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## Abstract

For a square matrix $C$, the spectral radius $\rho(C)$ is defined as

$$
\rho(C):=\max \{|\lambda| \mid \lambda \text { is an eigenvalue of } C\}
$$

where $|\lambda|$ is the magnitude of complex number $\lambda$. It is well known that

$$
0 \leq C \leq C^{\prime} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rho(C) \leq \rho\left(C^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $C^{\prime}$ is another square matrix of the same size. Now assume that $C^{\prime}$ has the the same row-sum sequence of a nonnegative matrix $C, C^{\prime}$ has a positive eigenvector $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)^{T}$ with the $i$-th entry the least (i.e. $v_{i} \leq v_{j}$ for all $j$ ), and $C^{\prime}[-\mid i)$ is the submatrix of $C^{\prime}$ obtained by deleting the $i$-th column. We will show that

$$
0 \leq C[-\mid i) \leq C^{\prime}[-\mid i) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rho(C) \leq \rho_{r}\left(C^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\rho_{r}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ is the largest real eigenvalue of $C^{\prime}$ Modifying the proof, we also obtain the dual statement that

$$
C[-\mid i) \geq C^{\prime}[-\mid i) \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rho(C) \geq \rho_{r}\left(C^{\prime}\right)
$$

## Notations

1. When $C$ is a real square matrix, the spectral radius $\rho(C)$ is defined as

$$
\rho(C):=\max \{|\lambda| \mid \lambda \text { is an eigenvalue of } C\},
$$

where $|\lambda|$ is the magnitude of complex number $\lambda$.
2. $\rho_{r}(C)$ is the largest real eigenvalue of $C$.
3. For a simple undirected graph $G$, the spectral radius $\rho(G)$ of $G$ is $\rho(A)$, where $A$ is the adjacency matrix of $G$.

## Perron-Frobenius theorem

Let $d_{1}$ be the maximum degree of $G$. It is well-known as a special case of Perron-Frobenius Theorem that

$$
\rho(G) \leq d_{1}
$$

Our realization of the above upper bound:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & d_{1}-(n-2) \\
1 & 0 & & 1 & d_{1}-(n-2) \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & d_{1}-(n-2) \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & d_{1}-(n-1)
\end{array}\right)_{n \times n}
$$

## More notations

1. Let $n$ be the order of $G$,
2. $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be the degree sequence in decreasing order and
3. $m=\left(d_{1}+\cdots+d_{n}\right) / 2$ be the number of edges in $G$.

## Spectral upper bound with the number $m$ of edges

In 1985 [2, Corollary 2.3], Brauldi and Hoffman showed that

$$
m \leq k(k-1) / 2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rho(G) \leq k-1
$$

and in 1987 [3], Stanley generalized it as

$$
\rho(G) \leq \frac{-1+\sqrt{1+8 m}}{2}
$$

Our realization of the above upper bound:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c}
0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & d_{1}-(n-1) \\
1 & 0 & & 1 & d_{2}-(n-1) \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & d_{n}-(n-1)
\end{array}\right) \quad \rightarrow \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
n-1 & 1 \\
2 m-n(n-1) & -n
\end{array}\right)^{T}
$$

## Spectral upper bound with $n, m$ and $d_{n}$

In 1998 [4, Theorem 2], Yuan Hong showed that $\rho(G) \leq \sqrt{2 m-n+1}$, and in 2001 [5, Theorem 2.3], Hong et al. generalized it as

$$
\rho(G) \leq \frac{d_{n}-1+\sqrt{\left(d_{n}+1\right)^{2}+4\left(2 m-n d_{n}\right)}}{2}
$$

Our realization of the above upper bound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c}
0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & d_{1}-(n-2) \\
1 & 0 & & 1 & d_{2}-(n-2) \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & d_{n-1}-(n-2) \\
\hline 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & d_{n}-(n-1)
\end{array}\right), \\
\rightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
n-2 \\
2 m-d_{n}-(n-1)(n-2) & d_{n}-(n-1)
\end{array}\right)^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Spectral upper bound with $d_{1}$ and $d_{\ell}$

In 2004 [6, Theorem 2.2], Jinlong Shu and Yarong Wu showed that

$$
\rho(G) \leq \frac{d_{\ell}-1+\sqrt{\left(d_{\ell}+1\right)^{2}+4(\ell-1)\left(d_{1}-d_{\ell}\right)}}{2}
$$

for $1 \leq \ell \leq n$. The special case $\ell=2$ is reproved by Kinkar Ch. Das in 2011 [7].

