## 1 Introduction

Spectral characterization is an important subject in algebraic graph theory. Some properties of a graph can be recognized from the spectrum of it. For example, a graph is bipartite if and only if its eigenvalues are located symmetrically to the origin [1, Theorem 8.8.2]. Another well-known result is that a graph is regular if and only if its largest eigenvalue equal to its average valency [2, Lemma 3.2.1]. See Lemma 2.2 below. Spectral characterization of strongly regular graphs can also be done [3, Theorem 8.6.37]. Here we are interested in the question: Is a graph with the same spectrum of a distance-regular graph distance-regular? That is, for a graph, is the distance-regularity determined by its spectrum? The answer is no and there exist many counterexamples. See Remark 4.4. But furthermore, by imposing some restrictions on the conditions of the distance-regular graphs we consider, such as distance-regular graphs with some special given intersection parameters, the answer can be yes. In [8], by assuming the odd cycles in a given distance-regular graph have length greater than 2 times its diameter, Huang and Liu proved a graph with the same spectrum of it is a distanceregular graph too. In [5][6], the result is generalized that for two graphs with the same spectrum, if the girth $g$ satisfies $g \geq 2 d-1$ in the known distanceregular one, then the other is distance-regular too where $d$ is the diameter of $G$. In [4], by assuming $c_{d-1}=1$ in one graph, the other cospectral graph is shown to be distance-regular and have the same intersection parameters. In this thesis, we present a uniform way to this line of study. As a consequence, we can reprove the above mentioned results [3, Theorem 8.6.37],[4],[5],[6]. See Theorem 4.1, Corollary 4.2. Furthermore, we show that if two cospectral graphs have the same average number of vertices in the $t$-subconstituent with respect to a vertex for each $t$, then one is distance-regular implies the other is distance-regualr. See Theorem 4.3 for details.

## 2 Preliminary

Let $G=(X, R)$ be a finite undirected, connected graph, without loops or multiple edges, with vertex set $X$, edge set $R$, path length distance function $\delta$ and diameter $d:=\max \{\delta(x, y) \mid x, y \in X\}$. Sometimes we write $\operatorname{diam}(G)$ to denote the diameter of $G$. By a subgraph of $G$, we mean a graph $(\Delta, \Xi)$, where $\Delta$ is a non-empty subset of $X$ and $\Xi=\{\{x y\} \mid x, y \in \Delta,\{x y\} \in R\}$. We refer to $(\Delta, \Xi)$ as the subgraph induced on $\Delta$ and, by abuse of notation, we refer to this subgraph as $\Delta$. For any $x \in X$ and any integer $i$, set

$$
G_{i}(x):=\{y \mid y \in X, \delta(x, y)=i\} .
$$

The valency $k(x)$ of a vertex is the cardinality of $G_{1}(x)$. The graph $G$ is said to be regular with valency $k$ whenever each vertex in $X$ has valency $k$. For any $x \in X$, for any integer $i$, and for any $y \in G_{i}(x)$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(x, y) & :=G_{1}(y) \cap G_{i+1}(x) \\
A(x, y) & :=G_{1}(y) \cap G_{i}(x), \\
C(x, y) & :=G_{1}(y) \cap G_{i-1}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $x, y \in X$ with $\delta(x, y)=i$, the numbers

$$
c_{i}:=|C(x, y)|, \quad a_{i}:=|A(x, y)|, \quad b_{i}:=|B(x, y)| .
$$

are said to be well-defined if they are independent of $x$ and $y . G$ is said to be $t$-distance-regular whenever for all integers $i(0 \leq i \leq t), a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}, c_{i}$ are all well-defined. A d-distance-regular graph is also called a distanceregular graph. The constants $c_{i}, a_{i}$ and $b_{i}(0 \leq i \leq d)$ are known as the intersection numbers or intersection parameters of $G$. Note that the valency $k=b_{0}, c_{0}=0, c_{1}=1, b_{d}=0$ and

