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摘 要
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#### Abstract

This thesis introduces about the quantum error correcting codes in the viewpoint of classical error correcting codes. We also introduce some construction and characterization of quantum error correcting codes. Thereafter, we give a construction of quantum error correcting codes associated with graphs, which generalizes a previous result that excludes the binary case so that it is valid for all cases.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

Quantum communication like quantum coding theory and quantum cryptography has been developed in the recent decades. This is a great improvement in communication theory. Its concepts are based on quantum mechanics, but we will not mention anything about quantum mechanics in this thesis. We will give the strict mathematical definition of quantum error correcting codes based on [3]. The results from [6] and [7] will be introduced. Thereafter, we give a construction of quantum error correcting codes associated with graphs, which generalizes a previous result in [7] that excludes the binary case so that it is valid for all cases.

The thesis is organized as follows: Chapter 2 introduces the notations which will be used in this thesis. To compare the similarities and differences between classical error correcting codes and quantum error correcting codes, chapter 3 recalls the definitions and propositions of classical error correcting codes; those of quantum error correcting codes will also be introduced in parallel. Chapter 4 introduces a method to characterize quantum error correcting codes by logic functions from $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ introduced in [6]. Chapter 5 introduces about the construction of quantum error correcting codes using the graph-theoretical method introduced in [7], in which only non-binary quantum codes are discussed. Thus we will generalize the result in [7].

## Chapter 2

## Preliminaries

In this section, we will introduce the notations which will be used in this thesis. Throughout the thesis, let $\mathbb{F}_{p}=\{0,1, \cdots, p-1\}$ be the finite field of $p$ elements. Sometimes we also treat an element $i \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ as its corresponding integer. Let $\omega$ be the $p$-th primitive root of unity. Let $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ be an $m \times n$ matrix and $B$ an $s \times t$ matrix. Then the Kronecker tensor product $A \otimes B$ of $A$ and $B$ is defined by the following $m s \times n t$ matrix

$$
A \otimes B=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} B & a_{12} B & \cdots & a_{1 n} B \\
a_{21} B & a_{22} B & \cdots & a_{2 n} B \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{m 1} B & a_{m 2} B & \cdots & a_{m n} B
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Note that $(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D)=A C \otimes B D$ for matrices $A, B, C, D$ of suitable sizes. The $n$-th Kronecker tensor power of a vector space $V$ over a field $F$ is defined by

$$
V^{\otimes n}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathfrak{v}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{v}_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{v}_{n} \mid \mathfrak{v}_{i} \in V\right\}
$$

The Hermitian inner product of complex vectors $\mathfrak{u}=\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right)^{T}, \mathfrak{v}=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{n}\right)^{T}$ is defined by

$$
\langle\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v}\rangle:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{u_{i}} v_{i},
$$

where : stands for complex conjugation. In the the last two sections, we will frequently use the block notations of matrices. Therefore we should introduce the following notation of a matrix $M$ and a column vector $\mathbf{u}$ :

$$
M=\begin{array}{cc}
\Delta_{1} & \Delta_{2} \\
\Delta_{1} \\
\Delta_{2}
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M\left[\Delta_{1} \mid \Delta_{1}\right] & M\left[\Delta_{1} \mid \Delta_{2}\right] \\
M\left[\Delta_{2} \mid \Delta_{1}\right] & M\left[\Delta_{2} \mid \Delta_{2}\right]
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{u}=\binom{\mathbf{u}\left[\Delta_{1}\right]}{\mathbf{u}\left[\Delta_{2}\right]},
$$

where $M\left[\Delta_{1} \mid \Delta_{2}\right]$ means the sub-matrix of $M$ with rows indexed by elements in $\Delta_{1}$ and the columns by elements in $\Delta_{2}$, and $\mathbf{u}\left[\Delta_{1}\right]$ means the sub-column vector with entries are indexed by $\Delta_{1}$.

## Chapter 3

## Comparison between Classical and

## Quantum Error Correcting Codes

In this chapter, we are going to recall the definitions and propositions of classical error correcting codes and introduce those of quantum error correcting codes to compare the similarities and differences between them.

### 3.1 Basic Definitions and Structures of Classical Codes and Quantum Codes

The classical (linear) codes are vector spaces over finite fields; whereas the quantum codes are vector spaces over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 3.1. A classical (linear) $[n, k]_{p}$-code (or a classical $(n, K)_{p}$-code, where $\left.K=p^{k}=|C|\right)$ is a $k$-dimensional subspace $C$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$.

Definition 3.2. A quantum $[[n, k]]_{p}$-code (or a quantum $((n, K))_{p}$-code, where $\left.k=\log _{p} K\right)$ is a $K$-dimensional subspace $Q$ of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}\right)^{\otimes n}$.

Remark 3.3. Error-correcting coding theory depends on what basis of a vector space is chosen.

1. Classical coding theory:

$$
\left\{e_{i}=(0, \cdots, 0,1,0, \cdots, 0)^{T} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}
$$

where 1 appears in the $i$-th position.
2. Quantum coding theory:

$$
\left\{e_{i_{1}+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{n}+1} \mid i_{j} \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}\right\} .
$$

It is a convention in Quantum coding theory (Dirac notation) to write $|i\rangle$ for $e_{i+1}$ and $\left|i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{n}\right\rangle$ for $e_{i_{1}+1} \otimes e_{i_{2}+1} \cdots \otimes e_{i_{n}+1}$.

### 3.2 Error Detection and Correction of Classical

## Codes and Quantum Codes

An error can occur when passing a message, so we have to correct it to the right one. Here we introduce the error detection and correction of classical codes and quantum codes in an algebraic point of view.

An error in classical codes is simply a vector over a finite field, and the codewords is interrupted by an error under addition.

Definition 3.4. An error is a nonzero vector e independent to $C$.

The quantum codewords may be interrupted by the errors under matrix multiplication. Here is the definition of the errors in quantum codes.

Definition 3.5. (i) For $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, define two linear operators $X(a)$ and $Z(b)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{p}$ by

$$
X(a)|x\rangle=|x+a\rangle, Z(b)|x\rangle=\omega^{b \cdot x}|x\rangle,
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$. Then $X(a)$ is called a bit error; $Z(b)$ is called a phase error.
(ii) For $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)^{T}, \mathbf{b}=\left(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$,

$$
X(\mathbf{a})=X\left(a_{1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes X\left(a_{n}\right), Z(\mathbf{b})=Z\left(b_{1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes Z\left(b_{n}\right)
$$

(iii) $\mathcal{E}_{n}:=\left\{\omega^{t} X(\mathbf{a}) Z(\mathbf{b}) \mid 0 \leq t \leq p-1, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}\right\}$ is called the quantum error group.