Our realization of the above upper bound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c}
0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & d_{1}-(\ell-2) \\
1 & 0 & & 1 & d_{1}-(\ell-2) \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & d_{1}-(\ell-2) \\
\hline 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & d_{\ell}-(\ell-1)
\end{array}\right)_{\ell \times \ell}, \\
\rightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
\ell-2 & 1 \\
(\ell-1)\left(d_{1}-\ell+2\right) & d_{\ell}-(\ell-1)
\end{array}\right)^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Spectral upper bound with degree sequence

In 2013 [8, Theorem 1.7], Chia-an Liu and Chih-wen Weng showed that

$$
\rho(G) \leq \frac{d_{\ell}-1+\sqrt{\left(d_{\ell}+1\right)^{2}+4 \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1}\left(d_{i}-d_{\ell}\right)}}{2}
$$

for $1 \leq \ell \leq n$.

Our realization of the above upper bound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c}
0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & d_{1}-(\ell-2) \\
1 & 0 & & 1 & d_{2}-(\ell-2) \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & d_{\ell-1}-(\ell-2) \\
\hline 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & d_{\ell}-(\ell-1)
\end{array}\right)_{\ell \times \ell}, \\
& \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\ell-2 & 1 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} d_{i}-(\ell-1)(\ell-2) & d_{\ell}-(\ell-1)
\end{array}\right)^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Spectral upper bound with row-sums (diagonals 0 )

Let $M=\left(m_{i j}\right)$ be a nonnegative $n \times n$ matrix with diagonal entries 0 , row-sums $r_{1} \geq r_{2} \geq \ldots \geq r_{n}$, and $e:=\max _{1 \leq i, j \leq n} m_{i j}$. In 2013 [9, Theorem 1.9], Yingying Chen, Huiqiu Lin and Jinlong Shu showed that

$$
\rho(M) \leq \frac{r_{\ell}-e+\sqrt{\left(r_{\ell}+e\right)^{2}+4 e \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1}\left(r_{i}-r_{\ell}\right)}}{2}
$$

for $1 \leq \ell \leq n$.
Our realization of the above upper bound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & e & \cdots & e & r_{1}-(\ell-2) e \\
e & 0 & & e & r_{2}-(\ell-2) e \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
e & e & \cdots & 0 & r_{\ell-1}-(\ell-2) e \\
e & e & \cdots & e & r_{\ell}-(\ell-1) e
\end{array}\right)_{\ell \times \ell} \\
\rightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
(\ell-2) e & e \\
\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} r_{i}-(\ell-1)(\ell-2) e & r_{\ell}-(\ell-1) e
\end{array}\right)^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Spectral upper bound with row-sums

From the assumptions in the last page, in addition assume $d:=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} m_{i i} . \operatorname{In} 2013$ [10, Theorem 2.1], Xing Duan and Bo Zhou showed that

$$
\rho(M) \leq \frac{r_{\ell}+d-e+\sqrt{\left(r_{\ell}-d+e\right)^{2}+4 e \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1}\left(r_{i}-r_{\ell}\right)}}{2}
$$

for $1 \leq \ell \leq n$.
Our realization of the above upper bound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
d & e & \cdots & e & r_{1}-(\ell-2) e-d \\
e & d & & e & r_{2}-(\ell-2) e-d \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
e & e & \cdots & d & r_{\ell-1}-(\ell-2) e-d \\
e & e & \cdots & e & r_{\ell}-(\ell-1) e
\end{array}\right)_{\ell \times \ell}, \\
\rightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
(\ell-2) e+d & e \\
\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} r_{i}-(\ell-1)[(\ell-2) e+d] & r_{\ell}-(\ell-1) e
\end{array}\right)^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Notations of matrices

1. $C^{\prime}[-\mid n)$ is the submatrix of an $n \times n$ matrix $C^{\prime}$ obtained by deleting the last column.
2. $C^{\prime}[\alpha \mid \beta]$ is the $|\alpha| \times|\beta|$ submatrix of $C^{\prime}$ obtained by retrieving the entries $(a, b) \in \alpha \times \beta$.
3. $C^{\prime}(\alpha \mid \beta)$ is the $(n-|\alpha|) \times(n-|\beta|)$ submatrix of $C^{\prime}$ obtained by deleting the entries $(a, b) \in \alpha \times \beta$.

## Rooted vectors

Since the spectral radius is invariant under a permutation of rows and columns simultaneously, we shall assume $i=n$ in the abstract and give the following definition.

