# A LOCAL UNIQUENESS THEOREM FOR THE FRACTIONAL SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION ON CLOSED RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

## YI-HSUAN LIN

ABSTRACT. We investigate that a potential V in the fractional Schrödinger equation  $((-\Delta_g)^s + V) u = f$  can be recovered locally by using the local source-to-solution map on smooth connected closed Riemannian manifolds. To achieve this goal, we derive a related new Runge approximation property.

**Keywords.** Fractional Laplacian, nonlocal diffuse optical tomography, Runge approximation, simultaneous determination

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 26A33, 35J10, 35R30.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be a smooth closed Riemannian manifold of dimension dim  $M \geq 2$ , and  $-\Delta_g$  be the Laplace-Beltrami operator defined on M. It is known that the domain of  $-\Delta_g$  is the standard Sobolev space  $H^2(M)$ . Let us also denote the eigenvalue of  $-\Delta_g$  on M with increasing order, by  $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots$ , and the first eigenfunction  $\phi_0 \equiv 1$  (up to normalization). Consider  $(\phi_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  to be an orthonormal basis for ker  $(-\Delta_g - \lambda_k)$  in  $L^2(M)$  (with multiplicity), with respect to  $\lambda_k$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us define the  $L^2$  inner product on M as  $(f,g)_{L^2(M)} := \int_M fg \, \mathrm{dV}_g$ , where  $\mathrm{dV}_g$  denotes the natural volume element on (M,g). Given  $s \in (0,1)$ , the fractional Laplace-Beltrami on M of order s as an unbounded self-adjoint operator can be defined via the spectral theorem

$$(-\Delta_g)^s u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^s (u, \phi_k)_{L^2(M)} \phi_k(x)$$

in the domain

$$\mathcal{D}\left((-\Delta_g)^s\right) := \left\{ u \in L^2(M) : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{2s} \left| (u, \phi_k)_{L^2(M)} \right|^2 < \infty \right\} = H^{2s}(M).$$

The fractional Laplace-Beltrami operator  $(-\Delta_g)^s$  can be viewed as a linear map from  $H^a(M) \to H^{a-2s}(M)$ , for any  $a \ge 0$ .

Let us characterize our mathematical model in this work. Let (M, g) be a smooth closed Riemannian manifold, and  $V = V(x) \in C^{\infty}(M)$  be a nonnegative potential. Consider

(1.1) 
$$\left(\left(-\Delta_g\right)^s + V\right)u = f \text{ in } M.$$

Via the eigenvalue condition, it is also known that  $((-\Delta_g)u, 1)_{L^2(M)} = 0$ . With the above assumptions, by standard regularity theory, it is not hard to see that there exists a unique solution  $u_f \in C^{\infty}(M)$  of the equation (1.1). Let  $\mathcal{O} \subset M$  be a nonempty open set and  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})$ . Then one can define the local source-to-solution map  $L_{V,\mathcal{O}}$  of (1.1) via

(1.2) 
$$L_{V,\mathcal{O}}: C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}) \ni f \mapsto u_f|_{\mathcal{O}} \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}),$$

where  $u_f \in C^{\infty}(M)$  is the solution to (1.1). We want to recover the potential V by using the local measurement  $L_{V,\mathcal{O}}$ . We can prove the next theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** Given  $s \in (0,1)$ , let (M,g) be smooth closed connected Riemannian manifolds of dimension  $n \ge 2$ , and  $0 \le V_j \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , for j = 1, 2. Let  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq M$  be an open set. Suppose that either  $V_1 = V_2$  in  $\mathcal{O}$  or  $V_1 = V_2$  in  $M \setminus \mathcal{O}$ , then

(1.3) 
$$L_{V_1,\mathcal{O}}(f) = L_{V_2,\mathcal{O}}(f), \text{ for all } f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}).$$

implies  $V_1 = V_2$  in M.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on suitable integral identity and approximation property. Meanwhile, a variant result of Theorem 1.1 has been addressed in [GLX17] by using exterior measurements Throughout this paper, we always denote (M, g) as a smooth, connected closed Riemannian manifold of dim  $M \geq 2$ .

• Related works. Inverse problems for fractional/nonlocal equations have been paid attention in recent years. In the pioneering work [GSU20], the authors first proved a global uniqueness result of bounded potentials for the fractional Schrödinger equation by using the exterior Dirichlet-to-Neumann (DN) map. Afterward, there are many related results appeared in this direction, and we refer readers to [CLL19, GLX17, CLL19, CLR20, CRTZ24, FGKU24, FKU24, KLW22, RS20, RZ23, LZ24, GRSU20, KLZ24, KRZ23]). Let us point out that the essential methods in this area are *unique continuation property* and *Runge approximation*. More recently, one could also solve (local) inverse problems by using the nonlocal method (see [LNZ24]).