An element of $\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}_{n}$ is called an error of quantum code. Note that $\mathbf{E}|\mathbf{u}\rangle=$ $\omega^{t+\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u}}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{a}\rangle$ for $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ and $\mathbf{E}=\omega^{t} X(\mathbf{a}) Z(\mathbf{b})$. In fact, from the previous definition, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.6. The following (i)-(ii) holds.
(i) With respect to the basis $\{|0\rangle,|1\rangle, \cdots,|p-1\rangle\}$, the operator $X(1)$ is a cyclic matrix, and the operator $Z(1)$ is a diagonal matrix as follows.

$$
X(1)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & & & & 1 \\
1 & 0 & & & \\
& 1 & \ddots & & \\
& & \ddots & 0 & \\
& & & & \\
& & & & \\
& & & \omega^{2} & \\
& & & & \\
& & & & \\
0 & & & & \\
& & & & \\
& & & & \\
& & & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& &
\end{array}\right)
$$

(ii) $X(a)=X(1)^{a}, Z(b)=Z(1)^{b}, \overline{X(a)}^{T}=X(-a)=X(a)^{-1}, \overline{Z(b)}^{T}=Z(-b)=$ $Z(b)^{-1}$, and $Z(b) X(a)=\omega^{-b \cdot a} X(a) Z(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$.

Moreover, $\mathcal{E}_{n}$ is a group of order $p^{2 n+1}$.

Here we compare the Hamming weight with the quantum weight.

Definition 3.7. (i) The Hamming weight $\mathrm{wt}_{H}(\mathbf{e})$ of an element $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ is the number of nonzero entries in $\mathbf{e}$. The Hamming distance of $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ is
defined by $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}):=\operatorname{wt}_{H}(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v})$. Note that the Hamming distance is a metric (i.e. its value is always nonnegative, and it satisfies the symmetry and the triangle inequality).
(ii) The quantum weight $\mathrm{wt}_{Q}(\mathbf{E})$ of an element $\mathbf{E}=\omega^{t} X(\mathbf{a}) Z(\mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{E}_{n}$ is the number of nonzero pairs $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ in the two vectors $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$.

For classical codes, the Hamming distance is used to describe the ability of error detection and correction. As for quantum codes, we use the Hermitian inner products of quantum codewords in a quantum code $Q$. The orthogonality is usually used to describe the ability of error detection and correction.

Definition 3.8. For two quantum codewords $\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v} \in Q$. Then
(i) If $\mathfrak{u}=\gamma \mathfrak{v}$ for some nonzero $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, then we say $\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v}$ are totally indistinguishable.
(ii) If $\langle\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v}\rangle=0$, then we say $\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v}$ are totally distinguishable in $Q$.

Here is the comparison between the definitions of error detection of classical codes and of quantum codes.

Definition 3.9. $C$ can detect an error $\mathbf{e}$ if $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e})>0$ for distinct $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in C$.
Definition 3.10. For a quantum $((n, K))_{p}$-code $Q$ with $K \geq 2$ and an error $\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}_{n}$, $Q$ can detect an error $\mathbf{E}$ if $\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v}$ are totally distinguishable implies that $\mathfrak{u}, \mathbf{E v}$ are totally distinguishable.

Note that 3.10 is equivalent to $\langle\mathfrak{u}, \mathbf{E v}\rangle=\lambda_{\mathbf{E}}\langle\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v}\rangle$, where $\lambda_{\mathbf{E}} \in \mathbb{C}$ depends only on $\mathbf{E}$ but is independent of $\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v}$.

Here is the comparison between the definitions of error correction of classical codes and of quantum codes.

Definition 3.11. $C$ can correct an error $\mathbf{e}$ if $d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e})<d(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e})$ for all distinct $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in C$.

Definition 3.12. For a quantum $((n, K))_{p}$-code $Q$, where $K \geq 2 . Q$ can correct errors of weight at most $t$ if, for any totally distinguishable $\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v} \in Q$ and any errors $\mathbf{E}_{1}, \mathbf{E}_{2} \in \mathcal{E}_{n}$ with $\mathrm{wt}_{Q}\left(\mathbf{E}_{1}\right), \mathrm{wt}_{Q}\left(\mathbf{E}_{2}\right) \leq t, \mathbf{E}_{1} \mathfrak{u}, \mathbf{E}_{2} \mathfrak{v}$ are totally distinguishable; in other words, $\left\langle\mathbf{E}_{1} \mathfrak{u}, \mathbf{E}_{2} \mathfrak{v}\right\rangle=0$.

Here is the comparison between the definitions of minimum distance of classical codes and of quantum codes.

Definition 3.13. The minimum distance of $C$ with $|C| \geq 2$ is at least $d$ if $C$ can detect errors of Hamming weight at most $d-1$; in other words, $0<\mathrm{wt}_{H}(\mathbf{e})<d$ implies that $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e}+\mathbf{v})>0$ for distinct $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in C$.

Note that the previous definition is equivalent to the common one; that is,

$$
d=\min \{d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \mid \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in C \text { are distinct. }\} .
$$

This can be verified by the triangle inequality of Hamming distance.
Definition 3.14. A quantum $((n, K))_{p}$-code $Q$ with $K \geq 2$ has minimum distance at least $d$ if $Q$ can detect errors of quantum weight at most $d-1$; in other words, $\langle\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v}\rangle=0$ implies $\langle\mathfrak{u}, \mathbf{E v}\rangle=0$ for any error $\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}_{n}$ with wt ${ }_{Q}(\mathbf{E}) \leq d-1$.

Note that the definitions of the minimum distance of classical codes and quantum codes are similar because the minimum distance $d$ is given by the detection of classical errors of Hamming weight $d-1$ in classical case and the detection of quantum errors of quantum weight $d-1$ in the quantum case.

Here is a special property often used in quantum codes.

Definition 3.15. A quantum code $Q$ is a $d$-pure code if for any $\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v} \in Q$ and any errors $\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}_{n}$ with $0<\operatorname{wt}_{Q}(\mathbf{E})<d, \mathfrak{u}, \mathbf{E v}$ are totally distinguishable; in other words, $\langle\mathfrak{u}, \mathbf{E v}\rangle=0$.

This property can help us to distinguish a codeword from another one interrupted by an error.

Remark 3.16. (i) A (quantum or classical) code has minimum distance exactly $d$ if it has minimum distance at least $d$, but does not have minimum distance at least $d+1$.
(ii) From definition 3.14, a quantum $((n, K))_{p}$-code $Q$ (or a quantum $[[n, k]]_{p}$-code) is an $((n, K, \geq d))_{p}$-quantum code (or an $[[n, k, \geq d]]_{p}$-quantum code) for some $d \geq 1$ means a $p^{k}$-dimensional (or $K$-dimensional) quantum code in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}\right)^{\otimes n}$ with minimum distance at least $d$; if $Q$ has minimum distance exactly $d$, then $Q$ is a quantum $((n, K, d))_{p}$-code (or a quantum $[[n, k, d]]_{p}$-code).

