^-, \qquad r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$$

Set $L_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and $L_{\bar{1}} = \sum_{r} \mathbb{C}\psi_{r}^{+} + \sum_{r} \mathbb{C}\psi_{r}^{-}$.

Define the only non-zero Lie super-bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on C by

$$[\psi_r^+, \psi_s^-] = [\psi_s^-, \psi_r^+] = \delta_{r+s,0} \mathbf{1}.$$

Then the super-skew-symmetry is satisfied by definition, while Jacobi identity is trivially satisfied.

- Here is an easy way to define a Lie superalgebra, called the Clifford superalgebra.
- Let C be the vector space spanned by the following basis elements:

$$\mathbf{1}, \quad \psi_r^+, \quad \psi_r^-, \qquad r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$$

Set $L_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and $L_{\bar{1}} = \sum_{r} \mathbb{C}\psi_{r}^{+} + \sum_{r} \mathbb{C}\psi_{r}^{-}$.

Define the only non-zero Lie super-bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on C by

$$[\psi_r^+, \psi_s^-] = [\psi_s^-, \psi_r^+] = \delta_{r+s,0} \mathbf{1}.$$

Then the super-skew-symmetry is satisfied by definition, while Jacobi identity is trivially satisfied.

This is an example of an infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra.

• Let's construct the following representation \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{C} . \mathcal{F} is called the fermionic Fock space.

 $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{F}}$ is the space spanned by basis elements of the form

$$\psi_{-r_k}^+\psi_{-r_{k-1}}^+\cdots\psi_{-r_1}^+\psi_{-s_l}^-\psi_{-s_{l-1}}^-\cdots\psi_{-s_1}^-|0\rangle,$$

where $r_k > r_{k-1} > \cdots > r_1 > 0$ and $s_l > s_{l-1} > \cdots > s_1 > 0$.

 $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{F}}$ is the space spanned by basis elements of the form

$$\psi_{-r_k}^+\psi_{-r_{k-1}}^+\cdots\psi_{-r_1}^+\psi_{-s_l}^-\psi_{-s_{l-1}}^-\cdots\psi_{-s_1}^-|0\rangle,$$

where $r_k > r_{k-1} > \cdots > r_1 > 0$ and $s_l > s_{l-1} > \cdots > s_1 > 0$.

Here is how \mathcal{C} acts on \mathcal{F} :

$$\psi_r^{\pm}|0
angle := 0, \quad r > 0,$$

 $\mathbf{1}|0
angle := |0
angle.$

 $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{F}}$ is the space spanned by basis elements of the form

$$\psi_{-r_k}^+\psi_{-r_{k-1}}^+\cdots\psi_{-r_1}^+\psi_{-s_l}^-\psi_{-s_{l-1}}^-\cdots\psi_{-s_1}^-|0\rangle,$$

where $r_k > r_{k-1} > \cdots > r_1 > 0$ and $s_l > s_{l-1} > \cdots > s_1 > 0$.

Here is how \mathcal{C} acts on \mathcal{F} :

$$egin{aligned} \psi^{\pm}_{r} |0
angle &:= 0, \quad r > 0, \ \mathbf{1} |0
angle &:= |0
angle. \end{aligned}$$

and the remaining action is determined by the relation

$$\psi_{r}^{+}\psi_{s}^{-} + \psi_{s}^{-}\psi_{r}^{+} = \delta_{rs}\mathbf{1},$$

$$\psi_{r}^{+}\psi_{s}^{+} + \psi_{s}^{+}\psi_{r}^{+} = 0,$$

$$\psi_{r}^{-}\psi_{s}^{-} + \psi_{s}^{-}\psi_{r}^{-} = 0.$$

 $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{F}}$ is the space spanned by basis elements of the form

$$\psi_{-r_k}^+\psi_{-r_{k-1}}^+\cdots\psi_{-r_1}^+\psi_{-s_l}^-\psi_{-s_{l-1}}^-\cdots\psi_{-s_1}^-|0\rangle,$$

where $r_k > r_{k-1} > \cdots > r_1 > 0$ and $s_l > s_{l-1} > \cdots > s_1 > 0$.