$$
k=c_{i}+a_{i}+b_{i} \quad(0 \leq i \leq d)
$$

Let $k_{i}(x)$ denotes the cardinality of $G_{i}(x)$. For a distance-regular graph $G$, we know that $k_{i}(x)$ is a constant for any $x \in G$ for all $i$. We denote this constant by $k_{i}$. A graph is said to be strongly regular with parameters ( $n, k, a, c$ ) if it is regular with valency $k$, every pair of adjacent vertices has $a$ common neighbors, and every pair of distinct nonadjacent vertices has $c$
common neighbors, where $c>0$. We see that a strongly regular graph is a distance-regular graph with diameter 2 with intersection parameters

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
c_{0}=0, & a_{0}=0, & b_{0}=k, \\
c_{1}=1, & a_{1}=a, & b_{1}=k-a-1, \\
c_{2}=c, & a_{2}=k-c, & b_{2}=0
\end{array}
$$

For the adjacency matrix $A$ of a graph $G$, we mean a symmetric $(0,1)$-matrix determined by $G$ with rows and columns indexed by the vertices of $G$, and with entries

$$
A_{x y}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { if } x, y \text { is adjacent } \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since the adjacency matrix $A$ of a graph $G$ is a real symmetric matrix, we have that the eigenvalues of $A$ are all real numbers. We represent the distinct eigenvalues of $A$ with their corresponding multiplicities by an array as follows:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\theta_{0} & \theta_{1} & \cdots & \theta_{d} \\
m_{0} & m_{1} & \cdots & m_{d}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\theta_{0}>\theta_{1}>\cdots>\theta_{d}$. Note $m_{0}+m_{1}+\cdots+m_{d}=v$ where $v$ is the number of vertices in $G$. This array is said to be the spectrum of $G$. Two graphs are said to be cospectral if they have the same spectrum.

The following Lemma follows immediately from linear algebra.
Lemma 2.1. $\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{d} m_{i} \theta_{i}^{n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Lemma 2.2. Let $G=(X, R)$ be a graph with $v$ vertices and have average valency $\bar{k}=\frac{1}{v} \sum_{x \in X} k(x)$. Let $A$ be the adjacency matrix of $G$ with eigenvalues $\theta_{0} \geq \theta_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \theta_{v}$. We have $\theta_{0} \geq \bar{k}$, with equality if and only if $G$ is regular.

Proof. Let $\beta=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{v}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{v}$ which are all eigenvectors of $A$, and let $\theta_{i}$ be the corresponding eigenvalue of $u_{i}$. Consider the all- 1 vector $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{v}$. We can express $\mathbf{1}$ in terms of a linear combination of $\beta$,that is, $\mathbf{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{v} a_{i} u_{i}$. We have that $v=\mathbf{1}^{t} \mathbf{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{v} a_{i}^{2}$, and $\sum_{x \in X} k(x)=$
$v \bar{k}=\mathbf{1}^{t} A \mathbf{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{v} a_{i}^{2} \theta_{i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{v} a_{i}^{2} \theta_{0}=v \theta_{0}$, so $\bar{k} \leq \theta_{0}$. The equality holds if and only if $a_{i}\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{i}\right)=0$ for all $i(o \leq i \leq v)$, that is, $A \mathbf{1}=\theta_{0} \mathbf{1}$, i.e., $G$ is regular with valency $\theta_{0}$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $G=(X, R)$ be a graph with $v$ vertices and has spectrum

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\theta_{0} & \theta_{1} & \cdots & \theta_{d} \\
m_{0} & m_{1} & \cdots & m_{d}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\theta_{0}>\theta_{1}>\cdots>\theta_{d}$. Then the following (i)-(ii) are equivalent.
(i) $\sum_{i=0}^{d} m_{i} \theta_{i}^{2}=v \theta_{0}$
(ii) $G$ is regular with valency $\theta_{0}$.