From definitions 3.14 and 3.15, we have the following propositions:
Proposition 3.17. A d-pure quantum $((n, K))_{p}$-code $Q$ with $K \geq 2$ has minimum distance $\geq d$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}_{n}$ with $\operatorname{wt}_{Q}(\mathbf{E}) \leq d-1$. Let $\mathfrak{c}_{1}, \mathfrak{c}_{2} \in Q$ be codewords with $\left\langle\mathfrak{c}_{1}, \mathfrak{c}_{2}\right\rangle=0$. Now if $\mathbf{E}=\omega^{k} I$, then $\mathrm{wt}_{Q}(e)=0$. Thus $\left\langle\mathfrak{c}_{1}, \mathbf{E c}_{2}\right\rangle=\omega^{k}\left\langle\mathfrak{c}_{1}, \mathfrak{c}_{2}\right\rangle=0$. If $\mathrm{wt}_{Q}(\mathbf{E}) \neq 0$, then $1 \leq \mathrm{wt}_{Q}(\mathbf{E}) \leq d-1$, and so $\left\langle\mathfrak{c}_{1}, \mathbf{E c}_{2}\right\rangle=0$ by definition 3.14.

Note that, by definition 3.15 , for $K=1$ (i.e. $k=0$ ), $Q$ is a $d$-pure quantum $((n, 1, d))_{p}$-code (or a $d$-pure quantum $[[n, 0, d]]_{p}$-code) since any two vectors in $\left\{\mathbf{E c} \mid \mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}_{n}, 0 \leq \mathrm{wt}_{Q}(\mathbf{E}) \leq d-1\right\}$ are orthogonal, where $\mathfrak{c}$ is the non-zero vector that spans $Q$.

Here is the comparison between the abilities of error correction of classical codes and of quantum codes.

Theorem 3.18. An $[n, k, d]_{p}$-code $C$ can correct errors of weight at most $\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor$. Proof. Let e be an error of weight at most $\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor$. From the triangle inequality, we have

$$
d(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}) \geq d(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v})-d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}) \geq d-\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor \geq\left\lceil\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rceil>d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}) .
$$

(The inequality $d(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) \geq d$ comes from the definition of minimum distance; the other one $\mathrm{wt}_{H}(\mathbf{e})=d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{e}) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor$ is from the hypothesis.)

Theorem 3.19. If $Q$ is an quantum $((n, K, d))_{p}$-code with $K \geq 2$, then $Q$ can correct errors of weight at most $\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{E}_{1}, \mathbf{E}_{2} \in \mathcal{E}_{n}$ with wt ${ }_{Q}\left(\mathbf{E}_{1}\right), \mathrm{wt}_{Q}\left(\mathbf{E}_{2}\right) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor$. It is clear that wt ${ }_{Q}\left(\mathbf{E}_{1} \mathbf{E}_{2}\right) \leq$ $\mathrm{wt}_{Q}\left(\mathbf{E}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{wt}_{Q}\left(\mathbf{E}_{2}\right) \leq d-1$. Since $Q$ has minimum distance $d, Q$ can detect $\mathbf{E}_{1} \mathbf{E}_{2}$; that is, for any totally distinguishable $\mathfrak{c}_{1}, \mathfrak{c}_{2} \in Q$, we have $\left\langle\mathfrak{c}_{1}, \mathbf{E}_{1} \mathbf{E}_{2} \mathfrak{c}_{2}\right\rangle=0$, completing the proof.

### 3.3 Bounds in Classical Codes and Quantum codes

In classical and quantum coding theory, the parameters $(n, K, d)$ or $(n, k, d)$ determine the efficiency of communication $(k / n)$ and the ability of error correction $(d)$, but there are some restrictions called the Hamming bound and the Singleton bound, causing that we can not obtain both high efficiency of communication and good ability of error correction. For the proofs, please see [3] in detail.

Theorem 3.20. (classical Hamming bound) If $C$ is an $(n, K, d)_{p}$ code, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{n} \geq K \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor}(p-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.21. (classical Singleton bound) If $C$ is an ( $n, K, d)_{p}$ code, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \leq p^{n-d+1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.22. (quantum Hamming bound) If $Q$ is a d-pure quantum $((n, K, d))_{p^{-}}$ code, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{n} \geq K \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor}\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{i}\binom{n}{i} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.23. (quantum Singleton bound) If $Q$ is a quantum $((n, K, d))_{p}$-code, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \leq p^{n-2 d+2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4 Some Simple Lemmas

In this section, we provide some simple lemmas, which will be used many times in the thesis.

Lemma 3.24. Let $p$ be a prime and $\omega$ the $p$-th root of unity. Then $\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} \omega^{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}}=0$ for all non-zero vectors $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$.

Proof. For $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ and $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} \omega^{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}} & =\sum_{\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} \omega^{u_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+u_{n} v_{n}}=\sum_{\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} \omega^{u_{1} v_{1}} \cdots \omega^{u_{n} v_{n}} \\
& =\left(\sum_{u_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \omega^{u_{1} v_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(\sum_{u_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \omega^{u_{n} v_{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$, there is some $v_{i} \neq 0$, which is certainly an inverse of some other element in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Thus $v_{i} \mathbb{F}_{p}=\mathbb{F}_{p}$, and so $\sum_{u_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \omega^{u_{i} v_{i}}=0$ (because $1+\omega+\cdots+\omega^{p-1}=0$ ).

Lemma 3.25. Let p be a prime, $\omega$ a p-th primitive root of unity and $V_{p}=\left(\omega^{i j}\right)_{i, j \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}$ a $p \times p$ Vandermonde matrix of the form

$$
V_{p}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & \omega & \cdots & \omega^{p-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & \omega^{p-1} & \cdots & \omega^{(p-1)^{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $V_{p}$ is invertible with $V_{p}^{-1}=(1 / p) \overline{V_{p}}$, and so the Kronecker tensor product $V_{p} \otimes V_{p} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{p}$ of $m V_{p}$ 's is invertible.

Proof. (i) By lemma 3.24, we have

$$
\left(V_{p} \overline{V_{p}}\right)_{i, j}=\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \omega^{i k} \omega^{-k j}=\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \omega^{k(i-j)}= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } i \neq j \\ p, & \text { if } i=j\end{cases}
$$

Thus $V_{p}$ is invertible with $V_{p}^{-1}=(1 / p) \overline{V_{p}}$.
(ii) By the multiplication rule of the Kronecker tensor product, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(V_{p} \otimes V_{p} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{p}\right)\left(V_{p}^{-1} \otimes V_{p}^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{p}^{-1}\right) \\
= & \left(V_{p} V_{p}^{-1}\right) \otimes\left(V_{p} V_{p}^{-1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(V_{p} V_{p}^{-1}\right) \\
= & I_{p} \otimes I_{p} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{p}=I_{p^{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\left(V_{p} \otimes V_{p} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{p}\right)^{-1}=V_{p}^{-1} \otimes V_{p}^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{p}^{-1}$, completing the proof.

## Chapter 4

## The Characterization of Quantum

## Codes Using Logic Functions

In this chapter, we introduce a characterization of quantum codes using logic functions introduced in [5] and [6] (for logic functions, see [1] and [2]).

Throughout this section, let $Q$ be a $K$-dimensional quantum code of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}\right)^{\otimes n}$ with an orthonormal basis $\left\{\mathfrak{v}_{i}=\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} v_{i}(\mathbf{u})|\mathbf{u}\rangle \mid 1 \leq i \leq K\right\}$, where $v_{i}: \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq i \leq$ $K$ are functions.