Here is how \mathcal{C} acts on \mathcal{F} :

$$egin{aligned} \psi^{\pm}_{r} |0
angle &:= 0, \quad r > 0, \ \mathbf{1} |0
angle &:= |0
angle. \end{aligned}$$

and the remaining action is determined by the relation

$$\psi_{r}^{+}\psi_{s}^{-} + \psi_{s}^{-}\psi_{r}^{+} = \delta_{rs}\mathbf{1},$$

$$\psi_{r}^{+}\psi_{s}^{+} + \psi_{s}^{+}\psi_{r}^{+} = 0,$$

$$\psi_{r}^{-}\psi_{s}^{-} + \psi_{s}^{-}\psi_{r}^{-} = 0.$$

For example:
$$\psi_{\frac{1}{2}}^+ \cdot \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^- |0\rangle = -\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^- \cdot \psi_{\frac{1}{2}}^+ |0\rangle + \mathbf{1}|0\rangle = |0\rangle.$$

• Here is an easy example of a Lie algebra, called the Heisenberg algebra.

• Here is an easy example of a Lie algebra, called the Heisenberg algebra. Take \mathcal{H} to be the vector space spanned by the basis elements

• Here is an easy example of a Lie algebra, called the Heisenberg algebra. Take \mathcal{H} to be the vector space spanned by the basis elements

1, α_m , $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

• Here is an easy example of a Lie algebra, called the Heisenberg algebra. Take \mathcal{H} to be the vector space spanned by the basis elements

1,
$$\alpha_m$$
, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define the only non-zero Lie bracket to be

$$[\alpha_m, \alpha_n] = m\delta_{m+n,0}\mathbf{1}.$$

• Here is an easy example of a Lie algebra, called the Heisenberg algebra. Take \mathcal{H} to be the vector space spanned by the basis elements

1,
$$\alpha_m$$
, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define the only non-zero Lie bracket to be

$$[\alpha_m, \alpha_n] = m\delta_{m+n,0}\mathbf{1}.$$

Again skew-symmetry is satisfied by definition, while the Jacobi identity is trivially satisfied.

• Here is an easy example of a Lie algebra, called the Heisenberg algebra. Take \mathcal{H} to be the vector space spanned by the basis elements

1,
$$\alpha_m$$
, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define the only non-zero Lie bracket to be

$$[\alpha_m, \alpha_n] = m\delta_{m+n,0}\mathbf{1}.$$

Again skew-symmetry is satisfied by definition, while the Jacobi identity is trivially satisfied.

This is an example of an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra.
Let $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots]$ be the polynomial ring in infinitely many variables $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\}$.

Let $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots]$ be the polynomial ring in infinitely many variables $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\}$.

For any fixed complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ define an \mathcal{H} -module (and hence a representation of \mathcal{H}) by the formulas:

Let $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots]$ be the polynomial ring in infinitely many variables $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\}$.

For any fixed complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ define an \mathcal{H} -module (and hence a representation of \mathcal{H}) by the formulas:

$$\alpha_{-m} := mx_m, \quad m > 0,$$
$$\alpha_m := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}, \quad m > 0,$$
$$\alpha_0 := \lambda.$$

Let $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots]$ be the polynomial ring in infinitely many variables $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\}$.

For any fixed complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ define an \mathcal{H} -module (and hence a representation of \mathcal{H}) by the formulas:

$$\alpha_{-m} := m x_m, \quad m > 0,$$
$$\alpha_m := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}, \quad m > 0,$$
$$\alpha_0 := \lambda.$$

Above the formulas give the way the elements α_m acts on a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \cdots]$, for example

$$\alpha_1 \cdot x_1 = 1; \quad \alpha_{-1}x_1 = x_1^2; \quad \alpha_0 x_1 = \lambda x_1.$$

Let $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots]$ be the polynomial ring in infinitely many variables $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\}$.