# 2. Preliminaries

We first review a simple fact.

Lemma 2.1. There holds

$$((-\Delta_g)^s u, v)_{H^{-s}(M) \times H^s(M)} = ((-\Delta_g)^{s/2} u, (-\Delta_g)^{s/2} v)_{L^2(M)}$$
  
=  $(u, (-\Delta_g)^s v)_{H^s(M) \times H^{-s}(M)},$ 

for all  $u, v \in H^{s}(M)$ , where  $H^{-s}(M)$  denotes the dual space of  $H^{s}(M)$ .

*Proof.* This is followed directly by straightforward computations with eigenvalue and eigenfunction decomposition.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.2** (Well-posedness). Given  $f \in H^{-s}(M)$ , let  $0 \leq V \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , then there exists a unique solution  $u \in H^{s}(M)$  to the equation (1.1). Moreover, if  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , then  $u \in C^{\infty}(M)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the bilinear form associated with (1.1) to be

$$B_{g,V}(u,v) := \left( (-\Delta_g)^{s/2} u, (-\Delta_g)^{s/2} v \right)_{L^2(M)} + (Vu,v)_{L^2(M)},$$

for any  $u, v \in H^s(M)$ . Using  $V \ge 0$  in M, the standard Lax-Milgram theorem and later statement can be seen by using elliptic regularity theory.

With the above lemma at hand, one can define the local source-to-solution map  $L_{V,\mathcal{O}}$  of (1.1) rigorously, which is exactly given by (1.2). We next derive a useful integral identity.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $V, V_j \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , for j = 1, 2, and  $\mathcal{O} \subset M$  be a nonempty open set, then

(i) (Self-adjointness). There holds

(2.1) 
$$(f_1, L_{V,\mathcal{O}}f_2)_{L^2(M)} = (L_{V,\mathcal{O}}f_1, f_2)_{L^2(M)},$$

(ii) (Integral identity). Let  $V_1, V_2 \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , then there holds that

(2.2) 
$$((L_{V_1,\mathcal{O}} - L_{V_2,\mathcal{O}}) f_1, f_2)_{L^2(M)} = -((V_1 - V_2) u_1^{f_1}, u_2^{f_2})_{L^2(M)},$$

for all  $f_1, f_2 \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})$ , where  $u_i^{f_j}$  are the solutions to

(2.3) 
$$((-\Delta_g)^s + V_j) u_j^{f_j} = f_j \text{ in } M, \text{ for } j = 1, 2.$$

*Proof.* We first prove the self-adjointness of the local source-to-solution map  $L_{V,\mathcal{O}}$ . For any  $f_1, f_2 \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})$ , direct computations imply that

$$(L_{V,\mathcal{O}}f_1, f_2)_{L^2(M)} = (L_{V,\mathcal{O}}f_1, f_2)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} = \left(u_1^{f_1}, f_2\right)_{L^2(M)}$$
$$= \left(u_1^{f_1}, \left((-\Delta_g)^s + V\right)u_2^{f_2}\right)_{L^2(M)}$$
$$= \underbrace{\left(\left((-\Delta_g)^s + V\right)u_1^{f_1}, u_2^{f_2}\right)_{L^2(M)}}_{\text{By Lemma 2.1}}$$
$$= (f_1, L_{V,\mathcal{O}}f_2)_{L^2(M)}.$$

By using the adjointness (2.1), the integral identity (2.2) can be seen via

$$\begin{split} &((L_{V_1,\mathcal{O}} - L_{V_2,\mathcal{O}}) f_1, f_2)_{L^2(M)} \\ &= (L_{V_1,\mathcal{O}} f_1, f_2)_{L^2(M)} - (f_1, L_{V_2,\mathcal{O}} f_2)_{L^2(M)} \\ &= \underbrace{(u_1, ((-\Delta_g)^s + V_2) u_2)_{L^2(M)} - (((-\Delta_g)^s + V_1) u_1, u_2)_{L^2(M)}}_{\text{By (2.3)}} \\ &= \underbrace{-((V_1 - V_2) u_1, u_2)_{L^2(M)}}_{\text{By Lemma 2.1}}, \end{split}$$

which proves the assertion.

Let us also recall a well-known unique continuation property (UCP) for the fractional Laplace-Beltrami operator.