Theorem 4.1. Assume $K \geq 2$. Then $Q$ is a quantum $((n, K, \geq d))_{p}$-code if and only if for any subset $E \subseteq\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ with $|E|=d-1, d \geq 2, E^{c}=\{1,2, \cdots, n\} \backslash$ $E,\left|E^{c}\right|=n-d+1$ and $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{d-1}, 1 \leq i, j \leq K$, we have

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{u}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]} \overline{v_{i}(\mathbf{u})} v_{j}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } i \neq j  \tag{4.1}\\ \eta\left(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right), & \text { if } i=j\end{cases}
$$

where the sum is indeed over $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ with $\mathbf{u}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]$ and $\mathbf{u}[E]=\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime}[E]=$ $\mathbf{w}^{\prime}$, and $\eta\left(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant independent of $i$ (depends on $\left.\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. " $\Rightarrow$ ": Let $\mathbf{E}=X(\mathbf{a}) Z(\mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{E}_{n}$ be an error of quantum weight at most $d-1$, where a satisfies $\mathbf{a}[E]=\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}^{\prime}, \mathbf{a}\left[E^{c}\right]=0$, and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ is a vector of $d-1$ variables satisfying $\mathbf{b}\left[E^{c}\right]=0$. Then by definition 3.10,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\mathbf{E}} \delta_{i j}=\left\langle\mathfrak{v}_{i}, \mathbf{E v}_{j}\right\rangle=\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{d-1}} \omega^{\mathbf{b}[E] \cdot \mathbf{x}^{\prime}} \sum_{\mathbf{u}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]} \overline{v_{i}(\mathbf{u})} v_{j}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{i j}=0$ if $i \neq j ; \delta_{i j}=1$ otherwise, $\lambda_{\mathbf{E}}$ depends only on $\mathbf{E}$ and is independent of $i, j$, and the second sum is indeed over $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ with $\mathbf{u}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]$ and $\mathbf{u}[E]=\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime}[E]=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}[E]$. In matrix form, (4.2) becomes

$$
\Omega \mathbf{y}= \begin{cases}\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } i \neq j \\ \lambda_{\mathbf{E}}(1,1, \cdots, 1)^{T}, & \text { if } i=j\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is an $p^{d-1} \times p^{d-1}$ matrix indexed by $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{d-1}$ with $\mathbf{b}[E], \mathbf{x}^{\prime}$-entry $\omega^{\mathbf{b}[E] \cdot \mathbf{x}^{\prime}}$, and

$$
\mathbf{y}=\sum_{\mathbf{u}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]} \overline{v_{i}(\mathbf{u})} v_{j}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { (being indexed depending on } \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \text { ) }
$$

is a $(d-1)$-dimensional column vector over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Since the matrix $\Omega$ is invertible by lemma 3.25, we find the column vector

$$
\mathbf{y}= \begin{cases}\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } i \neq j \\ \lambda_{\mathbf{E}} \Omega^{-1}(1,1, \cdots, 1)^{T}, & \text { if } i=j\end{cases}
$$

Hence the result follows by considering the $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{w}$ entry of vector $\mathbf{y}$.
$" \Leftarrow "$ : To show that $Q$ has minimum distance at least $d$, let $\mathbf{E}=\omega^{t} X(\mathbf{a}) Z(\mathbf{b}) \in$ $\mathcal{E}_{n}$ be an error of quantum weight at most $d-1$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $t=0$. Choose $E$ such that $|E|=d-1$ and $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ satisfy $\left(\mathbf{a}\left[E^{c}\right], \mathbf{b}\left[E^{c}\right]\right)=$ $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$. Pick two totally distinguishable codewords $\mathfrak{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{K} \alpha_{i} \mathfrak{v}_{i}, \mathfrak{w}=\sum_{j=1}^{K} \beta_{j} \mathfrak{v}_{j} \in$ $Q$. Note that

$$
\mathbf{E w}=\sum_{j=1}^{K} \beta_{j} e \mathfrak{v}_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{K} \beta_{j} \sum_{\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} v_{j}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) e\left|\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{K} \beta_{j} \sum_{\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} v_{j}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \omega^{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{\prime}}\left|\mathbf{u}^{\prime}+\mathbf{a}\right\rangle
$$

Thus for $\mathbf{u}[E]=\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime}[E]=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}[E]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\mathfrak{v}, \mathbf{E} \mathfrak{w}\rangle & =\sum_{i, j=1}^{K} \overline{\alpha_{i}} \beta_{j} \sum_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}+\mathbf{a}} \omega^{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{\prime}} v_{i}(\mathbf{u}) v_{j}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{K} \overline{\alpha_{i}} \beta_{j} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{d-1}} \omega^{\mathbf{b}[E] \cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}[E])} \sum_{\mathbf{u}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]} v_{i}(\mathbf{u}) v_{j}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{K} \overline{\alpha_{i}} \beta_{i} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{d-1}} \omega^{\mathbf{b}[E] \cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}[E])} \sum_{\mathbf{u}[E]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}[E]} v_{i}(\mathbf{u}) v_{i}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{d-1}} \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}[E]) \omega^{\mathbf{b}[E] \cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}[E])}\langle\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{w}\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(The last equality is obtained by the condition: when $i \neq j, \sum_{\mathbf{u}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]} \overline{v_{i}(\mathbf{u})} v_{j}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)=$ 0 ; when $i=j, \sum_{\mathbf{u}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]} \overline{v_{i}(\mathbf{u})} v_{i}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)=\eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}[E])$, which is independent to $\left.i\right)$, completing the proof.

Theorem 4.2. Let $K \geq 1$. Then $Q$ is a pure quantum $((n, K, \geq d))_{p}$-code if and only if for any subset $E \subseteq\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ with $|E|=d-1, d \geq 2, E^{c}=\{1,2, \cdots, n\} \backslash$ $E,\left|E^{c}\right|=n-d+1$ and $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{d-1}$, we have

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{u}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]} \overline{v_{i}(\mathbf{u})} v_{j}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } \mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{w}^{\prime}  \tag{4.3}\\ \delta_{i, j} p^{1-d}, & \text { if } \mathbf{w}=\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

where the sum is indeed over $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ with $\mathbf{u}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]$, and $\mathbf{u}[E]=\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime}[E]=$ $\mathbf{w}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{d-1}$.