Proof. Observe $\left(A^{2}\right)_{x x}=k(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Hence we have that $\sum_{i=1}^{d} m_{i} \theta_{i}^{2}=$ $\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{2}\right)=\sum_{x \in X} k(x)=v \bar{k}$. Then simply applying Lemma 2.2, we have that (i)-(ii) are equivalent.

We quote a Theorem from [1, Lemma 8.12.1].
Theorem 2.4. If $G$ is a graph with diameter $d$, then $A(G)$ has at least $d+1$ distinct eigenvalues.

## 3 t-distance-regular graphs

Let $G=(X, E)$ and $G^{\prime}=\left(X^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ be two connected graphs with the same spectrum

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\theta_{0} & \theta_{1} & \cdots & \theta_{d} \\
m_{0} & m_{1} & \cdots & m_{d}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $t \leq d$ be a positive integer. Suppose $G$ is $t$-distance-regular. That is in $G$ the parameters $a_{i}, b_{i}$, and $c_{i+1},(0 \leq i \leq t-1)$ are well-defined. Hence $k_{i}(0 \leq$ $i \leq t)$ is well defined. Suppose $G^{\prime}$ is $(t-1)$-distance-regular, the parameters $a_{i}^{\prime}, b_{i}^{\prime}$, and $c_{i+1}^{\prime},(0 \leq i \leq t-2)$ are well-defined. Furthermore assume these parameters are the same as the corresponding intersection parameters of $G$. Hence $k_{i}^{\prime}=k_{i}(0 \leq i \leq t-1)$. Let $A, A^{\prime}$ denote the adjacency matrices of $G, G^{\prime}$ respectively.

Lemma 3.1. $\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}} \sum_{y \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)} a_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y)=v k_{t-1} a_{t-1} \quad$, where $v=|X|=\sum_{i=0}^{d} m_{i}$.
Proof. The number of closed walks of length $2 t-1$ through $x$ in $G^{\prime}$ is $\left(A^{\prime 2 t-1}\right)_{x x}$. These closed walks divide into 2 parts. One contains an edge in the induced subgraph $G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)$ and the other does not. The number of the first part is

$$
\sum_{y \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)} a_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y)\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

Let $K$ denote the number of remaining closed walks. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
K+\sum_{y \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)} a_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y)\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right)=\left(A^{\prime 2 t-1}\right)_{x x} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note $K$ can be expressed in terms of the known (and well-defined) intersection parameters. Then we know that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\prime 2 t-1}\right) & =\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}}\left(A^{\prime 2 t-1}\right)_{x x} \\
& =v K+\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}} \sum_{y \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)} a_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y)\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \cdots c_{2}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{2 t-1}\right) & =v K+\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in G_{t-1}(x)} a_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& =v K+v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ have the same spectrum, we know that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{2 t-1}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{2 t-1}\right)
$$

Thus by (3.2)-(3.3)

$$
\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}} \sum_{y \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)} a_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y)=v k_{t-1} a_{t-1} .
$$

Corollary 3.2. Suppose either $a_{t+1}^{\prime}(x, y) \geq a_{t-1}$ or $a_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y) \leq a_{t-1}$ for any $x \in X^{\prime}, y \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)$. Then $a_{t-1}^{\prime}=a_{t-1}$.

Proof. It's trivial by Lemma 3.1.
Lemma 3.3. $\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}} \sum_{z \in G_{t}^{\prime}(x)} c_{t}^{\prime}(x, z)=v k_{t-1} b_{t-1}=v k_{t} c_{t}$.
Proof. For each $x \in X^{\prime}$, by counting the number of edges between $G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)$ and $G_{t}^{\prime}(x)$ in two ways and Lemma 3.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}} \sum_{z \in G_{t}^{\prime}(x)} c_{t}^{\prime}(x, z) & =\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)} b_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y) \\
& =\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}} \sum_{y \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)}\left(k_{1}-c_{t-1}-a_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y)\right) \\
& =v k_{t-1}\left(k_{1}-c_{t-1}\right)-\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)} a_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y) \\
& =v k_{t-1}\left(k_{1}-c_{t-1}\right)-v k_{t-1} a_{t-1} \\
& =v k_{t-1} b_{t-1} \\
& =v k_{t} c_{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.4. Let $\overline{k_{t}^{\prime}}$ denotes $\frac{1}{v}$ times the cardinality of the set $\{(x, z) \mid x, z \in$ $\left.G^{\prime}, d(x, z)=t\right\}$. Suppose either $\overline{k_{t}^{\prime}} \geq k_{t}$ and $c_{t}^{\prime}(x, z) \geq c_{t}$, or $\overline{k_{t}^{\prime}} \leq k_{t}$ and $c_{t}^{\prime}(x, z) \leq c_{t}$ for any $x \in X, z \in G_{t}^{\prime}(x)$. Then $\overline{k_{t}^{\prime}}=k_{t}$ and $c_{t}^{\prime}=c_{t}$.