Definition
A column vector $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)^{T}$ is called rooted if
$v_{j} \geq v_{n} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$.

## Main theorem

If $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ is a nonnegative $n \times n$ matrix and $C^{\prime}=\left(c_{i j}^{\prime}\right)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix such that $C^{\prime}$ has a positive rooted eigenvector $v^{\prime}=\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{T}>0$ for some positive eigenvalue $\lambda$ and the following (I)-(II) hold
(I) $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ have the same row-sum vector, and
(II) $C[-\mid n) \leq C^{\prime}[-\mid n)$,
then

$$
\rho(C) \leq \lambda
$$

with equality if and only if for the index $i$ with $v_{i} \neq 0$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{i j}^{\prime}-c_{i j}\right)\left(v_{j}^{\prime}-v_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v^{\top}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ is a nonnegative left eigenvector of $C$ for $\rho(C)$.

## Proof.

By the assumption (I), we have that $c_{i n}^{\prime}-c_{i n}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(c_{i j}^{\prime}-c_{i j}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(C^{\prime}-C\right) v^{\prime}\right)_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(c_{i j}^{\prime}-c_{i j}\right) v_{j}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(c_{i j}^{\prime}-c_{i j}\right)\left(v_{j}^{\prime}-v_{n}^{\prime}\right) \geq 0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the last inequality uses the assumption (II) and $v_{j}^{\prime}-v_{n}^{\prime} \geq 0$. This is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
C v^{\prime} \leq C^{\prime} v^{\prime}=\lambda v^{\prime} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying $v^{\top}$ from the left to all terms in (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(C) v^{T} v^{\prime}=v^{\top} C v^{\prime} \leq v^{\top} C^{\prime} v^{\prime}=\lambda v^{T} v^{\prime} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now delete the positive term $v^{\top} v^{\prime}$ to obtain $\rho(C) \leq \lambda$ and finish the proof of the first statement of the theorem.

## Continue the Proof.

Assume that $\rho(C)=\lambda$, so the inequality in (4) is equality. Especially $\left(C v^{\prime}\right)_{i}=\left(C^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)_{i}$ in (3) for any $i$ with $v_{i} \neq 0$. Hence the inequality in (2) is equality. Thus (1) holds.

Conversely, (1) implies that equalities hold in (2) for those $i$ with $v_{i} \neq 0,\left(C v^{\prime}\right)_{i}=\lambda v_{i}^{\prime}$ in (3), equality holds in (4) and $\rho(C)=\lambda$ sequentially.

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc}
1 & 2 & 7 & 1 \\
\hline 4 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\
4 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\
4 & 2 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right) & \leq \lambda\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc}
1 & c_{1} & c_{2} & 10-c_{1}-c_{2} \\
\hline 4 & c_{3} & c_{4} & 4-c_{3}-c_{4} \\
4 & c_{5} & c_{6} & 4-c_{5}-c_{6} \\
4 & c_{7} & c_{8} & 4-c_{7}-c_{8}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\rho\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 10 \\
4 & 4
\end{array}\right)=9, \quad\left(c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
C^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc}
1 & c_{1} & c_{2} & 10-c_{1}-c_{2} \\
\hline 4 & c_{3} & c_{4} & 4-c_{3}-c_{4} \\
4 & c_{5} & c_{6} & 4-c_{5}-c_{6} \\
4 & c_{7} & c_{8} & 4-c_{7}-c_{8}
\end{array}\right)
$$

has rooted eigenvector $\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}, v_{3}^{\prime}, v_{4}^{\prime}\right)=(5,4,4,4)>0$ which has $\left\{j \mid v_{j}^{\prime} \neq v_{4}^{\prime}\right\}=\{1\}$. Hence the inequality $\leq$ is an equality.

## The dual theorem

If $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ is a nonnegative $n \times n$ matrix and $C^{\prime}=\left(c_{i j}^{\prime}\right)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix such that $C^{\prime}$ has a positive rooted eigenvector $v^{\prime}=\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{T}>0$ for some positive eigenvalue $\lambda$ and the following (I)-(II) hold
(I) $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ have the same row-sum vector, and
(II) $C[-\mid n) \geq C^{\prime}[-\mid n) \geq 0$,
then

$$
\rho(C) \geq \lambda
$$

with equality if and only if for the index $i$ with $v_{i} \neq 0$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{i j}^{\prime}-c_{i j}\right)\left(v_{j}^{\prime}-v_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v^{\top}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ is a nonnegative left eigenvector of $C$ for $\rho(C)$.