**Lemma 2.4** (UCP). Let  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{O} \subset M$  be an open subset, then  $u = (-\Delta_g)^s u = 0$  in  $\mathcal{O}$  implies  $u \equiv 0$ .

The proof can be found in [GLX17, FGKU24] with different approaches.

#### Y.-H. LIN

# 3. Proof of main results

To prove Theorem 1.1, we first show a useful approximation result.

Lemma 3.1 (Runge approximation). Consider the set

 $\mathcal{R} := \left\{ \left. u_f \right|_{\mathcal{O}} : \text{ for any } f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}) \right\},\$ 

where  $u_f$  is the solution to (1.1). Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is dense in  $H^s(\mathcal{O})$ .

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, the solution  $u_f$  of (1.1) belongs to  $H^s(M)$  for any  $f \in H^{-s}(M)$ , then we have  $\mathcal{R} \subset H^s(\mathcal{O})$ . Using the Hahn-Banach theorem, consider  $\varphi \in \widetilde{H}^{-s}(\mathcal{O}) = (H^s(\mathcal{O}))^*$  (dual space of  $H^s(\mathcal{O})$ ), which satisfies

 $\varphi(u_f) = 0$ , for all  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})$ ,

then we aim to show prove  $\varphi \equiv 0$ . Let  $w \in H^s(M)$  be the solution to

(3.1) 
$$((-\Delta_g)^s + V) w = \varphi \text{ in } M,$$

then we have

$$0 = \langle \varphi, u_f \rangle_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\mathcal{O}) \times H^s(\mathcal{O})} = \langle ((-\Delta_g)^s + V) w, u_f \rangle_{H^{-s}(M) \times H^s(M)}$$
$$= \underbrace{(((-\Delta_g)^s + V) u_f, w)_{L^2(M)}}_{\text{By Lemma 2.1}} = (f, w)_{L^2(M)} = (f, w)_{L^2(\mathcal{O})},$$

for any  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})$ . This implies w = 0 in  $\mathcal{O}$ .

On the other hand, multiplying (3.1) by the function w, one has

$$\begin{split} \langle \varphi, w \rangle_{H^{-s}(M) \times H^s(M)} &= \int_M w \left( (-\Delta_g)^s + V \right) w \, \mathrm{d} \mathsf{V}_g \\ &= \underbrace{\int_M \left( \left| \left( -\Delta_g \right)^{s/2} w \right|^2 + V |w|^2 \right) \mathrm{d} \mathsf{V}_g \ge 0}_{\text{By using } V \ge 0 \text{ in } M}, \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\underbrace{\langle \varphi, w \rangle_{H^{-s}(M) \times H^s(M)} = \langle \varphi, w \rangle_{\widetilde{H}^{-s}(\mathcal{O}) \times H^s(\mathcal{O})} = 0}_{\text{By using } \varphi \in \widetilde{H}^{-s}(\mathcal{O}) \text{ and } w = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O}}.$$

Hence, we have  $0 \leq \int_M \left( |(-\Delta_g)^s w|^2 + V|w|^2 \right) dV_g = 0$ , such that w must be zero in M, which yields that  $0 = \varphi \in \widetilde{H}^{-s}(\mathcal{O})$  by using the adjoint equation (3.1).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any  $f_1, f_2 \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})$ , let  $u_j$  be the solution of (2.3), for j = 1, 2. Let us first consider  $V_1 = V_2$  in  $M \setminus \mathcal{O}$ , combining with (1.3) and (2.2), then we can obtain

(3.2) 
$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} (V_1 - V_2) \, u_1^{f_1} u_2^{f_2} \, \mathsf{dV}_g = \int_M (V_1 - V_2) \, u_1^{f_1} u_2^{f_2} \, \mathsf{dV}_g = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.1, given any  $h \in H^s(\mathcal{O})$ , one can find sequences of functions  $(f_1^{f_1^{(k)}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (f_2^{(\ell)})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that the corresponding solutions satisfy  $u_1^{(k)} \to h$ 

and  $u_2^{f_2^{(\ell)}} \to 1$  in  $H^s(\mathcal{O})$ , as  $k, \ell \to \infty$ . Now, inserting these sequences of solutions into (3.2) and taking limits, there holds that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} \left( V_1 - V_2 \right) h \, \mathrm{d} \mathsf{V}_g = 0.$$

Due to arbitrariness of  $h \in H^s(\mathcal{O})$ , there holds  $V_1 = V_2$  in  $\mathcal{O}$  so that  $V_1 = V_2$ in M.