For any fixed complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ define an \mathcal{H} -module (and hence a representation of \mathcal{H}) by the formulas:

$$\alpha_{-m} := m x_m, \quad m > 0,$$
$$\alpha_m := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}, \quad m > 0,$$
$$\alpha_0 := \lambda.$$

Above the formulas give the way the elements α_m acts on a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \cdots]$, for example

$$\alpha_1 \cdot x_1 = 1; \quad \alpha_{-1}x_1 = x_1^2; \quad \alpha_0 x_1 = \lambda x_1.$$

This way we obtain a one-parameter family of \mathcal{H} -modules, which we will denote by

$$V_{\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

• Now there is an action of the Heisenberg Lie algebra \mathcal{H} on the fermionic Fock space \mathcal{F} . We can see this as follows:

• Now there is an action of the Heisenberg Lie algebra \mathcal{H} on the fermionic Fock space \mathcal{F} . We can see this as follows:

We need to find a way to have α_m act on \mathcal{F} , for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, in a way compatible with the Lie bracket of \mathcal{H} .

• Now there is an action of the Heisenberg Lie algebra \mathcal{H} on the fermionic Fock space \mathcal{F} . We can see this as follows:

We need to find a way to have α_m act on \mathcal{F} , for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, in a way compatible with the Lie bracket of \mathcal{H} .

Let us define the normal ordering : \cdots : of two ψ operators by

$$\begin{aligned} &: \psi_r^{\pm} \psi_s^{\pm} := -\psi_s^{\pm} \psi_r^{\pm}, & \text{if } s < 0 \text{ and } r > 0, \\ &: \psi_r^{\pm} \psi_s^{\pm} := \psi_r^{\pm} \psi_s^{\pm}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

• Now there is an action of the Heisenberg Lie algebra \mathcal{H} on the fermionic Fock space \mathcal{F} . We can see this as follows:

We need to find a way to have α_m act on \mathcal{F} , for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, in a way compatible with the Lie bracket of \mathcal{H} .

Let us define the normal ordering : \cdots : of two ψ operators by

$$\begin{aligned} &: \psi_r^{\pm} \psi_s^{\pm} := -\psi_s^{\pm} \psi_r^{\pm}, & \text{if } s < 0 \text{ and } r > 0, \\ &: \psi_r^{\pm} \psi_s^{\pm} := \psi_r^{\pm} \psi_s^{\pm}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly define the normal ordering : \cdots : of two α operators by

 $: \alpha_m \alpha_n := \alpha_n \alpha_m, \quad \text{if } n < 0 \text{ and } m > 0,$

$$: \alpha_m \alpha_n := \alpha_m \alpha_n, \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Now there is an action of the Heisenberg Lie algebra \mathcal{H} on the fermionic Fock space \mathcal{F} . We can see this as follows:

We need to find a way to have α_m act on \mathcal{F} , for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, in a way compatible with the Lie bracket of \mathcal{H} .

Let us define the normal ordering : \cdots : of two ψ operators by

$$\begin{aligned} &: \psi_r^{\pm} \psi_s^{\pm} := -\psi_s^{\pm} \psi_r^{\pm}, & \text{if } s < 0 \text{ and } r > 0, \\ &: \psi_r^{\pm} \psi_s^{\pm} := \psi_r^{\pm} \psi_s^{\pm}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly define the normal ordering : \cdots : of two α operators by

 $: \alpha_m \alpha_n := \alpha_n \alpha_m, \quad \text{if } n < 0 \text{ and } m > 0,$

$$: \alpha_m \alpha_n := \alpha_m \alpha_n, \text{ otherwise.}$$

Introduce three generating series (z an indeterminate):

$$\psi^{\pm}(z) := \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} \psi^{\pm}_r z^{-r - \frac{1}{2}},$$
$$\alpha(z) := \sum \alpha_m z^{-m - 1}.$$

 $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

• Then we have the following:

• Then we have the following:

$$\alpha(z) =: \psi^+(z)\psi_-(z):$$

• Then we have the following:

$$\alpha(z) =: \psi^+(z)\psi_-(z):$$

The statement above means the following:

Then we have the following:

$$\alpha(z) =: \psi^+(z)\psi_-(z):$$

The statement above means the following:

If we write $A(z) =: \psi^+(z)\psi^-(z)$: by collecting all the powers of z, then $A(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} A_m z^{-m-1}$. The statement simply means that

$$[A_m, A_n] = A_m A_n - A_n A_m = m\delta_{m+n,0} \cdot 1.$$

Then we have the following:

$$\alpha(z) =: \psi^+(z)\psi_-(z):$$

The statement above means the following:

If we write $A(z) =: \psi^+(z)\psi^-(z)$: by collecting all the powers of z, then $A(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} A_m z^{-m-1}$. The statement simply means that

$$[A_m, A_n] = A_m A_n - A_n A_m = m\delta_{m+n,0} \cdot 1.$$

Hence if we let α_m act by A_m and 1 act by 1, then \mathcal{F} is a representation of \mathcal{H} .