Proof. It's trivial by Lemma 3.3.

## Lemma 3.5.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\prime 2 t}\right)=v C & +\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}} \sum_{y \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)} a_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y)^{2}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \cdots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}} \sum_{z \in G_{t}^{\prime}(x)} c_{t}^{\prime}(x, z)^{2}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \cdots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \cdots c_{2}^{2}\right)\left(a_{t-2}+\cdots+a_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $C$ determined by $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i+1} \quad(0 \leq i \leq t-2)$.
Proof. For any vertex $x$ of $G^{\prime}$, we count the number of closed walks $x=$ $x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 t}=x$. There are 4 cases.

Case 1: $x_{t-1}, x_{t}, x_{t+1} \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)$. The number of closed walks in this case can be expressed as

$$
\sum_{x_{t} \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)} a_{t-1}^{\prime}\left(x, x_{t}\right)^{2}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

Case 2: $x_{t} \in G_{t}^{\prime}(x)$. The number of closed walks in this case can be expressed as

$$
\sum_{x_{t} \in G_{t}^{\prime}(x)} c_{t}^{\prime}\left(x, x_{t}\right)^{2}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right) .
$$

Case 3: $x_{t} \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x),\left|\left\{x_{t-1}, x_{t+1}\right\} \cap G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)\right|=1$. The number of closed walks in this case can be expressed as

$$
\sum_{x_{t} \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)} a_{t-1}^{\prime}\left(x, x_{t}\right)\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right)\left(a_{t-2}+\ldots+a_{1}\right)
$$

By simply apply Lemma 3.1, we know that this term equals

$$
v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right)\left(a_{t-2}+\ldots+a_{1}\right)
$$

Case 4: The remaining cases. The number of closed walks in this case can be expressed as a known constant $C$.

As before, we know the number of the closed walks of length $2 t$ is $\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\prime 2 t}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\prime 2 t}\right)=v C & +\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}} \sum_{y \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)} a_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y)^{2}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \cdots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}} \sum_{z \in G_{t}^{\prime}(x)} c_{t}^{\prime}(x, z)^{2}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \cdots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \cdots c_{2}^{2}\right)\left(a_{t-2}+\cdots+a_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.6. Let $C$ be as in Lemma 3.5. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{2 t}\right)=v C & +v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}^{2}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +v k_{t} c_{t}^{2}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right)\left(a_{t-2}+\ldots+a_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We express $\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{2 t}\right)$ by the way in Lemma 3.5.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{2 t}\right)=v C & +\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in G_{t-1}(x)} a_{t-1}(x, y)^{2}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \cdots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{z \in G_{t}(x)} c_{t}(x, z)^{2}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \cdots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in G_{t-1}(x)} a_{t-1}(x, y)\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \cdots c_{2}^{2}\right)\left(a_{t-2}+\cdots+a_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the intersection parameters $a_{t-1}, c_{t}$ are well-defined and known in $G$, we simply substitute the parameters in and get the result.