On the other hand, if  $V_1 = V_2$  in  $\mathcal{O}$ , then the condition (1.3) implies that  $(-\Delta_g)^s (u_1^f - u_2^f) = u_1^f - u_2^f = 0$  in  $\mathcal{O}$ . The UCP implies  $u^f := u_1^f = u_2^f$  in M for any  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})$ . This implies  $(V_1 - V_2) u^f = 0$  in  $M \setminus \mathcal{O}$ . Similar to the arguments as in [GRSU20, LZ24], by the smoothness of  $u^f$  and UCP for  $(-\Delta_g)^s$ , it is not hard to show  $V_1 = V_2$  in  $M \setminus \mathcal{O}$ . This implies  $V_1 = V_2$  in  $M \otimes \mathcal{O}$ .

Acknowledgment. Y.-H. Lin is partially supported by the National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) Taiwan, under project 113-2628-M-A49-003. Y.-H. Lin is also a Humboldt research fellow.

## References

- [CGRU23] Giovanni Covi, Tuhin Ghosh, Angkana Rüland, and Gunther Uhlmann. A reduction of the fractional Calderón problem to the local Calderón problem by means of the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.04227, 2023.
- [CLR20] Mihajlo Cekic, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Angkana Rüland. The Calderón problem for the fractional Schrödinger equation with drift. Cal. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 59(91), 2020.
- [CLL19] Xinlin Cao, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Hongyu Liu. Simultaneously recovering potentials and embedded obstacles for anisotropic fractional Schrödinger operators. *Inverse Probl. Imaging*, 13(1):197–210, 2019.
- [CRTZ24] Giovanni Covi, Jesse Railo, Teemu Tyni, and Philipp Zimmermann. Stability estimates for the inverse fractional conductivity problem. SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 56(2):2456–2487, 2024.
- [FGKU24] Ali Feizmohammadi, Tuhin Ghosh, Katya Krupchyk, and Gunther Uhlmann. Fractional anisotropic Calderón problem on closed Riemannian manifolds. J. Diff. Geom., accepted for publication, 2024.
- [FKU24] Ali Feizmohammadi, Katya Krupchyk, and Gunther Uhlmann. Calderón problem for fractional Schrödinger operators on closed Riemannian manifolds. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.16866, 2024.
- [GSU20] Tuhin Ghosh, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. The Calderón problem for the fractional Schrödinger equation. Anal. PDE, 13(2):455–475, 2020.
- [GLX17] Tuhin Ghosh, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Jingni Xiao. The Calderón problem for variable coefficients nonlocal elliptic operators. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 42(12):1923–1961, 2017.
- [GRSU20] Tuhin Ghosh, Angkana Rüland, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. Uniqueness and reconstruction for the fractional Calderón problem with a single measurement. J. Funct. Anal., 279(1):108505, 42, 2020.
- [KLW22] Pu-Zhao Kow, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Jenn-Nan Wang. The Calderón problem for the fractional wave equation: uniqueness and optimal stability. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 54(3):3379–3419, 2022.
- [KLZ24] Manas Kar, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Philipp Zimmermann. Determining coefficients for a fractional p-Laplace equation from exterior measurements. J. Differential Equations, accepted for publication, 2024.
- [KRZ23] Manas Kar, Jesse Railo, and Philipp Zimmermann. The fractional p-biharmonic systems: optimal Poincaré constants, unique continuation and inverse problems. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 62(4):Paper No. 130, 36, 2023.

## Y.-H. LIN

- [LLU23] Ching-Lung Lin, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Gunther Uhlmann. The Calderón problem for nonlocal parabolic operators: A new reduction from the nonlocal to the local. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.09654*, 2023.
- [LNZ24] Yi-Hsuan Lin, Gen Nakamura and Philipp Zimmermann. The Calderón problem for the Schrödinger equation in transversally anisotropic geometries with partial data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.06226*, 2024.
- [LZ24] Yi-Hsuan Lin and Philipp Zimmermann. Approximation and uniqueness results for the nonlocal diffuse optical tomography problem. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.06226, 2024.
- [RS20] Angkana Rüland and Mikko Salo. The fractional Calderón problem: low regularity and stability. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 193:111529, 56, 2020.
- [RZ23] Jesse Railo and Philipp Zimmermann. Fractional Calderón problems and Poincaré inequalities on unbounded domains. J. Spectr. Theory, 13(1):63–131, 2023.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL YANG MING CHIAO TUNG UNI-VERSITY, HSINCHU, TAIWAN & FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITY OF DUISBURG-ESSEN, ESSEN, GERMANY

Email address: yihsuanlin3@gmail.com

6