Then we have the following:

$$\alpha(z) =: \psi^+(z)\psi_-(z):$$

The statement above means the following:

If we write $A(z) =: \psi^+(z)\psi^-(z)$: by collecting all the powers of z, then $A(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} A_m z^{-m-1}$. The statement simply means that

$$[A_m, A_n] = A_m A_n - A_n A_m = m \delta_{m+n,0} \cdot 1.$$

Hence if we let α_m act by A_m and 1 act by 1, then \mathcal{F} is a representation of \mathcal{H} .

• Note that A_m is always a sum of infinitely many operators. Let us write down for example

$$A_0 := \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} : \psi_r^+ \psi_{-r}^- := \alpha_0.$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\lambda}.$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\lambda}.$$

We know from earlier that, when thinking of V_{λ} as an \mathcal{H} -module, we can think of it as $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \cdots]$.

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\lambda}.$$

We know from earlier that, when thinking of V_{λ} as an \mathcal{H} -module, we can think of it as $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \cdots]$.

So in V_{λ} there is a vector corresponding to $1 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \cdots]$.

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\lambda}.$$

We know from earlier that, when thinking of V_{λ} as an \mathcal{H} -module, we can think of it as $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \cdots]$.

So in V_{λ} there is a vector corresponding to $1 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \cdots]$.

We can write the formula for this vector in \mathcal{F} , which we denote by $|\lambda\rangle$:

The Fock space F as a H-module is decomposed as follows:

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\lambda}.$$

We know from earlier that, when thinking of V_{λ} as an \mathcal{H} -module, we can think of it as $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \cdots]$.

So in V_{λ} there is a vector corresponding to $1 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \cdots]$.

We can write the formula for this vector in \mathcal{F} , which we denote by $|\lambda\rangle$:

$$\begin{split} |\lambda\rangle &= |0\rangle, & \lambda = 0, \\ |\lambda\rangle &= \psi^+_{-\lambda + \frac{1}{2}} \cdots \psi^+_{-\frac{1}{2}} |0\rangle, & \lambda > 0, \\ |\lambda\rangle &= \psi^-_{\lambda + \frac{1}{2}} \cdots \psi^-_{-\frac{1}{2}} |0\rangle, & \lambda < 0. \end{split}$$

• Another interesting operator is the following.

• Another interesting operator is the following.

Define
$$L(z) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_m z^{-m-2}$$
, where $L(z) := \frac{1}{2} : \alpha(z)\alpha(z) :$.

• Another interesting operator is the following.

Define
$$L(z) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_m z^{-m-2}$$
, where $L(z) := \frac{1}{2} : \alpha(z)\alpha(z) :$.

Take out the coefficient of z^{-2} , which is

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : \alpha_m \alpha_{-m} : .$$

Another interesting operator is the following.

Define
$$L(z) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_m z^{-m-2}$$
, where $L(z) := \frac{1}{2} : \alpha(z)\alpha(z) :$.

Take out the coefficient of z^{-2} , which is

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : \alpha_m \alpha_{-m} : .$$

The following formulas are needed later on:

$$[L_0, \alpha_n] = -n\alpha_n,$$

$$[L_0, \psi_r^{\pm}] = -r\psi_r^{\pm},$$

$$[\alpha_0, \psi_r^{\pm}] = \pm \psi_r^{\pm}.$$

• Suppose $T: W \rightarrow W$ is a diagonalizable linear map.

• Suppose $T: W \to W$ is a diagonalizable linear map.