Proof. " $\Rightarrow "$ : Let a set $E$ of cardinality $d-1$ and $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{d-1}$ be given. Choose $\mathbf{E}=X(\mathbf{a}) Z(\mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{E}_{n}$ be an error of quantum weight at most $d-1$, where a satisfies $\mathbf{a}[E]=\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}^{\prime}, \mathbf{a}\left[E^{c}\right]=0$, and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ is any vector satisfying $\mathbf{b}\left[E^{c}\right]=0$. Since $Q$ is a pure $((n, K, d))_{p}$-quantum code, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\mathrm{wt}}^{Q}(\mathbf{E}), 0=\left\langle\mathfrak{v}_{i}, e \mathfrak{v}_{j}\right\rangle=\sum_{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}[E]} \omega^{\mathbf{b}[E] \cdot \mathbf{x}^{\prime}} \sum_{\mathbf{u}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]} \overline{v_{i}(\mathbf{u})} v_{j}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{d-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{u}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]} \overline{v_{i}(\mathbf{u})} v_{j}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} \overline{v_{i}(\mathbf{u})} v_{j}(\mathbf{u})=\delta_{i, j}
$$

In matrix form, (4.4) becomes

$$
\Omega \mathbf{y}= \begin{cases}\left(\delta_{i, j}, 0,0, \cdots, 0\right)^{T}, & \text { if } \mathbf{w}=\mathbf{w}^{\prime}(\mathbf{a}[E]=\mathbf{0}) \\ (0,0,0, \cdots, 0)^{T}, & \text { if } \mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{w}^{\prime}(\mathbf{a}[E] \neq \mathbf{0})\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ and $\mathbf{y}$ are as described in the proof of theorem 4.1. Note that the first column of $\Omega^{-1}$ is $p^{1-d}(1,1, \cdots, 1)^{T}$. Thus

$$
\mathbf{y}= \begin{cases}\delta_{i, j} p^{1-d}(1,1, \cdots, 1)^{T}, & \text { if } \mathbf{w}=\mathbf{w}^{\prime}(\mathbf{a}[E]=\mathbf{0}) \\ (0,0,0, \cdots, 0)^{T}, & \text { if } \mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{w}^{\prime}(\mathbf{a}[E] \neq \mathbf{0})\end{cases}
$$

proving the necessary condition.
$" \Leftarrow "$ : To show that $Q$ is $d$-pure, let $\mathbf{E}=\omega^{t} X(\mathbf{a}) Z(\mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{E}_{n}$ with $1 \leq \mathrm{wt}_{Q}(\mathbb{E}) \leq$ $d-1$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $t=0$. Choose $E=\{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq$ $\left.n,\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \neq(0,0)\right\}$ so that $|E|=d-1,(\mathbf{a}[E], \mathbf{b}[E]) \neq(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$ and $\left(\mathbf{a}\left[E^{c}\right], \mathbf{b}[E]^{c}\right)=$ $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$ for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$. Pick two codewords $\mathfrak{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{K} \alpha_{i} \mathfrak{v}_{i}, \mathfrak{w}=\sum_{j=1}^{K} \beta_{j} \mathfrak{v}_{j} \in Q$. Then for $\mathbf{u}[E]=\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime}[E]=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}[E]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\mathfrak{v}, \mathbf{E} \mathfrak{w}\rangle & =\sum_{i, j=1}^{K} \overline{\alpha_{i}} \beta_{j} \sum_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}+\mathbf{a}} \omega^{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{\prime}} v_{i}(\mathbf{u}) v_{j}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{K} \overline{\alpha_{i}} \beta_{j} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{d-1}} \omega^{\mathbf{b}[E] \cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}[E])} \sum_{\mathbf{u}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]} v_{i}(\mathbf{u}) v_{j}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}\sum_{i, j=1}^{K} \delta_{i, j} p^{1-d} \overline{\alpha_{i}} \beta_{j} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{d-1}} \omega^{\mathbf{b}[E] \cdot \mathbf{x}}=0, & \text { if } \mathbf{a}[E]=\mathbf{0} \\
0, & \text { if } \mathbf{a}[E] \neq \mathbf{0}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

(The last equality is obtained by the second condition: when $\mathbf{a}[E] \neq \mathbf{0}$,

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{u}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]} \overline{v_{i}(\mathbf{u})} v_{j}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

when $\mathbf{a}[E]=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{b}[E] \neq \mathbf{0}$ and

$$
\left.\sum_{\mathbf{u}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]} \overline{v_{i}(\mathbf{u})} v_{j}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)=\delta_{i, j} p^{1-d}\right),
$$

completing the proof.

In fact, the functions $v_{i}: \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be obtained simply by $v_{i}(\mathbf{u})=\omega^{f_{i}(\mathbf{u})}$, where $1 \leq i \leq K$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ and $f_{i}$ are functions from $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ so that the functions $v_{i}(\mathbf{u})=\omega^{f_{i}(\mathbf{u})}$ satisfy the conditions (4.1) and (4.3) in the previous theorems (see [6]). Hence these functions can be used to construct (pure) quantum codes. Such function is called a logic function (for $p=2$, a Boolean function).

## Chapter 5

## Graph-theoretical Method

In this chapter, we will discuss about a method to construct quantum error correcting codes introduced by Schlingemann and Werner in [7], in which only the case for the odd primes is discussed, therefore we are going to improve the method by applying $v_{i}(\mathbf{u})=\omega^{\mathbf{u}_{i}^{T} B \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{w}^{T} A \mathbf{w}}$ for $\mathbf{u}_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{k}(1 \leq i \leq K)$ to theorem 4.2 so that it is valid for all primes.

Throughout this section, we assume the following hypotheses: Let $p$ be a prime and $\omega$ the $p$-th primitive root of unity. Let $X, Y$ be sets with cardinality $|X|=k$ and $|Y|=n$. Let $d \geq 2$ and $(n, k, d)$ satisfy the quantum Singleton bound $n \geq$ $k+2(d-1)$. Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with rows and columns indexed by $Y, B$ an $k \times n$ matrix with rows indexed by $X$ and columns indexed by $Y$. Define a linear function $f:\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}\right)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}\right)^{\otimes n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(|\mathbf{u}\rangle)=\sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} \omega^{\mathbf{u}^{T} B \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{w}^{T} A \mathbf{w}}|\mathbf{w}\rangle \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathbf{u}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{k}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{k}$. Let $Q=f\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}\right)^{\otimes k}\right)$, the image of $f$. Here we will use the function (5.1)to reprove the result in [7] in three steps.

In step 1, we shall give a definition and prove two lemmas, which give a necessary condition and a sufficient condition of quantum pairs, respectively:

Definition 5.1. The pair $(A, B)$ is an $[[n, k, d]]_{p}$-quantum pair if for any $E \subseteq Y$ with $|E|=d-1, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{k}$ and $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{d-1}$, the following implication holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}^{T} B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]-\mathbf{e}^{T}\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0} \text { and } B[X \mid E] \mathbf{e}=\mathbf{0} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E^{c}=Y \backslash E$.

First we prove the necessary condition:

Lemma 5.2. If $(A, B)$ is an $[[n, k, d]]_{p}$-quantum pair, then the sub-matrix $B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]$ of $B$ has rank $k$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, and the intersection of row space of $B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]$ and $(A+$ $\left.A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]$ is the zero space for any $(d-1)$-subset $E$ of $Y$.

Proof. Taking $\mathbf{e}=0$ in (5.2), we find that $B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]$ has rank $k$. Suppose $\mathbf{u}^{T} B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]=$ $\mathbf{e}^{T}\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]$ is a vector in the intersection of row spaces of $B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]$ and $\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]$. Then $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0}$ by (5.2). Hence the vector $\mathbf{u}^{T} B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{0}$.