To apply the main theorem, we need to find a way to construct an $n \times n$ matrix $C^{\prime}=\left(c_{i j}^{\prime}\right)$ which has a positive rooted eigenvector $v^{\prime}=\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{T}>0$ for some positive eigenvalue $\lambda$

## Rooted matrices

## Definition

An $n \times n$ matrix $C^{\prime}=\left(c_{i j}^{\prime}\right)$ is called rooted if its first $n-1$ columns and the row-sum vector $\left(r_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{T}$ are all rooted.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & d_{1}-(n-1) \\
1 & 0 & & 1 & d_{2}-(n-1) \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & d_{n}-(n-1) \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0-n
\end{array}\right)+l
$$

Lemma
If $C^{\prime}$ is a rooted matrix, then $\rho\left(C^{\prime}\right)=\rho_{r}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ and $C^{\prime}$ has a rooted eigenvector for $\rho_{r}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, if $C^{\prime}[n \mid n)$ is positive, then $v^{\prime}$ is positive.

## Equitable quotient

For a partition $\Pi=\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{\ell}\right\}$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, if

$$
\pi_{a b}=\sum_{j \in \pi_{b}} c_{i j}^{\prime} \quad \text { for all } i \in \pi_{a}
$$

then the $\ell \times \ell$ matrix $F\left(C^{\prime}\right)=\left(\pi_{a b}\right)$ is called the equitable partition of $C^{\prime}$ with respect to $\Pi$.

$$
F\left(\begin{array}{lll|ll|ll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 6 & 6 \\
3 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 8 & 4 \\
2 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 1 & 9 & 3 \\
\hline 3 & 5 & 6 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\
4 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 3 \\
\hline 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 \\
1 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 4
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
6 & 6 & 12 \\
14 & 2 & 7 \\
4 & 4 & 5
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Characteristic matrix of a partition

For a partition $\Pi=\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{\ell}\right\}$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, let $S$ denote the $n \times \ell$ characteristic matrix of $\Pi$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi & =\{\{1,2,3\},\{4,5\},\{6,7\}\} \\
\Rightarrow & S=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad S\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
2 \\
2 \\
3 \\
3
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma

For a partition $\Pi=\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{\ell}\right\}$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ with $n \in \pi_{\ell}$, and a square matrix $C^{\prime}$ with an equitable quotient $F\left(C^{\prime}\right)$,
$F\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ has a positive rooted eigenvector $v$ for $\rho_{r}\left(F\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)$
$\Rightarrow \quad C^{\prime}$ has the positive rooted eigenvector $S_{v}$ for $\rho_{r}\left(F\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
Moreover $\rho_{r}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \geq \rho_{r}\left(F\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

## Main application

Let $\Pi=\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{\ell}\right\}$ be a partition of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ with $n \in \pi_{\ell}$, and $C$ an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix with row-sums $r_{1} \geq r_{2} \geq \cdots \geq r_{n}$. For $1 \leq a \leq \ell$ and $1 \leq b \leq \ell-1$, choose $r_{a}^{\prime}$, $c_{a b}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{a}^{\prime} & =\max _{i \in \pi_{a}} r_{i} \\
c_{a b}^{\prime} & \geq \sum_{j \in \pi_{b}} c_{i j} \quad \text { for all } i \in \pi_{a} \\
c_{a b}^{\prime} & \geq c_{\ell, b}^{\prime}>0 \quad \text { for } a \neq b \\
c_{a \ell}^{\prime} & =r_{a}^{\prime}-\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} c_{a j}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $C^{\prime}=\left(c_{a b}^{\prime}\right)_{1 \leq a, b \leq \ell}$. Then

$$
\rho(C) \leq \rho_{r}\left(C^{\prime}\right)
$$

## Example

$$
\rho\left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc|cc}
2 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 12 & 0 \\
4 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 6 & 4 \\
2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 8 & 3 \\
\hline 3 & 5 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\
5 & 6 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 0 \\
2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 4
\end{array}\right) \leq \rho_{r}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
7 & 6 & 24-13 \\
12 & 2 & 20-14 \\
4 & 4 & 13-8
\end{array}\right)
$$

The $7 \times 7$ matrix on the left has row-sums $24,23,22,20,19,13,12$.
If applying equitable quotient to a matrix that majors the above $7 \times 7$ matrix, one will find the upper bound

$$
\rho_{r}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
7 & 6 & 12 \\
12 & 2 & 7 \\
4 & 4 & 6
\end{array}\right)
$$

which is larger than ours.
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