Here W is not necessarily finite-dimensional, but let us assume that all its eigenspaces $W_{\mu} := \{w \in W | Tw = \mu w\}$ are all finite-dimensional.

Suppose $T: W \to W$ is a diagonalizable linear map.

Here W is not necessarily finite-dimensional, but let us assume that all its eigenspaces $W_{\mu} := \{w \in W | Tw = \mu w\}$ are all finite-dimensional.

All the information of T is stored in its eigenvalues and the multiplicities of these eigenvalues.

• Suppose $T: W \to W$ is a diagonalizable linear map.

Here W is not necessarily finite-dimensional, but let us assume that all its eigenspaces $W_{\mu} := \{w \in W | Tw = \mu w\}$ are all finite-dimensional.

All the information of T is stored in its eigenvalues and the multiplicities of these eigenvalues.

How can we write down all this information in a short way?

Suppose $T: W \to W$ is a diagonalizable linear map.

Here W is not necessarily finite-dimensional, but let us assume that all its eigenspaces $W_{\mu} := \{w \in W | Tw = \mu w\}$ are all finite-dimensional.

All the information of T is stored in its eigenvalues and the multiplicities of these eigenvalues.

How can we write down all this information in a short way?

Here is one: Let x be a formal symbol and write the expression

$$\operatorname{Tr}(x^T) := \sum_{\mu} \dim W_{\mu} x^{\mu}.$$

Suppose $T: W \to W$ is a diagonalizable linear map.

Here W is not necessarily finite-dimensional, but let us assume that all its eigenspaces $W_{\mu} := \{w \in W | Tw = \mu w\}$ are all finite-dimensional.

All the information of T is stored in its eigenvalues and the multiplicities of these eigenvalues.

How can we write down all this information in a short way?

Here is one: Let x be a formal symbol and write the expression

$$\operatorname{Tr}(x^T) := \sum_{\mu} \dim W_{\mu} x^{\mu}.$$

The reason to call the above expression Trace of x^T is because if we think of x^T acting on W_{μ} as x^{μ} , then the expression is precisely the trace of x^T on W!

• Suppose $T: W \to W$ and $S: W \to W$ are two diagonalizable linear maps and [T, S] = TS - ST = 0. Then it is well-known from linear algebra that T and S can be simultaneously diagonalized.

Suppose $T: W \to W$ and $S: W \to W$ are two diagonalizable linear maps and [T, S] = TS - ST = 0. Then it is well-known from linear algebra that T and S can be simultaneously diagonalized.

So if we introduce two indeterminates x and q, then we can compute the expression

$$\operatorname{Tr}(x^T q^S) = \sum \dim W_{\mu,\nu} x^{\mu} q^{\nu},$$

where $W_{\mu,\nu} := \{ w \in W | Tw = \mu w, Sw = \nu w \}.$
• Suppose $T: W \to W$ and $S: W \to W$ are two diagonalizable linear maps and [T, S] = TS - ST = 0. Then it is well-known from linear algebra that T and S can be simultaneously diagonalized.

So if we introduce two indeterminates x and q, then we can compute the expression

$$\operatorname{Tr}(x^T q^S) = \sum \dim W_{\mu,\nu} x^{\mu} q^{\nu},$$

where $W_{\mu,\nu} := \{ w \in W | Tw = \mu w, Sw = \nu w \}.$

• Now we apply this knowledge to \mathcal{F} .

Suppose $T: W \to W$ and $S: W \to W$ are two diagonalizable linear maps and [T, S] = TS - ST = 0. Then it is well-known from linear algebra that T and S can be simultaneously diagonalized.

So if we introduce two indeterminates x and q, then we can compute the expression

$$\operatorname{Tr}(x^T q^S) = \sum \dim W_{\mu,\nu} x^{\mu} q^{\nu},$$

where $W_{\mu,\nu} := \{ w \in W | Tw = \mu w, Sw = \nu w \}.$

• Now we apply this knowledge to \mathcal{F} .

We have two commuting diagonalizable maps $L_0: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ and $\alpha_0: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$.

Suppose $T: W \to W$ and $S: W \to W$ are two diagonalizable linear maps and [T, S] = TS - ST = 0. Then it is well-known from linear algebra that T and S can be simultaneously diagonalized.