Now we prove the sufficient condition:

Lemma 5.3. If the sub-matrix $B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]$ of $B$ has rank $k$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, the sub-matrix $\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]$ of $A+A^{T}$ has rank $d-1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ and the intersection of row spaces of $B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]$ and $\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]$ is the zero space for any $(d-1)$-subset $E$ of $Y$, then $(A, B)$ is an $[[n, k, d]]_{p}$-quantum pair.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathbf{u}^{T} B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]-\mathbf{e}^{T}\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{0}$. Then $\mathbf{u}^{T} B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]=$ $\mathbf{e}^{T}\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{0}$ since it is in the intersection of row spaces of $B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]$ and $\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]$. Since $\operatorname{rank}\left(B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]\right)=k$, the row vectors of $B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]$ are linearly independent, thus $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0}$. And $\operatorname{rank}\left(\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]\right)=d-1$ implies that $\mathbf{e}=\mathbf{0}$ by similar argument.

We shall call such a pair $(A, B)$ in lemma 5.3 a pure $[[n, k, d]]_{p}$-quantum pair. In step 2, we shall prove

Theorem 5.4. For any $\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{v}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{\otimes k}$, if $(A, B)$ is an $[[n, k, d]]_{p}$-quantum pair, then $\left\langle f\left(\mathfrak{v}^{\prime}\right) \mid f(\mathfrak{v})\right\rangle=p^{n}\left\langle\mathfrak{v}^{\prime} \mid \mathfrak{v}\right\rangle$. In other words, $f$ preserves orthogonality. In particular, $Q=f\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}\right)^{\otimes k}\right)$ has dimension $p^{k}$.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{v} & \left.\left.=\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{k}} v(\mathbf{u}) \mid \mathbf{u}\right]\right\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{\otimes k}, \\
\mathfrak{v}^{\prime} & =\sum_{\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{k}} v^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)\left|\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{\otimes k},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v(\mathbf{u}), v^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{w} & =f(\mathfrak{v})
\end{aligned}=\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} v(\mathbf{u}) \omega^{\mathbf{u}^{T} B \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{w}^{T} A \mathbf{w}}|\mathbf{w}\rangle, ~ \mathfrak{w}^{\prime}=f\left(\mathfrak{v}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} v^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \omega^{\mathbf{u}^{\prime T} B \mathbf{w}^{\prime}+\mathbf{w}^{\prime T} A \mathbf{w}^{\prime}}\left|\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right\rangle . . ~ l
$$

Now, we can compute the Hermitian inner product:

$$
\left\langle\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{w}\right\rangle=\sum_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime}}\left[\overline{v^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)} v(\mathbf{u})\left(\sum_{\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{w}^{\prime}} \omega^{t}\right)\right],
$$

where

$$
t=\left(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)^{T} B \mathbf{w}
$$

Since $(A, B)$ is an $[[n, k, d]]_{p}$-quantum pair, $\operatorname{rank} B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]=k$. Hence, by lemma 3.24, we have

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{w}} \omega^{\left(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)^{T} B \mathbf{w}}= \begin{cases}p^{n}, & \text { if } \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

This follows that

$$
\left\langle\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{w}\right\rangle=p^{n} \sum_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}^{\prime}} \overline{v^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)} v(\mathbf{u})=p^{n}\left\langle\mathfrak{v}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{v}\right\rangle .
$$

Hence $f$ preserves the orthogonality, and so $f$ maps the basis of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}\right)^{\otimes k}$ to that of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}\right)^{\otimes n}$. Hence $f$ is $1-1$, which means the image of $f$ has dimension $p^{k}$.

In step 3, we shall prove

Theorem 5.5. If $(A, B)$ is an $[[n, k, d]]_{p}$-quantum pair, then $Q=f\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}\right)^{\otimes k}\right)$ has minimum distance at least $d$.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{w} & =f(\mathfrak{v})
\end{aligned}=\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} v(\mathbf{u}) \omega^{\mathbf{u}^{T} B \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{w}^{T} A \mathbf{w}}|\mathbf{w}\rangle \in Q, ~=\dot{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{k} \sum_{\mathbf{w}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} v^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right) \omega^{\mathbf{u}^{\prime T} B \mathbf{w}^{\prime}+\mathbf{w}^{\prime T} A \mathbf{w}^{\prime}}\left|\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right\rangle \in Q .
$$