## Lemma 3.7.

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\prime 2 t}\right) \geq v C & +v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}^{2}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +v k_{t} c_{t}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right)\left(a_{t-2}+\ldots+a_{1}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, the following (i)-(ii) are equivalent.
(i) Equality holds in (3.4).
(ii) $a_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y)=a_{t-1}, c_{t}^{\prime}(x, z)=1$ for any $x \in X^{\prime}, y \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x), z \in G_{t}^{\prime}(x)$.

Proof. Applying Cauchy's inequality and $c_{t}^{\prime}(x, z)^{2} \geq c_{t}^{\prime}(x, z)$ on the expression of $\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\prime 2 t}\right)$ in Lemma 3.5, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\prime 2 t}\right) \geq v C & +\frac{1}{v k_{t-1}}\left(\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}} \sum_{y \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)} a_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y)\left(c_{t-1} c_{t-2} \ldots c_{2}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& +\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}} \sum_{z \in G_{t}^{\prime}(x)} c_{t}^{\prime}(x, z)\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right)\left(a_{t-2}+\ldots+a_{1}\right) \\
=v C & +\frac{1}{v k_{t-1}}\left(v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1} c_{t-2} \ldots c_{2}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& +v k_{t-1} b_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right)\left(a_{t-2}+\ldots+a_{1}\right) \\
=v C & +v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}^{2}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +v k_{t-1} b_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right)\left(a_{t-2}+\ldots+a_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above equality holds if and only if $a_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y)=a_{t-1}$ and $c_{t}^{\prime}(x, z)=1$ for any $x, y, z$ with $\delta(x, y)=t-1$ and $\delta(x, z)=t$.
The equivalence of (i)-(ii) is clear.

## Lemma 3.8.

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\prime 2 t}\right) \geq v C & +v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}^{2}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} \cdots c_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{\left(v k_{t} c_{t} c_{t-1} \cdots c_{2}\right)^{2}}{v \overline{k_{t}^{\prime}}} \\
& +v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \cdots c_{2}^{2}\right)\left(a_{t-2}+\ldots+a_{1}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, the following (i)-(ii) are equivalent.
(i) Equality holds in (3.5), $\overline{k_{t}^{\prime}}=k_{t}$.
(ii) $a_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y)=a_{t-1}, c_{t}^{\prime}(x, z)=c_{t}$ for any $x \in X, y \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x), z \in G_{t}^{\prime}(x)$.

Proof. Applying Cauchy's inequality on the expression of $\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\prime 2 t}\right)$ in Lemma 3.5,
it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\prime 2 t}\right) \geq v C & +\frac{1}{v k_{t-1}}\left(\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}} \sum_{y \in G_{t-1}^{\prime}(x)} a_{t-1}^{\prime}(x, y) c_{t-1} c_{t-2} \ldots c_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{v \overline{k_{t}^{\prime}}}\left(\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}} \sum_{z \in G_{t}^{\prime}(x)} c_{t}^{\prime}(x, z) c_{t-1} c_{t-2} \ldots c_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right)\left(a_{t-2}+\ldots+a_{1}\right) . \\
=v C & +\frac{1}{v k_{t-1}}\left(v k_{t-1} a_{t-1} c_{t-1} c_{t-2} \ldots c_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{\left(v k_{t} c_{t} c_{t-1} \cdots c_{2}\right)^{2}}{v \overline{k_{t}^{\prime}}} \\
& +v k_{t-1} a_{t-1}\left(c_{t-1}^{2} c_{t-2}^{2} \ldots c_{2}^{2}\right)\left(a_{t-2}+\ldots+a_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$(\mathrm{i}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{ii})$ is clear. $(\mathrm{ii}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{i})$ is from the observation that the last term in the above equation is $\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{2 t}\right)$ which is equal to $\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\prime 2 t}\right)$.

Lemma 3.9. Suppose $c_{t}=1$. Then $a_{t-1}^{\prime}, b_{t-1}^{\prime}, c_{t}^{\prime}$ are well-defined, and are the same as the corresponding ones in $G$.

Proof. Comparing to Collary 3.6 and using $c_{t}=1$, we find the equality in Lemma 3.7 holds. Hence $a_{t-1}^{\prime}, c_{t}^{\prime}$ are well-defined. Note $b_{t-1}^{\prime}=b_{0}-c_{t-1}-$ $a_{t-1}^{\prime}$.