So if we introduce two indeterminates x and q, then we can compute the expression

$$\operatorname{Tr}(x^T q^S) = \sum \dim W_{\mu,\nu} x^{\mu} q^{\nu},$$

where $W_{\mu,\nu} := \{ w \in W | Tw = \mu w, Sw = \nu w \}.$

• Now we apply this knowledge to \mathcal{F} .

We have two commuting diagonalizable maps $L_0 : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ and $\alpha_0 : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$. So we can compute $\operatorname{Tr}(x^{L_0}q^{\alpha_0})$ on \mathcal{F} .

we can compute $\operatorname{Tr}(x^{20}q^{\alpha 0})$ on \mathcal{F} .

Suppose $T: W \to W$ and $S: W \to W$ are two diagonalizable linear maps and [T, S] = TS - ST = 0. Then it is well-known from linear algebra that T and S can be simultaneously diagonalized.

So if we introduce two indeterminates x and q, then we can compute the expression

$$\operatorname{Tr}(x^T q^S) = \sum \dim W_{\mu,\nu} x^{\mu} q^{\nu},$$

where $W_{\mu,\nu} := \{ w \in W | Tw = \mu w, Sw = \nu w \}.$

• Now we apply this knowledge to \mathcal{F} .

We have two commuting diagonalizable maps $L_0: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ and $\alpha_0: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$.

So we can compute $Tr(x^{L_0}q^{\alpha_0})$ on \mathcal{F} .

There are two methods to compute this.

• We use the formulas:

• We use the formulas:

$$[\alpha_0, \psi_r^{\pm}] = \pm \psi_r^{\pm},$$
$$[L_0, \psi_r^{\pm}] = -r\psi_r^{\pm}.$$

• We use the formulas:

$$[\alpha_0, \psi_r^{\pm}] = \pm \psi_r^{\pm},$$
$$[L_0, \psi_r^{\pm}] = -r\psi_r^{\pm}.$$

• The Fock space \mathcal{F} has a basis of the form

$$\psi_{r_k}^{\pm}\cdots\psi_{r_1}^{\pm}|0\rangle,$$

where there are no repetitions of the $\psi_{r_i}^{\pm}$ and $r_i < 0$.

• We use the formulas:

$$[\alpha_0, \psi_r^{\pm}] = \pm \psi_r^{\pm},$$
$$[L_0, \psi_r^{\pm}] = -r\psi_r^{\pm}.$$

• The Fock space \mathcal{F} has a basis of the form

$$\psi_{r_k}^{\pm}\cdots\psi_{r_1}^{\pm}|0\rangle,$$

where there are no repetitions of the $\psi_{r_i}^{\pm}$ and $r_i < 0$.

Now $L_0|0\rangle = 0$ and $\alpha_0|0\rangle = 0$ and hence

• We use the formulas:

$$[\alpha_0, \psi_r^{\pm}] = \pm \psi_r^{\pm},$$
$$[L_0, \psi_r^{\pm}] = -r\psi_r^{\pm}.$$

• The Fock space \mathcal{F} has a basis of the form

$$\psi_{r_k}^{\pm}\cdots\psi_{r_1}^{\pm}|0\rangle,$$

where there are no repetitions of the $\psi_{r_i}^{\pm}$ and $r_i < 0$.

Now $L_0|0\rangle = 0$ and $\alpha_0|0\rangle = 0$ and hence

$$\operatorname{Tr}(x^{\alpha}q^{L_0}) = \prod_{\substack{r\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+}} (1 + xq^r)(1 + x^{-1}q^r).$$

• We use the formulas:

• We use the formulas:

 $[\alpha_0, \alpha_n] = 0,$ $[L_0, \alpha_n] = -n\alpha_n.$

• We use the formulas:

 $[\alpha_0, \alpha_n] = 0,$ $[L_0, \alpha_n] = -n\alpha_n.$

• The bosonic Fock space V_{λ} has a basis of the form

$$\alpha_{m_k}\cdots\alpha_{m_1}|\lambda\rangle,$$

where there are repetitions of the α_{m_i} are allowed and $m_i < 0$.