be totally distinguishable (hence $\mathfrak{v}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{v}$ are totally distinguishable). For any $\mathbf{E}=$ $X(\mathbf{l}) Z(\mathbf{s}) \in \mathcal{E}_{n}$ with $\mathrm{wt}_{Q}(\mathbf{E}) \leq d-1$, we have $\mathbf{E}\left|\mathbf{w}[E], \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]\right\rangle=\omega^{\mathbf{s}[E] \cdot \mathbf{w}[E]} \mid \mathbf{w}[E]+$ $\left.\mathbf{l}[E], \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]\right\rangle$ for all $(d-1)$-subset $E$ of $Y$ and $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ with $\mathbf{s}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{l}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{0}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E w} & =\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} v(\mathbf{u}) \omega^{\mathbf{u}^{T} B \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{w}^{T} A \mathbf{w}} e|\mathbf{w}\rangle \\
& =\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{w}[E], \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]} v(\mathbf{u}) \omega^{\mathbf{s}[E] \cdot \mathbf{w}[E]+\mathbf{u}^{T} B\left(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]\right)+\left(\mathbf{w}[E], \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]\right)^{T} A\left(\mathbf{w}[E], \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]\right)}\left|\mathbf{w}[E]+\mathbf{l}[E], \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\left\langle\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}, \mathbf{E} \mathfrak{w}\right\rangle=\sum_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{w}^{\prime}=\mathbf{w}+1} \omega^{r} \overline{v^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)} v(\mathbf{u})
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r= \mathbf{s}[E] \cdot \mathbf{w}[E]+\mathbf{u}^{T} B[X \mid E] \mathbf{w}[E]-\mathbf{u}^{T} B[X \mid E] \mathbf{l}[E]+\mathbf{u}^{T} B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right] \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right] \\
&+\mathbf{w}[E]^{T} A[E \mid E] \mathbf{w}[E]-\mathbf{l}[E]^{T} A[E \mid E] \mathbf{w}[E]-\mathbf{w}[E]^{T} A[E \mid E] \mathbf{l}[E]-\mathbf{l}[E]^{T} A[E \mid E] \mathbf{l}[E] \\
&+\mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]^{T} A\left[E^{c} \mid E\right] \mathbf{w}[E]-\mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]^{T} A\left[E^{c} \mid E\right] \mathbf{l}[E] \\
&+\mathbf{w}[E]^{T} A\left[E \mid E^{c}\right] \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]-\mathbf{l}[E]^{T} A\left[E \mid E^{c}\right] \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right] \\
&+\mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]^{T} A\left[E^{c} \mid E^{c}\right] \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]-\mathbf{u}^{\prime T} B[X \mid E] \mathbf{w}^{\prime}[E]-\mathbf{u}^{T} B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right] \mathbf{w}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right] \\
&-\mathbf{w}^{\prime}[E]^{T} A[E \mid E] \mathbf{w}^{\prime}[E]-\mathbf{w}^{\prime}[E]^{T} A\left[E \mid E^{c}\right] \mathbf{w}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right] \\
&-\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]^{T} A\left[E^{c} \mid E\right] \mathbf{w}^{\prime}[E]-\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]^{T} A\left[E^{c} \mid E^{c}\right] \mathbf{w}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right] \\
&=\mathbf{s}[E] \cdot \mathbf{w}[E]+\left(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)^{T} B[X \mid E] \mathbf{w}[E]+\left(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)^{T} B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right] \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right] \\
&-\mathbf{u}^{T} B[X \mid E] \mathbf{l}[E]-\mathbf{l}[E]^{T} A[E \mid E] \mathbf{l}[E]-\mathbf{l}[E]^{T} A[E \mid E] \mathbf{w}[E]-\mathbf{w}[E]^{T} A[E \mid E] \mathbf{l}[E] \\
&-\mathbf{l}[E]^{T} A\left[E \mid E^{c}\right] \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]-\mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]^{T} A\left[E^{c} \mid E\right] \mathbf{l}[E] \\
&= \mathbf{s}[E] \cdot \mathbf{w}[E]+\left(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)^{T} B[X \mid E] \mathbf{w}[E]+\left(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)^{T} B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right] \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right] \\
&-\mathbf{u}^{T} B[X \mid E] \mathbf{l}[E]-\mathbf{l}[E]^{T} A[E \mid E] \mathbf{l}[E] \\
&-\mathbf{l}[E]^{T}\left(A+A^{T}\right)[E \mid E] \mathbf{w}[E]-\mathbf{l}[E]^{T}\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right] \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(because $\mathbf{w}^{\prime}=\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{l}$ in the summation.). Then by the definition of quantum pairs
and the previous lemmas, we can simplify the inner product $\left\langle\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}, \mathbf{E w}\right\rangle$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}, \mathbf{E w}\right\rangle=\sum_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{w}^{\prime}} \omega^{r} \overline{v^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)} v(\mathbf{u}) \\
& =\omega^{-\mathbf{1}[E]^{T} A[E \mid E][E]} \sum_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime}} \overline{v^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)} v(\mathbf{u}) \\
& \cdot\left[\sum_{\mathbf{w}[E]} \omega^{\mathbf{s}[E] \cdot \mathbf{w}[E]+\left(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)^{T} B[X \mid E] \mathbf{w}[E]-\mathbf{1}[E]^{T}\left(A+A^{T}\right)[E \mid E] \mathbf{w}[E]-\mathbf{u}^{T} B[X \mid E][E]}\right] \\
& \cdot\left[\sum_{\mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]} \omega^{\left(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)^{T} B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right] \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]-\mathbf{l}[E]^{T}\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right] \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]}\right] \\
& =p^{n-d+1} \omega^{-\mathbf{l}[E]^{T} A[E \mid E][E]} \sum_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime}} \overline{v^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)} v(\mathbf{u}) \cdot\left[\sum_{\mathbf{w}[E]} \omega^{\left(\mathbf{s}[\mathbf{E}]-\mathbf{l}[E]^{T}\left(A+A^{T}\right)[E \mid E]\right) \mathbf{w}[E]}\right] \\
& =p^{n-d+1} \omega^{-1[E]^{T} A[E \mid E][E]}\left[\sum_{\mathbf{w}[E]} \omega^{\left(\mathbf{s}[E]-1[E]^{T}\left(A+A^{T}\right)[E \mid E]\right) \mathbf{w}[E]}\right]\left\langle\mathfrak{v}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{v}\right\rangle=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result of theorems 5.4 and 5.5 shows that if $(A, B)$ is an $[[n, k, d]]_{p}$-quantum pair, then $Q$ is a quantum $[[n, k, d]]_{p}$-code. Below we quote Theorem 4.1 and 4.2 to prove a stronger result, which was given in [6].

Theorem 5.6. If $(A, B)$ is a pure $[[n, k, d]]_{p}$-quantum pair, then $Q$ is a pure quantum $[[n, k, \geq d]]_{p}$-code.

Proof. Order the vectors in $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{k}$ as $\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{p^{k}}$, and define functions $v_{i}: \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
v_{i}(\mathbf{w})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p^{n}}} \omega^{\mathbf{u}_{i}^{T} B \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{w}^{T} A \mathbf{w}}
$$

for $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$. Then by Theorem 5.4, $Q$ has the following orthonormal basis

$$
\left\{\mathfrak{v}_{i}=\sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} v_{i}(\mathbf{w})|\mathbf{w}\rangle \mid 1 \leq i \leq p^{k}\right\}
$$

By theorem 4.2, it suffices to show that
where the sum is over $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ such that with $\mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]=\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\left[E^{c}\right]$, and the two prefixed parts $\mathbf{w}[E]$ and $\mathbf{w}^{\prime}[E]$ of $E$. This follows by the following computation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{v_{i}(\mathbf{w})} v_{j}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \omega^{\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{j}}^{T}} B \mathbf{w}^{\prime}+\mathbf{w}^{\prime T} A \mathbf{w}^{\prime}-\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} B \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{w}^{T} A \mathbf{w}\right) \\
= & \omega^{\mathbf{u}_{j}^{T} B\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}[E], \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]\right)-\mathbf{u}_{i}^{T} B\left(\mathbf{w}[E], \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]\right)+\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}[E], \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]\right)^{T} A\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}[E], \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]\right)-\left(\mathbf{w}[E], \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]\right)^{T} A\left(\mathbf{w}[E], \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]\right)} \\
= & \omega^{\mathbf{u}_{j}^{T} B[X \mid E] \mathbf{w}^{\prime}[E]-\mathbf{u}_{i}^{T} B[X \mid E] \mathbf{w}[E]+\mathbf{w}^{\prime}[E]^{T} A[E \mid E] \mathbf{w}^{\prime}[E]-\mathbf{w}[E]^{T} A[E \mid E] \mathbf{w}[E]} \\
& \cdot \omega^{\left\{\left(\mathbf{u}_{j}-\mathbf{u}_{i}\right)^{T} B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]+\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}[E]-\mathbf{w}[E]\right)^{T}\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]\right\} \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we take the part related to $E^{c}$, and we have

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]} \omega^{\left\{\left(\mathbf{u}_{j}-\mathbf{u}_{i}\right)^{T} B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]+\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime}[E]-\mathbf{w}[E]\right)^{T}\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]\right\} \mathbf{w}\left[E^{c}\right]} .
$$

Since $(A, B)$ is a pure $[[n, k, d]]_{p}$-quantum pair, then (5.2) implies that $\mathbf{u}_{i}=\mathbf{u}_{j}$, $\mathbf{w}^{\prime}[E]=\mathbf{w}[E]$ and the summation becomes $p^{n-d+1}$. Otherwise, the summation becomes 0 by lemma 3.24 again, completing the proof.