## 4 Applications

Theorem 4.1. [4, Theorem 1] Let $G=(X, E)$ and $G^{\prime}=\left(X^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ be two connected graphs with the same spectrum

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\theta_{0} & \theta_{1} & \cdots & \theta_{d} \\
m_{0} & m_{1} & \cdots & m_{d}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Suppose that $G$ is distance-regular with intersection parameters $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Suppose $c_{j}=1$ for $1 \leq j \leq d-1$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is a distance-regular graph with the same intersection parameters of $G$.
Proof. We first show $a_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i}, b_{i}^{\prime}=b_{i}, c_{i+1}^{\prime}=c_{i+1}=1 \quad(0 \leq i \leq d-2)$ by induction on $i$. $a_{0}^{\prime}=0=a_{0}, c_{1}^{\prime}=1=c_{1}$ are clear. $b_{0}^{\prime}=b_{0}$ is from Theorem 2.3. Hence we have the case $i=0$. Suppose this is true for $i \leq t-2$. The case $i=t-1$ is true from Lemma 3.9. So we have $a_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i}, b_{i}^{\prime}=b_{i}, c_{i+1}^{\prime}=$ $c_{i+1}=1 \quad(0 \leq i \leq d-2)$. For the remaining parameters, we know $k_{i}^{\prime}=k_{i}$ is well-defined for each $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Note the diameter of $G^{\prime}$ is at most $d$ by Lemma 2.4. Hence $k_{d}^{\prime}=v-k_{0}-k_{1} \cdots-k_{d-1}$ is well-defined. Then the equality in Lemma 3.8 (iii) holds for $t=d$, so by Lemma 3.8 (ii) we have $a_{d-1}^{\prime}=a_{d-1}, c_{d}^{\prime}=c_{d}$. Note $a_{d}^{\prime}=b_{0}-c_{d}=a_{d}$.
Corollary 4.2. Let $G$ be a strongly regular graph. Suppose that $G^{\prime}$ is a graph with the same spectrum of $G$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is a strongly regular graph with the same intersection parameters of $G$.

Proof. This is immediate from Theorem 4.1 since $c_{1}=1$.
Theorem 4.3. Let $G$ be a distance-regular graph. Suppose $G^{\prime}$ is a graph with the same spectrum of $G$. Furthermore, with refering to Corollary 3.4, suppose $\overline{k_{t}^{\prime}}=k_{t}$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is a distance-regular graph with the same intersection parameters.

Proof. We show $a_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i}, b_{i}^{\prime}=b_{i}, c_{i+1}^{\prime}=c_{i+1} \quad(0 \leq i \leq d-1)$ by induction on $i$. $a_{0}^{\prime}=a_{0}, c_{1}^{\prime}=1=c_{1}$ are clear. $b_{0}^{\prime}=b_{0}$ is from Theorem 2.3. Hence we have the case $i=0$. Suppose this is true for $i \leq t-2$. Since Lemma 3.8 (iii) holds, we have Lemma 3.8 (ii). Then $a_{t-1}^{\prime}=a_{t-1}$ and $c_{t}^{\prime}=c_{t}$. Note $b_{t-1}^{\prime}=b_{0}-c_{t-1}-a_{t-1}$.

Remark 4.4. [6, Example 2.] The Gosset graph $\Gamma$ is the unique distanceregular graph on 56 vertices with intersection array $\{27,10,1 ; 1,10,27\}$. Notice that in $\Gamma, k_{0}=1, k_{1}=27, k_{2}=27, k_{3}=1$. We have a graph $\Gamma^{\prime}$ with
diameter 2 which is obtained by taking some special kind of switching on $\Gamma$ such that in $\Gamma^{\prime}, k_{0}^{\prime}=1, k_{1}^{\prime}=27, k_{2}^{\prime}=28$ where $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ are cospectral.
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