• We use the formulas:

 $[\alpha_0, \alpha_n] = 0,$ $[L_0, \alpha_n] = -n\alpha_n.$

• The bosonic Fock space V_{λ} has a basis of the form

$$\alpha_{m_k}\cdots\alpha_{m_1}|\lambda\rangle,$$

where there are repetitions of the α_{m_i} are allowed and $m_i < 0$.

Now
$$L_0|\lambda\rangle = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} (i - \frac{1}{2})\right)|\lambda\rangle = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}|\lambda\rangle$$
 and $\alpha_0|\lambda\rangle = \lambda|\lambda\rangle$ and hence

• We use the formulas:

 $[\alpha_0, \alpha_n] = 0,$ $[L_0, \alpha_n] = -n\alpha_n.$

• The bosonic Fock space V_{λ} has a basis of the form

$$\alpha_{m_k}\cdots\alpha_{m_1}|\lambda\rangle,$$

where there are repetitions of the α_{m_i} are allowed and $m_i < 0$.

Now
$$L_0|\lambda\rangle = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} (i - \frac{1}{2})\right)|\lambda\rangle = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}|\lambda\rangle$$
 and $\alpha_0|\lambda\rangle = \lambda|\lambda\rangle$ and hence

$$\operatorname{Tr}(x^{\alpha}q^{L_0})|_{V_{\lambda}} = x^{\lambda}q^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{1-q^j}.$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{Tr}(x^{\alpha}q^{L_0}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} x^{\lambda}q^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{1 - q^j}.$$

• We use the formulas:

 $[\alpha_0, \alpha_n] = 0,$ $[L_0, \alpha_n] = -n\alpha_n.$

• The bosonic Fock space V_{λ} has a basis of the form

$$\alpha_{m_k}\cdots\alpha_{m_1}|\lambda\rangle,$$

where there are repetitions of the α_{m_i} are allowed and $m_i < 0$.

Now
$$L_0|\lambda\rangle = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} (i - \frac{1}{2})\right)|\lambda\rangle = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}|\lambda\rangle$$
 and $\alpha_0|\lambda\rangle = \lambda|\lambda\rangle$ and hence

$$\operatorname{Tr}(x^{\alpha}q^{L_0})|_{V_{\lambda}} = x^{\lambda}q^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{1-q^j}.$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{Tr}(x^{\alpha}q^{L_0}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} x^{\lambda}q^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{1 - q^j}.$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} x^{\lambda} q^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{1 - q^j} = \prod_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 + xq^r)(1 + x^{-1}q^r).$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} x^{\lambda} q^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{1 - q^j} = \prod_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 + xq^r)(1 + x^{-1}q^r).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} x^{\lambda} q^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} = \prod_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 + xq^r)(1 + x^{-1}q^r)(1 - q^{r+\frac{1}{2}}).$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} x^{\lambda} q^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{1 - q^j} = \prod_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 + xq^r)(1 + x^{-1}q^r).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} x^{\lambda} q^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} = \prod_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 + xq^r)(1 + x^{-1}q^r)(1 - q^{r+\frac{1}{2}}).$$

This is the celebrated Jacobi Triple Product Identity.

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} x^{\lambda} q^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{1 - q^j} = \prod_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 + xq^r)(1 + x^{-1}q^r).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} x^{\lambda} q^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} = \prod_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 + xq^r)(1 + x^{-1}q^r)(1 - q^{r+\frac{1}{2}}).$$

This is the celebrated Jacobi Triple Product Identity.

Remark: Many other combinatorial identities can be obtained from similar method using representation theory. So main idea here is to compute the character of the same object in two different ways. From this we have an identity of characters and hence a combinatorial identity.

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} x^{\lambda} q^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{1 - q^j} = \prod_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 + xq^r)(1 + x^{-1}q^r).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} x^{\lambda} q^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} = \prod_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 + xq^r)(1 + x^{-1}q^r)(1 - q^{r+\frac{1}{2}}).$$

This is the celebrated Jacobi Triple Product Identity.

Remark: Many other combinatorial identities can be obtained from similar method using representation theory. So main idea here is to compute the character of the same object in two different ways. From this we have an identity of characters and hence a combinatorial identity.