Actually, the previous result also shows that, by defining $f_{i}: \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}$ by $f_{i}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{u}_{i}^{T} B \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{x}^{T} A \mathbf{x}$ for $\mathbf{u}_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{k}\left(1 \leq i \leq p^{k}\right)$, we can obtain an $[[n, k, d]]_{p^{-}}$ quantum code $Q=\operatorname{span}\left\{\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} \omega^{f_{i}(\mathbf{x})}|\mathbf{x}\rangle \mid 1 \leq i \leq p^{k}\right\}$ (see [6]). Now, let $R$ be the $(k+n) \times(k+n)$ matrix with rows and columns indexed by $(X \cup Y)$ of the form

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & B  \tag{5.3}\\
B^{T} & A+A^{T}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then lemma 5.3 is equivalent to that the sub-matrix

$$
R\left[X \cup E \mid E^{c}\right]=\binom{B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]}{\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]}
$$

of $R$ has rank $k+d-1$ for any $E \subseteq Y$ with $|E|=d-1$. Hence we have the following corollaries from the previous theorem. The corollaries are used for MDS quantum codes (i.e. the codes reaching Singleton bound).

Corollary 5.7. Suppose $n=k+2(d-1)$, and the square $(k+d-1) \times(k+d-1)$ sub-matrix $R\left[X \cup E \mid E^{c}\right]$ is invertible for any $E \subseteq Y$ with $|E|=d-1$. Then $Q$ is a pure quantum $[[n, k, d]]_{p}$-code.

Proof. By Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 5.6, $Q$ is a pure quantum $[[n, k, t]]_{p}$-code for some $t \geq d$. By using quantum Singleton bound and the assumption, we have $n-2 d+2=k \leq n-2 t+2$, so $t=d$.

Here we give examples for the applications of theorems 5.4 and 5.5 and corollary 5.7.

Example 5.8. Let $X=\left\{x_{0}\right\}, Y=\left\{y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right\}$ and $E$ a 2 -subset of $Y$. Consider the graph $G_{1}$ with vertex set $V\left(G_{1}\right)=X \cup Y$ as below:


Figure 1. $G_{1}$

Then its adjacency matrix is

$$
R=\begin{gathered}
x_{0} \\
y_{0}
\end{gathered} y_{1} \begin{gathered}
y_{2} \\
y_{3}
\end{gathered} y_{4}, \begin{aligned}
& x_{0} \\
& y_{0} \\
& y_{1} \\
& y_{2} \\
& y_{3} \\
& y_{4}
\end{aligned}\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

which is of the form (5.3) with

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), B=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then we can check that

$$
f(|a\rangle)=\sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{5}} \omega^{a(1,1,1,1,1) \cdot \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{w}^{T} A \mathbf{w}}|\mathbf{w}\rangle=\sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{5}} \omega^{a \sum_{i=1}^{5} u_{i}+\prod_{i(\bmod 5)} u_{i} u_{i+1}}|\mathbf{w}\rangle
$$

where $a=0,1,2, \cdots, p-1$, form a basis of $Q=f\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}\right)^{\otimes 1}\right)$, and $\operatorname{dim} Q=p$ by the orthogonality. Also, we check that for any subset $E$ of $Y$ with $|E|=2$ and $\left|E^{c}\right|=3$ the sub-matrix $R\left[X \cup E \mid E^{c}\right]$ is invertible. According the the edge relation between $X \cup E$ and $E^{c}$, there are only two situations of $R\left[X \cup E \mid E^{c}\right]$ :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

both of which have determinant 1 . Hence we can construct a pure quantum $[[5,1,3]]_{p^{-}}$ code $Q=\operatorname{span}\left\{\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{5}} \omega^{(i, i, i, i, i) \cdot \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{x}^{T} A \mathbf{x}}|\mathbf{x}\rangle \mid 0 \leq i \leq 4, i \in \mathbb{F}_{p}\right\}$ explicitly.

Here is an example from [1]. We interpret it with the graph-theoretical method.

Example 5.9. Let $X=\phi, Y=\left\{y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right\}$ and $G_{1}^{\prime}$ be the graph with vertex set $V\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)=Y$ as below.


Figure 2. $G_{1}^{\prime}$

Then consider its adjacency matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llllll}
y_{0} & y_{1} & y_{2} & y_{3} & y_{4} & y_{5}
\end{array} \\
& R=A+A^{T}=\begin{array}{l}
y_{0} \\
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
y_{3} \\
y_{4} \\
y_{5}
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For any $E \subseteq Y$ with $|E|=3$, we have $\operatorname{rank}\left(R\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]\right)=3$ since the row vectors of $R\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]$ are never linearly dependent for any choice of $E$. Hence we can construct
a pure quantum $[[6,0,4]]_{2}$-code

$$
Q=\operatorname{span}\left\{\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{6}}(-1)^{f(\mathbf{x})}|\mathbf{x}\rangle\right\},
$$

where

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{x}^{T} A \mathbf{x}=x_{0} x_{1}+x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2} x_{3}+x_{3} x_{4}+x_{4} x_{0}+x_{5}\left(x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right) .
$$

Example 5.10. [4] For $(n, k, d)=(6,2,3)$, we can not construct $\operatorname{binary}(p=2)$ quantum code this way, because these parameters violates Hamming bound when $p=2$. However, we can use this method to construct non-binary quantum codes with these parameters as follows: Let $(n, k, d)=(6,2,3), p=3$ and consider the graph $G_{2}$ with vertex set $V\left(G_{2}\right)=X \cup Y$, where $X=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}\right\}, Y=\left\{y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right\}$ and the solid edge has weight 1 and the dashed ones has weight -1 as below:


Figure 3. $G_{2}$

Then its weighted adjacency matrix is

$$
\left.R=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{0} & x_{1} \\
y_{0} & y_{1} \\
y_{2} & y_{3} \\
y_{4} & y_{5} \\
x_{0} \\
x_{1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right. & 1 \\
B^{T} & A+A^{T}
\end{array}\right)=\begin{array}{l}
y_{0} \\
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
y_{3} \\
y_{4} \\
y_{5} \\
1
\end{array} \begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

which is in the form (5.3) with

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \text { and } B=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

For any $E=\left\{y_{i}, y_{j}\right\}(0 \leq i \neq j \leq 5)$, it is clear that $\operatorname{rank}\left(B\left[X \mid E^{c}\right]\right)=2$. The column space of $\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]$ is spanned by $\left\{(1,0)^{T},(0,1)^{T}\right\}$. So $\operatorname{rank}\left(\left(A+A^{T}\right)\left[E \mid E^{c}\right]\right)=$ 2. In addition, the intersection of their row spaces contains only the zero vector, we can conclude that $(A, B)$ is a pure $\left[[6,2,3]_{p}\right.$-quantum pair for $p=3$. Hence $Q=\operatorname{span}\left\{\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{3}^{6}} \omega^{\mathbf{u}_{i}^{T} B \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{x}^{T} A \mathbf{x}}|\mathbf{x}\rangle \mid 1 \leq i \leq 9, \mathbf{u}_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{3}^{2}\right\}$ is a pure quantum $[[6,2,3]]_{3^{-}}$ code. As for the verification of the case $p \geq 5$, please see [4].
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